

Bland's pivoting rule. Recall: degenerate pivoting step. v- (0. ... u) ν' νî u 0 nr v J BFs does not change Cost dues not enange only the basis changes. Cycling: This degenerate pivoling could lead to a cycle of tableaus. Why dow degenerary appear. -> Multiple bases give a single bfs Bland's rule: Yariablu: $\Sigma \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{R}_n$ + 21: + 2; Pivoting skp. Which variable enters? Z Which variable leaves? 1 When there is choice, pick the vaniable with (in entry or exit) the least index .

Example: Maximize $x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3$ subject to $\chi_1 + 2\chi_2 + \chi_3 \leq 12$ $2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 \leq 6$ $-\chi_{1} + 3\chi_{2} \leq 9$ a11 22 20 74 = 12 - 71 -272 -73 $\chi_s = 6 - 2\chi_1 - \chi_2 + \chi_3$ $n_{1} = 9 + n_{1} - 3n_{2}$ <u>z</u> = $\chi_1 - 2\chi_2 + \chi_3$ Bland's rule says choose x1. x1 ns+ . . - - -

2. The BFs in the entire yell is the same. v_n^{\uparrow} $T(B_1)$ v_1^{\uparrow} $\tau(B_n)$ $\tau(B_2)$ (M_2) v_1 (. < . . Ŷ . . Cost remains the same in each pivoling step. - This will imply that: This (ui constant is 0 lvi Therefore, the bfs does not change.



We will now choose two tableaus in the cycle for which the LPs from the previous Alise will be the same. -> This will be a contradiction because one tableau says that the LP is bounded and the other prove that LP is unbounded. $\frac{v_n}{u_n} + \frac{\tau}{u_1} + \frac{v_1}{\tau}$ T(Bn) (Net Ve1 ¢ (۲, $\rightarrow F = \{ v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \}$ Let V be the variable with the highest index in F B' B' ٧Ŷ







From the yes, we have two tableaus B and D' s.t. T(B) prova new le has an optimum T(13)? prova new 4 is unbounded. - Contradiction - Hence there can be no cycle. - Simplex terminates.