LINEAR OPTIMIZATION

LECTURE 6

11 /05 2021	Basic Feasible Solutions
	Todoule technol
	iouay s le crase
	-1. Gilven an 27 in equational form, what are
	basic teasible solutions
	-2. Theorem: If LP has an optimum, then there is a
	basic feasible solution that is optimal.
	Proviso: Given LP in equational form
	$maximize$ $c^{T}x$
	subject to Ax = b A: m x n
	x 20 N >m
	- the system of equations $Ax = b$ has at least one solution
	- the rows of A are linearly independent.
	Remark: From Provise 10x rank $(A) = (a)$ rank $(A) = m$
	discontrations of columns areas a 200
	almension of whather space 2 m

Notation: A given matrix
$$B \subseteq \overline{2} | 1, 2, ..., n \overline{3}$$

an index let
AB: matrix consisting of only columns whose indice belong to B.
Frample: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 & 3 & 10 & 2 \\ 10 & 6 & 7 & 21 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $B = \overline{2} | 1, 4 \overline{3}$
 $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 10 \\ 10 & 21 \end{pmatrix}$
Similarly for vectors: \mathcal{X} and index set B ,
 X_B denotes the projection of \mathbf{x} to conditate indexed
 $b_{1} B$
 $\mathcal{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 + 10 & 11 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$
 $B = \overline{2} 3, 5 \overline{3}$
 $Z_B = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

More notation: - When B is fixed, we call the variables x; with jeB as basic j¢B as Mon-basic - An m-element set $B \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that columns of AB are linearly independent Will be called a basis.

Proposition: For every metements set
$$B \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$$

that is a basis, there exists at most
one feasible solution $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_j = 0$ t $j \notin B$.
Introduction: $A =$
 $Introduction: A =$
 $Introduction: A$

 $A_B x_B + A_N x_N = b$ Suppose we have zj=0 for all j & B. This means \mathcal{X}_N is \overline{O} The above equation becomes: AB 28 = b - AB RB = b has a unique solution. If this unique solution is also non-negative, then we get a feasible solution of the LP. Otherwise there is no feasible solution corresponding to B. B - AB is non-singular -> atmost 1 f.s. 10/Anto 00/10 f.s. Variables in Nareo. -> at most one such solution.

More notation: - A basis B < 21, 2, ..., n? will be called a feasible basis if the unique solution of the system ABXB=b is non-negative.

Propositi	ion: Assume that the cost function of the LP in
	equational form is bounded from above, that i
	$c^{T}x \leq M$ for all feasible solutions x .
Then:	for every feasible solution to, there exists a lofe i
	with the same or larger cost, it.,
	$c^{\intercal} \bar{\pi} \geq c^{\intercal} \pi_0$
Proof:	Consider a fearible solution no.
	Define $S = \{x \mid x \text{ is feasible and } C^T x \geq C^T x_0 \}$
	$\int dx \ge C^{T} x$
S	is non-empty since no es
det ñ	be a point in c with the maximum no. of zeroe. There could be
several	candidates, we choose one of them
	\sim
Claim	× is a bfs.
K =	ξ j l x̃; > 0 } We want to show Ak is non-sing
Suppos	e this is not the case: columns of Ax are linearly dependent.
Ther	c exist IKI coefficients. VI, V2, YIKI S.J.
	$V_1 A_{k}' + V_2 A_{k}' + \cdots + V_{ k } A_{k}^{ k } = 0$
	and some vito.

Suppose this is not the case: columns of Ax are linearly
dependent.
There exists 1k1 coefficients.
$$V_1$$
, V_2 ,..., V_{1K1} s.
 $V_1 A'_K + V_2 A'_K + \cdots + V_{1K1} A''_K = 0$
and some $V_1 \neq 0$.
Construct an m-dimensional vedor W :
 $W_j = \begin{cases} V_j & j \in K \\ 0 & 0 & \text{therwise} \end{cases}$
 $\frac{10 & (V_1 + 0 & [V_2] & \cdots & [V_1] & 0 & a]}{V_1 + 0 & [V_2] & \cdots & V_1 & 0 & a]}$
 $V_1 A'_1 + W_2 A^2 + \cdots + W_n A^n$
 $W_1 A'_1 + W_2 A^2 + \cdots & + W_n A^n$
 $W_j = 0 & \text{for all } j \notin K$.
The above suon: $V_1 A'_K + V_2 A'_K + \cdots + V_n A'_k A''_k |$
But this is zero as we have seen above.
 $Claim: We can assume:$
 $-1) & C^T W \ge 0$
 $-11) & 100j < 0 & \text{for some coordinate } j$.

Claim: We can assume:
-1)
$$C^{T} \le 0$$

-11) $10^{T} \le 0$ for some coordinate j.
Proof: Suppose $C^{T} \le 0$. We will negate all coordinate.
 $olding thic, we get $C^{T} \ge 0$, and shill $A \le 0$
- If all coordinates of ∞ are ≥ 0 , we will get a contraction.
 $onside : \tilde{X} + t \cdot W$
 $A(\tilde{X} + tw) = A\tilde{X} + tAw$
 $= A\tilde{X} + 0$
 $= b$
If $\tilde{X} + tw$ additionally is non-negative, $\tilde{X} + tw = a$
 $focasible soln$.
Now, we already know that $\tilde{X} \ge 0$.
So, is all coordinate of \tilde{W} are ≥ 0 , then $\tilde{X} + tw \ge 0$ Vizo
 $C^{T}(\tilde{X} + tw) = C\tilde{X} + t \cdot CTw$
Since $C^{T}W \ge 0$, increasing t gives feasible solne. with
 $arbitrarity increasing cost.$
This contradicts hypothesis that $\infty \ge 0$.$

Suppose
$$C^{T}w = 0$$

- If all coordinates are ≥ 0 , we just
negate w : $w' = -w$
This will give a vector w' s.t.
i) $c^{T}w' = 0$
ii) $w'_{j} < 0$ for at least one coordinate.
Claim: We can assume:
-i) $c^{T}w \ge 0$
-ii) $v_{j} < 0$ for some coordinate j.
Final argument: consider $\tilde{x} + tw$
- We already have $A(\tilde{x} + tw) = b$
- $\tilde{x} \ge 0$ \tilde{x} \int_{j}^{20} \int_{j}^{0} \int_{j}^{0} will
In $\tilde{x} + tw$, by increasing t, the jth coordinate will durease.
While increasing t', we will hit a value where

Final argument: consider
$$\tilde{x} + tw$$

- We already have $A[\tilde{x} + tw] = b$
- $\tilde{x} \ge 0$ \tilde{x} \tilde{f}^{0} \tilde{f}^{0} \tilde{f}^{0} w_{j}
In $\tilde{x} + tw$, by increasing t, the jth coordinate will divease.
While increasing 't', we will hit a value where
 $\tilde{x} + tw$ has more zeroes than \tilde{x}
Notice that for coordinates $j = s_{1}$. $\tilde{x}_{j} = 0$, we also
have $W_{j} = 0$
So $W_{j} < 0$ occurs at some $j \in K$.
 \Rightarrow increasing 't' will give us o feasible solm. with
more zeroes.
 $- \mu t$ us say we get this at t^{*} .
 $CT(\tilde{x} + t^{*}w) = CT\tilde{x} + t^{*}CTw$
 $\equiv CTRO + 0$
Herne $\tilde{x} + t^{*}w$ is a point in S with more zeroes
theavy independent.

Theorem: Consider an LP in equational form: i) If there is at least one feasible solution and the objective function is bounded from above, then there exists an optimal rolution. ii) If there is an optimal solution, then there is a basic teasible holution that is optimal Illustration: X1 X2.~ Xe $\widetilde{\times}_{\mathbf{v}}$ feasible roln. Notice that there are finitely many ble. - From previous proposition, for every feasible solow, there is a ble with a better cost, - Hence, maximum value is obtained from the maximum among bfr.

Naïve algorithm to solve LP in equational form: (assuming cost is bounded from above) - Enumerate all bfs: - choose a subset B < \$1,2,..., ng of size m - check if columns of AB are linearly independent - If yes: find the unique solution to AB XB = b if the solution ≥ 0 then we have a bis by putting Other coordinates to 0. - Find a bfs with the maximum cost

Summary: - Basic feasible solutions - It is feasible and cost is bounded from above, an optimum exists at a bts. Reference: Chapter 4.2 of Understanding and using Linear Programming Matoušek & Gärtner