# A FEW WRONG MEN IN A PARADISE FOR MATHEMATICIANS

(With Apologies to Gurudev Rabindranath Tagore)

V. V. Sreedhar, Chennai Mathematical Institute

A FEW WRONG MEN IN A PARADISE FOR MATHEMATICIANS - p. 1/33

An incompressible, inviscid, fluid of constant density, supports <u>vortices</u> which are permanent and indestructible.

- An incompressible, inviscid, fluid of constant density, supports <u>vortices</u> which are permanent and indestructible.
- Vortex: a measure of local angular momentum.

- An incompressible, inviscid, fluid of constant density, supports <u>vortices</u> which are permanent and indestructible.
- Vortex: a measure of local angular momentum.
- *Water draining through a sink/bathtub.*

- An incompressible, inviscid, fluid of constant density, supports <u>vortices</u> which are permanent and indestructible.
- Vortex: a measure of local angular momentum.
- *Water draining through a sink/bathtub.*
- *Whirlpools in the sea.*

- An incompressible, inviscid, fluid of constant density, supports <u>vortices</u> which are permanent and indestructible.
- Vortex: a measure of local angular momentum.
- *Water draining through a sink/bathtub.*
- *Whirlpools in the sea.*
- *Atmospheric disturbances like hurricanes, tornadoes.*

- An incompressible, inviscid, fluid of constant density, supports <u>vortices</u> which are permanent and indestructible.
- Vortex: a measure of local angular momentum.
- Water draining through a sink/bathtub.
- Whirlpools in the sea.
- *•* Atmospheric disturbances like hurricanes, tornadoes.
- $\vec{u} = \frac{1}{2}(y\hat{i} x\hat{j}) \Rightarrow (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{u})_z = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x}) = 1$ *i.e.*  $(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{u}) = \hat{k}$ : Two-dimensional vortex

#### Water Twists



#### **Guthrie Tait (1867)**

Gave a series of lectures on Helmholtz's work.

#### **Guthrie Tait (1867)**

Gave a series of lectures on Helmholtz's work.



#### **Guthrie Tait (1867)**

• Gave a series of lectures on Helmholtz's work.



"Never, I think, can there have been a more superb demonstrator. I have his burly figure before me. The small twinkling eyes had a fascinating gleam in them; he could concentrate them until they held the object looked at; when they flashed back around the room he seemed to have drawn a rapier. I have seen a man fall back in alarm under Tait's eyes, though there were a dozen benches between them". — J. M. Barrie (Author of Peter Pan)

Vortex rings behave as independent solids.

- Vortex rings behave as independent solids.
- When they collide with each other, they rebound like quivering elastic solids, like rubber rings.

- Vortex rings behave as independent solids.
- When they collide with each other, they rebound like quivering elastic solids, like rubber rings.
- They exhibit fascinating vibrational modes about their circular form. If they both have the same direction of rotation they will proceed in the same sense, and the ring in front will enlarge itself and move slower, while the second one will shrink and move faster, if the velocities of translation are not too different, the second will finally reach the first and pass through it. Then the same game will be repeated with the other ring, so the ring will pass alternately one through the other.

- Vortex rings behave as independent solids.
- When they collide with each other, they rebound like quivering elastic solids, like rubber rings.
- They exhibit fascinating vibrational modes about their circular form. If they both have the same direction of rotation they will proceed in the same sense, and the ring in front will enlarge itself and move slower, while the second one will shrink and move faster, if the velocities of translation are not too different, the second will finally reach the first and pass through it. Then the same game will be repeated with the other ring, so the ring will pass alternately one through the other.
- They simply wriggle around a knife trying to cut them, and maintain their form.

Thomson was a brilliant mathematician and physicist: Laid the first trans-Atlantic telegraph cable, invented the mirror galvanometer, invented the absolute temperature scale.

- Thomson was a brilliant mathematician and physicist: Laid the first trans-Atlantic telegraph cable, invented the mirror galvanometer, invented the absolute temperature scale.
- Formed sweeping conclusions: Radio has no future, heavier-than-air flying machines are impossible, X-rays will prove to be a hoax.

- Thomson was a brilliant mathematician and physicist: Laid the first trans-Atlantic telegraph cable, invented the mirror galvanometer, invented the absolute temperature scale.
- Formed sweeping conclusions: Radio has no future, heavier-than-air flying machines are impossible, X-rays will prove to be a hoax.
- Rejected: Darwin (the age of the earth), radioactivity, Maxwell's theory of electricity and magnetism, atomic theory.

- Thomson was a brilliant mathematician and physicist: Laid the first trans-Atlantic telegraph cable, invented the mirror galvanometer, invented the absolute temperature scale.
- Formed sweeping conclusions: Radio has no future, heavier-than-air flying machines are impossible, X-rays will prove to be a hoax.
- Rejected: Darwin (the age of the earth), radioactivity, Maxwell's theory of electricity and magnetism, atomic theory.
- Thomson to his servant: "Oh, just run down to the Senate House, will you, and see who is Second Wrangler?"

- Thomson was a brilliant mathematician and physicist: Laid the first trans-Atlantic telegraph cable, invented the mirror galvanometer, invented the absolute temperature scale.
- Formed sweeping conclusions: Radio has no future, heavier-than-air flying machines are impossible, X-rays will prove to be a hoax.
- Rejected: Darwin (the age of the earth), radioactivity, Maxwell's theory of electricity and magnetism, atomic theory.
- Thomson to his servant: "Oh, just run down to the Senate House, will you, and see who is Second Wrangler?"
- Servant to his master: "You are, sir!"

Atoms are knotted and linked vortices in Ether.

- Atoms are knotted and linked vortices in Ether.
- *Stability: Vortex atoms are stable, so are physical atoms.*

- Atoms are knotted and linked vortices in Ether.
- *Stability: Vortex atoms are stable, so are physical atoms.*
- Variety: There is a great variety of knots, just as there is a great variety of physical atoms.

- Atoms are knotted and linked vortices in Ether.
- *Stability: Vortex atoms are stable, so are physical atoms.*
- Variety: There is a great variety of knots, just as there is a great variety of physical atoms.
- Spectrum: Vortex atoms have energy states and vibration modes just as physical atoms do – A point particularly reinforced by the advent of quantum theory.

- Atoms are knotted and linked vortices in Ether.
- Stability: Vortex atoms are stable, so are physical atoms.
- Variety: There is a great variety of knots, just as there is a great variety of physical atoms.
- Spectrum: Vortex atoms have energy states and vibration modes just as physical atoms do – A point particularly reinforced by the advent of quantum theory.
- Transmutation: Knotted vortex atoms change their knot type if their energy is increased beyond a threshold, just as physical atoms change their atomic structure.

- Atoms are knotted and linked vortices in Ether.
- Stability: Vortex atoms are stable, so are physical atoms.
- Variety: There is a great variety of knots, just as there is a great variety of physical atoms.
- Spectrum: Vortex atoms have energy states and vibration modes just as physical atoms do – A point particularly reinforced by the advent of quantum theory.
- Transmutation: Knotted vortex atoms change their knot type if their energy is increased beyond a threshold, just as physical atoms change their atomic structure.
- Intellectual Support: J. C. Maxwell

#### **Tait and Maxwell**

• 1831: 13, June (Maxwell); 28, April (Tait); 1839: LOP

#### 1831: 13, June (Maxwell); 28, April (Tait); 1839: LOP

Year	Class Prizes - Maxwell	Class Prizes - Tait
1842	No Mention	Dux 1 <sup>st</sup> Class; 1 <sup>st</sup> English reader, 2 <sup>nd</sup> in English
1843	19 <sup>th</sup> in 3 <sup>rd</sup> class; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Scripture Biography.	Dux 2nd Class; 2nd in English.
1844	? in 4 <sup>th</sup> Class; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Scripture Biography.	Dux 3rd Class; 4th in Arithmetic.
1845	11 <sup>th</sup> in 5 <sup>th</sup> Class; Silver Medal of Academical Club; 1 <sup>st</sup> in English Verses.	Dux 4 <sup>th</sup> Class; 1st in Latin Verses; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Arithmetic.
1846	5 <sup>th</sup> in 6 <sup>th</sup> Class; 1 <sup>st</sup> in English Verses and in English; 2 <sup>nd</sup> in Mathematics.	Dux 5 <sup>th</sup> Class; 2 <sup>nd</sup> in English; 1 <sup>st</sup> in French
1846 Academical	6 <sup>th</sup> overall; 3 <sup>rd</sup> in Mathematics, in History, in Geography and in	3 <sup>rd</sup> overall; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Mathematics; 3 <sup>rd</sup> in History, in Geography and in Scripture Biography: 5 <sup>th</sup> in
Club Prizes	English and in French.	English and in French; 10 <sup>th</sup> in Latin.
1847	1 <sup>st</sup> in Mathematics in 7 <sup>th</sup> Class; Silver Medal.	Dux 6 <sup>th</sup> Class; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Mathematics and in Latin Verses; 2 <sup>nd</sup> in French and in Physical Science.
1847 Academical Club Prizes	2 <sup>nd</sup> overall; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Mathematics and in English; 2 <sup>nd</sup> in Latin; 4 <sup>th</sup> = in Greek;	3 <sup>rd</sup> overall; 2 <sup>nd</sup> in Mathematics; 3 <sup>rd</sup> = in Latin; 4 <sup>th</sup> = in English.

#### 1831: 13, June (Maxwell); 28, April (Tait); 1839: LOP

Year	Class Prizes - Maxwell	Class Prizes - Tait
1842	No Mention	Dux 1 <sup>st</sup> Class; 1 <sup>st</sup> English reader, 2 <sup>nd</sup> in English
1843	19 <sup>th</sup> in 3 <sup>rd</sup> class; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Scripture Biography.	Dux 2nd Class; 2nd in English.
1844	? in 4 <sup>th</sup> Class; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Scripture Biography.	Dux 3rd Class; 4th in Arithmetic.
1845	11 <sup>th</sup> in 5 <sup>th</sup> Class; Silver Medal of Academical Club; 1 <sup>st</sup> in English Verses	Dux 4 <sup>th</sup> Class; 1st in Latin Verses; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Arithmetic.
1846	5 <sup>th</sup> in 6 <sup>th</sup> Class; 1 <sup>st</sup> in English Verses and in English; 2 <sup>nd</sup> in Mathematics.	Dux 5 <sup>th</sup> Class; 2 <sup>nd</sup> in English; 1 <sup>st</sup> in French
1846 Academical	6 <sup>th</sup> overall; 3 <sup>rd</sup> in Mathematics, in History, in Geography and in	3 <sup>rd</sup> overall; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Mathematics; 3 <sup>rd</sup> in History, in Geography and
Club Prizes	English and in French.	English and in French; 10 <sup>th</sup> in Latin.
1847	1 <sup>st</sup> in Mathematics in 7 <sup>th</sup> Class; Silver Medal.	Dux 6 <sup>th</sup> Class; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Mathematics and in Latin Verses; 2 <sup>nd</sup> in French and in Physical Science.
1847 Academical Club Prizes	2 <sup>nd</sup> overall; 1 <sup>st</sup> in Mathematics and in English; 2 <sup>nd</sup> in Latin; 4 <sup>th</sup> = in Greek;	3 <sup>rd</sup> overall; 2 <sup>nd</sup> in Mathematics; 3 <sup>rd</sup> = in Latin; 4 <sup>th</sup> = in English.

\_

• Code: T (Thomson), T' (Tait), T'' (Tyndall), C (Clausius), H (Hamilton),  $H^2$  (Helmholtz). (Decode: dp/dt)

#### **Tait's Knot Table**

ୖ୲**ୖୄ**୶ୄୢୖୄ୶ୖୢୖୄୣୄୣୠୖୄୖୄୖୄୣୄୖୖୠୖୄୖୖୖୖୖୖୄୢୠୖୖୄୖୣୄୖୖୖୖୖୖୢ <sup>7</sup>8<sup>7</sup>8<sup>8</sup>8<sup>8</sup>8<sup>7</sup>8<sup>7</sup>8<sup>8</sup>8 <u>ୄ</u> ୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄ ୖୄୣୣୖୣୣୄୖୄ୰ୄୖୄୄୖୄୖୖୄୄ୰ୄୖୄୖୄୖୄ୰ୖୄୄୖ <sup>\*</sup>`& <sup>\*</sup>`& <sup>\*</sup>`& <sup>\*</sup>`& <sup>\*</sup>`& ŶĨŴĬŴĬŴĬŴĬŴĬŴĬŴ °<sup>2</sup> 2<sup>2</sup> 8 ,<sup>72</sup>/8 8 1 the <sup>a</sup> B <sup>6</sup><sup>7</sup>8<sup>7</sup>8<sup>8</sup>8<sup>8</sup>8<sup>8</sup>8

# **Tait's Conjectures**

An alternating diagram with no nugatory crossing, of an alternating link realises the minimal number of crossings among all diagrams representing the link.



An alternating diagram with no nugatory crossing, of an alternating link realises the minimal number of crossings among all diagrams representing the link.

$$1 \bigcirc 2 \longleftrightarrow 1 \boxed{5}$$

• Two alternating diagrams, with no nugatory crossings, of the same oriented link have the same Tait (or writhe) number, i.e. the signed sum of all crossings of the diagram with the convention that an overpass being +1 and an underpass being -1.

An alternating diagram with no nugatory crossing, of an alternating link realises the minimal number of crossings among all diagrams representing the link.

$$1 \bigcirc 2 \longleftrightarrow 1 \boxed{5}$$

- Two alternating diagrams, with no nugatory crossings, of the same oriented link have the same Tait (or writhe) number, i.e. the signed sum of all crossings of the diagram with the convention that an overpass being +1 and an underpass being -1.
- Two alternating diagrams, with no nugatory crossings, of the same link are related by a sequence of flypes.



# **Knot Theory**

• A knot is a closed, oriented, loop of string in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . More formally, a knot K is defined by the map  $K: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ .

# **Knot Theory**

- A knot is a closed, oriented, loop of string in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . More formally, a knot K is defined by the map  $K: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ .
- Two knots are equivalent if one can be wiggled around, stretched, tangled, untangled, until it coincides with the other.

# **Knot Theory**

- A knot is a closed, oriented, loop of string in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . More formally, a knot K is defined by the map  $K: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ .
- Two knots are equivalent if one can be wiggled around, stretched, tangled, untangled, until it coincides with the other.


- A knot is a closed, oriented, loop of string in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . More formally, a knot K is defined by the map  $K: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ .
- Two knots are equivalent if one can be wiggled around, stretched, tangled, untangled, until it coincides with the other.



The fundamental problem of knot theory is to be able to distinguish between inequivalent knots.





•  $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ ,  $|i - j| \ge 2$ ;  $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ ,  $\forall i$ 



- $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ ,  $|i j| \ge 2$ ;  $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ ,  $\forall i$
- Braids classified by braid words: an ordered sequence of  $\sigma_i$ . The braid word for (b) is  $\sigma_2 \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_3 \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1}$ .



- $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ ,  $|i j| \ge 2$ ;  $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ ,  $\forall i$
- Braids classified by braid words: an ordered sequence of  $\sigma_i$ . The braid word for (b) is  $\sigma_2 \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_3 \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1}$ .
- Artin's Theorem (1925): Two braids are isotopic iff their words can be transformed into each other by a sequence of admissible calculations (eliminating  $\sigma_i \sigma_i^{-1}$  and  $\sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_i$ ) from a given word. This method is called combing.



- $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ ,  $|i j| \ge 2$ ;  $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ ,  $\forall i$
- Braids classified by braid words: an ordered sequence of  $\sigma_i$ . The braid word for (b) is  $\sigma_2 \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_3 \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1}$ .
- Artin's Theorem (1925): Two braids are isotopic iff their words can be transformed into each other by a sequence of admissible calculations (eliminating  $\sigma_i \sigma_i^{-1}$  and  $\sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_i$ ) from a given word. This method is called combing.
- Alexander's Theorem (1928): Braids related to knots and links through closure.

### **Reidemeister and His Moves**

Reidemeister's Theorem (1926): If two knots K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>2</sub> are equivalent, then their knot diagrams D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> are connected by a finite number of operations. The three basic operations are called the Reidemeister Moves.

### **Reidemeister and His Moves**

Reidemeister's Theorem (1926): If two knots K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>2</sub> are equivalent, then their knot diagrams D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> are connected by a finite number of operations. The three basic operations are called the Reidemeister Moves.



### **Reidemeister and His Moves**

Reidemeister's Theorem (1926): If two knots K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>2</sub> are equivalent, then their knot diagrams D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> are connected by a finite number of operations. The three basic operations are called the Reidemeister Moves.



J. C. Maxwell had discovered this result earlier, but refused to publish the results despite his friend Tait's prodding.

### **Alexander and His Polynomial**

I. Pick an oriented diagram for the knot K; separately number the n arcs and crossings of the diagram.



### **Alexander and His Polynomial**

 1. Pick an oriented diagram for the knot K; separately number the n arcs and crossings of the diagram.



• 2. Define an  $n \times n$  matrix with the row index representing a crossing and the column index an arc. For a right-handed crossing, l, the entries are: (1 - t), (-1), (t) for the li, lj and lk elements, and for a left-handed crossing the entries are (1 - t), t, (-1) respectively.

## **Alexander and His Polynomial**

I. Pick an oriented diagram for the knot K; separately number the n arcs and crossings of the diagram.



- 2. Define an  $n \times n$  matrix with the row index representing a crossing and the column index an arc. For a right-handed crossing, l, the entries are: (1 - t), (-1), (t) for the li, lj and lk elements, and for a left-handed crossing the entries are (1 - t), t, (-1) respectively.
- 3. The  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix obtained by removing the last row and column is called the Alexander matrix of K and its determinant is the Alexander polynomial  $A_K(t)$ .





A FEW WRONG MEN IN A PARADISE FOR MATHEMATICIANS - p. 15/33



• The Alexander polynomial for a trefoil is:  $t^2 - t + 1$ .



• The Alexander polynomial for a trefoil is:  $t^2 - t + 1$ .

• *K* and K' are inequivalent if  $A_K(t) \neq A'_K(t)$ . Does not distinguish between mirror images.

# **Conway and His Polynomial (1960)**

- Axioms:
  - 1. Invariance:  $K \sim K' \Rightarrow \nabla(K) = \nabla(K')$
  - 2. Normalization:  $\nabla(O) = 1$
  - *3. Skein Relation:*  $\nabla(K_+) \nabla(K_-) = x \nabla(K_0)$

# **Conway and His Polynomial (1960)**

- Axioms:
  - 1. Invariance:  $K \sim K' \Rightarrow \nabla(K) = \nabla(K')$
  - 2. Normalization:  $\nabla(O) = 1$
  - *3. Skein Relation:*  $\nabla(K_+) \nabla(K_-) = x \nabla(K_0)$
- where



# **Conway and His Polynomial (1960)**

- Axioms:
  - 1. Invariance:  $K \sim K' \Rightarrow \nabla(K) = \nabla(K')$
  - 2. Normalization:  $\nabla(O) = 1$
  - *3. Skein Relation:*  $\nabla(K_+) \nabla(K_-) = x \nabla(K_0)$
  - where



• 
$$\mathsf{A}_K(t) = \nabla_K(\sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}})$$

*Fix an oriented diagram for the knot.* 

- *Fix an oriented diagram for the knot.*
- Eliminate all crossings to get a set of oriented, non-intersecting circles, called Seifert circles.

- *Fix an oriented diagram for the knot.*
- Eliminate all crossings to get a set of oriented, non-intersecting circles, called Seifert circles.
- Fill the circles to get discs and connect the discs using twisted bands, one for each crossing.



- *Fix an oriented diagram for the knot.*
- Eliminate all crossings to get a set of oriented, non-intersecting circles, called Seifert circles.
- Fill the circles to get discs and connect the discs using twisted bands, one for each crossing.



• Euler characteristic of the surface: $\chi_S = 2 - 2g_S - m$  where  $g_S$ : the genus, m: the number of components.

- *Fix an oriented diagram for the knot.*
- Eliminate all crossings to get a set of oriented, non-intersecting circles, called Seifert circles.
- Fill the circles to get discs and connect the discs using twisted bands, one for each crossing.



- Euler characteristic of the surface: $\chi_S = 2 2g_S m$  where  $g_S$ : the genus, m: the number of components.
- Answer equivalent, and related to  $A_K(t)$ .

### **Seifert Surfaces**



A FEW WRONG MEN IN A PARADISE FOR MATHEMATICIANS - p. 18/33

• If two knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are given, their connected sum, denoted by  $K_1 \# K_2$  is defined as follows:

• If two knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are given, their connected sum, denoted by  $K_1 \# K_2$  is defined as follows:



• If two knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are given, their connected sum, denoted by  $K_1 \# K_2$  is defined as follows:



 A nontrivial knot is called a prime knot, if it cannot be decomposed into a nontrivial connected sum.

• If two knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are given, their connected sum, denoted by  $K_1 \# K_2$  is defined as follows:



- A nontrivial knot is called a prime knot, if it cannot be decomposed into a nontrivial connected sum.
- The fundamental theorem of arithmetic says that every integer greater than one, is either a prime itself, or is the product of prime numbers.

• If two knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are given, their connected sum, denoted by  $K_1 \# K_2$  is defined as follows:



- A nontrivial knot is called a prime knot, if it cannot be decomposed into a nontrivial connected sum.
- The fundamental theorem of arithmetic says that every integer greater than one, is either a prime itself, or is the product of prime numbers.
- Schubert's theorem: Any nontrivial knot has a finite decomposition into prime knots, and this decomposition is unique up to order.

Given a knot diagram, it divides the plane into a number of regions.
 Colour the outer, unbounded region white.

- Given a knot diagram, it divides the plane into a number of regions.
  Colour the outer, unbounded region white.
- Cross over any arc into a bounded region and colour it black. Then colour the the neighbouring region white, and so on.

- Given a knot diagram, it divides the plane into a number of regions.
  Colour the outer, unbounded region white.
- Cross over any arc into a bounded region and colour it black. Then colour the the neighbouring region white, and so on.
- Place a vertex in each black region. Add an edge between two vertices iff, there is a crossing that connects the two regions.

- Given a knot diagram, it divides the plane into a number of regions.
  Colour the outer, unbounded region white.
- Cross over any arc into a bounded region and colour it black. Then colour the the neighbouring region white, and so on.
- Place a vertex in each black region. Add an edge between two vertices iff, there is a crossing that connects the two regions.



- Given a knot diagram, it divides the plane into a number of regions.
  Colour the outer, unbounded region white.
- Cross over any arc into a bounded region and colour it black. Then colour the the neighbouring region white, and so on.
- Place a vertex in each black region. Add an edge between two vertices iff, there is a crossing that connects the two regions.



# **Tietze and The Knot Group (1908)**

Fundamental Group: In Algebraic Topology, a field whose foundations were laid down by Poincare, the fundamental group of X consists of closed paths in X, with multiplication being composition of paths, and inverses corresponding to reversal of direction. Paths which are topologically equivalent are identified.
## **Tietze and The Knot Group (1908)**

- Fundamental Group: In Algebraic Topology, a field whose foundations were laid down by Poincare, the fundamental group of X consists of closed paths in X, with multiplication being composition of paths, and inverses corresponding to reversal of direction. Paths which are topologically equivalent are identified.
- Knot Group: Let K be a knot in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let X be the complement, or exterior  $\mathbb{R}^3 K$ . By definition, the fundamental group of X, is called the knot group.

## **Tietze and The Knot Group (1908)**

- Fundamental Group: In Algebraic Topology, a field whose foundations were laid down by Poincare, the fundamental group of X consists of closed paths in X, with multiplication being composition of paths, and inverses corresponding to reversal of direction. Paths which are topologically equivalent are identified.
- Knot Group: Let K be a knot in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let X be the complement, or exterior  $\mathbb{R}^3 K$ . By definition, the fundamental group of X, is called the knot group.
- The knot group is an invariant of the knots. Tietze showed that the knot group can be used to distinguish between the unknot and a trefoil knot. The knot group of the trefoil is the braid group on three strings.

### **Brauner and His Theorem (1928)**

• Consider two relatively prime integers  $p, q \ge 2$ . Consider a curve C defined by the equation  $x^p + x^q = 0$ , where x, y are two complex coordinates.

### **Brauner and His Theorem (1928)**

- Consider two relatively prime integers  $p, q \ge 2$ . Consider a curve C defined by the equation  $x^p + x^q = 0$ , where x, y are two complex coordinates.
- Consider the intersection of the curve C with the sphere S<sub>ϵ</sub> defined by x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> + x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> + x<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + x<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> = ϵ<sup>2</sup>, where we used x = x<sub>1</sub> + ix<sub>2</sub>, y = x<sub>3</sub> + ix<sub>4</sub>. The resulting real algebraic curve is a (p,q) knot.

### **Brauner and His Theorem (1928)**

- Consider two relatively prime integers  $p, q \ge 2$ . Consider a curve C defined by the equation  $x^p + x^q = 0$ , where x, y are two complex coordinates.
- Consider the intersection of the curve C with the sphere S<sub>ϵ</sub> defined by x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> + x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> + x<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + x<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> = ϵ<sup>2</sup>, where we used x = x<sub>1</sub> + ix<sub>2</sub>, y = x<sub>3</sub> + ix<sub>4</sub>. The resulting real algebraic curve is a (p,q) knot.
- For p = 2, q = 3, for example, the curve C is a trefoil knot.

• Helicity: A measure of linkage between two (un)knots. Defined by  $H = \int \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \, dV$ 

- Helicity: A measure of linkage between two (un)knots. Defined by  $H = \int \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \, dV$
- Hopf link:



- Helicity: A measure of linkage between two (un)knots. Defined by  $H = \int \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \, dV$
- Hopf link:



•  $\int \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \, dV = \int \int \vec{A_1} \cdot \vec{B_1} \, dl_1 dS_1 + \int \int \vec{A_2} \cdot \vec{B_2} \, dl_2 1 dS_2$ 

- Helicity: A measure of linkage between two (un)knots. Defined by  $H = \int \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \, dV$
- Hopf link:



•  $\int \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \, dV = \int \int \vec{A_1} \cdot \vec{B_1} \, dl_1 dS_1 + \int \int \vec{A_2} \cdot \vec{B_2} \, dl_2 1 dS_2$ •  $\int \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \, dV = \int A_1 dl_1 \int B_1 dS_1 + \int A_2 dl_2 \int B_2 dS_2$ =  $\Phi_2 \Phi_1 + \Phi_1 \Phi_2 = 2\Phi_1 \Phi_2$ 

- Helicity: A measure of linkage between two (un)knots. Defined by  $H = \int \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \, dV$
- Hopf link:



- $\int \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \, dV = \int \int \vec{A_1} \cdot \vec{B_1} \, dl_1 dS_1 + \int \int \vec{A_2} \cdot \vec{B_2} \, dl_2 1 dS_2$ •  $\int \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \, dV = \int A_1 dl_1 \int B_1 dS_1 + \int A_2 dl_2 \int B_2 dS_2$ =  $\Phi_2 \Phi_1 + \Phi_1 \Phi_2 = 2\Phi_1 \Phi_2$
- If the circles are unlinked, clearly H = 0.





A single trefoil knot has twice the helicity of tube circulation.



- A single trefoil knot has twice the helicity of tube circulation.
- Any knot can be reduced to set of linked unknots.



- A single trefoil knot has twice the helicity of tube circulation.
- Any knot can be reduced to set of linked unknots.
- Any linked unknot can be reduced to a set of simply linked unknots i.e. those which are linked only once.



- A single trefoil knot has twice the helicity of tube circulation.
- Any knot can be reduced to set of linked unknots.
- Any linked unknot can be reduced to a set of simply linked unknots i.e. those which are linked only once.
- General Formula for Helicity:  $H = \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j$  where  $\alpha_{ij} = \pm 1$  for simple links, in general integers.

• General Formula for Helicity:  $H = \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j$ 

- General Formula for Helicity:  $H = \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j$
- Analogy: Energy of a current system  $W = \frac{1}{2} \times \sum_{ij} M_{ij} I_i I_j$  where  $M_{ij}$  is the mutual inductance, and  $I_i$  and  $I_j$  are the currents through the *i*th and *j*th circuits.

- General Formula for Helicity:  $H = \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j$
- Analogy: Energy of a current system  $W = \frac{1}{2} \times \sum_{ij} M_{ij} I_i I_j$  where  $M_{ij}$  is the mutual inductance, and  $I_i$  and  $I_j$  are the currents through the *i*th and *j*th circuits.
- Physically,  $M_{ij}I_i$  is the flux in the *j*th loop due to a current  $I_i$  in the *i*th loop.

- General Formula for Helicity:  $H = \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j$
- Analogy: Energy of a current system  $W = \frac{1}{2} \times \sum_{ij} M_{ij} I_i I_j$  where  $M_{ij}$  is the mutual inductance, and  $I_i$  and  $I_j$  are the currents through the *i*th and *j*th circuits.
- Physically,  $M_{ij}I_i$  is the flux in the *j*th loop due to a current  $I_i$  in the *i*th loop.

• 
$$\alpha_{ij} \sim M_{ij} \sim \frac{\Phi}{I} = \frac{1}{I} \oint_{S} \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dS} = \oint_{C'} \oint_{S} \frac{1}{4\pi} \vec{dl'}(\vec{r'}) \times \frac{(\vec{r} - \vec{r'})}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|^3} \cdot \vec{dS}(\vec{r})$$

- General Formula for Helicity:  $H = \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j$
- Analogy: Energy of a current system  $W = \frac{1}{2} \times \sum_{ij} M_{ij} I_i I_j$  where  $M_{ij}$  is the mutual inductance, and  $I_i$  and  $I_j$  are the currents through the *i*th and *j*th circuits.
- Physically,  $M_{ij}I_i$  is the flux in the *j*th loop due to a current  $I_i$  in the *i*th loop.

• 
$$\alpha_{ij} \sim M_{ij} \sim \frac{\Phi}{I} = \frac{1}{I} \oint_S \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dS} = \oint_{C'} \oint_S \frac{1}{4\pi} d\vec{l'}(\vec{r'}) \times \frac{(\vec{r} - \vec{r'})}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|^3} \cdot d\vec{S}(\vec{r})$$

•  $\alpha_{ij} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{C'} \oint_{C} \frac{\vec{y} \cdot (\vec{t} \times \vec{t}\,)}{|\vec{y}|^3} ds' ds$  where  $\vec{y} = \vec{r} - \vec{r}'$ .  $\alpha_{ij}$  is called the Gauss's Linking Number. It is a topological invariant.

•  $\alpha_{ii} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_C \oint_C \frac{\vec{y} \cdot (\vec{t}(s) \times \vec{t}(t))}{|\vec{y}|^3} ds dt$  where  $\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t) - \vec{r}(s)$ . This integral is called the Writhing Number and is denoted by W. It is the analogue of the self-inductance. It is a NOT a topological invariant.

- $\alpha_{ii} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_C \oint_C \frac{\vec{y} \cdot (\vec{t}(s) \times \vec{t}(t))}{|\vec{y}|^3} ds dt$  where  $\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t) \vec{r}(s)$ . This integral is called the Writhing Number and is denoted by W. It is the analogue of the self-inductance. It is a NOT a topological invariant.
- Superficially, logarithmically divergent. Check by expanding x(t) around t = s. All terms with negative powers of (t s) in the denominator vanish because of the triple product.

- $\alpha_{ii} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_C \oint_C \frac{\vec{y} \cdot (\vec{t}(s) \times \vec{t}(t))}{|\vec{y}|^3} ds dt$  where  $\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t) \vec{r}(s)$ . This integral is called the Writhing Number and is denoted by W. It is the analogue of the self-inductance. It is a NOT a topological invariant.
- Superficially, logarithmically divergent. Check by expanding x(t) around t = s. All terms with negative powers of (t s) in the denominator vanish because of the triple product.
- First non-vanishing term is:  $-\frac{1}{6} | t s | \frac{\vec{r} \cdot (\vec{r}'' \times \vec{r}''')(s)}{|\vec{r}'(s)|^3}$  Not divergent as  $t \to s$ .

- $\alpha_{ii} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_C \oint_C \frac{\vec{y} \cdot (\vec{t}(s) \times \vec{t}(t))}{|\vec{y}|^3} ds dt$  where  $\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t) \vec{r}(s)$ . This integral is called the Writhing Number and is denoted by W. It is the analogue of the self-inductance. It is a NOT a topological invariant.
- Superficially, logarithmically divergent. Check by expanding x(t) around t = s. All terms with negative powers of (t s) in the denominator vanish because of the triple product.
- First non-vanishing term is:  $-\frac{1}{6} \mid t s \mid \frac{\vec{r} \cdot (\vec{r}'' \times \vec{r}'')(s)}{|\vec{r}'(s)|^3}$  Not divergent as  $t \to s$ .
- This is not to say that W = 0. For  $t \neq s$ , the integral has a finite, non-zero, answer.

• A moving reference frame of a triad of orthonormal vectors used to describe a curve locally at each point  $\vec{r}(s)$  is a Frenet-Serret frame.

- A moving reference frame of a triad of orthonormal vectors used to describe a curve locally at each point  $\vec{r}(s)$  is a Frenet-Serret frame.
- Far easier and more natural to describe local properties like curvature and torsion, in terms of local coordinates.

- A moving reference frame of a triad of orthonormal vectors used to describe a curve locally at each point  $\vec{r}(s)$  is a Frenet-Serret frame.
- Far easier and more natural to describe local properties like curvature and torsion, in terms of local coordinates.
- These are the unit tangent, normal and binormal vectors, denotes by  $\hat{t}, \hat{n}, \hat{b}$  respectively.

- A moving reference frame of a triad of orthonormal vectors used to describe a curve locally at each point  $\vec{r}(s)$  is a Frenet-Serret frame.
- Far easier and more natural to describe local properties like curvature and torsion, in terms of local coordinates.
- These are the unit tangent, normal and binormal vectors, denotes by  $\hat{t}, \hat{n}, \hat{b}$  respectively.
- The *tn*-plane is called the osculating plane, the *tb*-plane is called the rectifying plane, and the *nb*-plane is called the normal plane.

- A moving reference frame of a triad of orthonormal vectors used to describe a curve locally at each point  $\vec{r}(s)$  is a Frenet-Serret frame.
- Far easier and more natural to describe local properties like curvature and torsion, in terms of local coordinates.
- These are the unit tangent, normal and binormal vectors, denotes by  $\hat{t}, \hat{n}, \hat{b}$  respectively.
- The tn- plane is called the osculating plane, the tb-plane is called the rectifying plane, and the nb-plane is called the normal plane.
- The Frenet-Serret Equations

$$\frac{d\hat{t}}{ds} = \kappa \hat{n}, \quad \frac{d\hat{n}}{ds} = -\kappa \hat{t} + \tau \hat{b}, \quad \frac{d\hat{b}}{ds} = -\tau \hat{n}$$

 $\kappa$ : Curvature,  $\tau$ : Torsion: Illustration

• Framing: Given a space curve, we can define another small ribbon based on it, with width  $\epsilon$  by the following prescription:

- Framing: Given a space curve, we can define another small ribbon based on it, with width  $\epsilon$  by the following prescription:
- $\vec{R}(s) = \vec{r}(s) + \epsilon \hat{n}, \quad \epsilon > 0$

- Framing: Given a space curve, we can define another small ribbon based on it, with width  $\epsilon$  by the following prescription:
- $\vec{R}(s) = \vec{r}(s) + \epsilon \hat{n}, \quad \epsilon > 0$
- The linking number between the two edges of the ribbon can be easily obtained using Gauss's formula. It will be an integer. We can also actually evaluate the integral in two small steps.

- Framing: Given a space curve, we can define another small ribbon based on it, with width  $\epsilon$  by the following prescription:
- $\vec{R}(s) = \vec{r}(s) + \epsilon \hat{n}, \quad \epsilon > 0$
- The linking number between the two edges of the ribbon can be easily obtained using Gauss's formula. It will be an integer. We can also actually evaluate the integral in two small steps.
- Substitute for the two edges using the above equations, and evaluate the integral for  $s \delta \le t \le s + \delta$  in the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$ , to get the following expression:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 ds \hat{t} \cdot \hat{n} \times \hat{b}$$

This is the torsion of the curve.

### **Calugareanu-White Theorem**

Since the first part of the integration is independent of  $\delta$ , the remaining integral can be calculated over the full range, for which the expression merely gives the writhing number, as already discussed.

### **Calugareanu-White Theorem**

- Since the first part of the integration is independent of  $\delta$ , the remaining integral can be calculated over the full range, for which the expression merely gives the writhing number, as already discussed.
- Hence n = T + W: Calugareanu-White Theorem

### **Calugareanu-White Theorem**

- Since the first part of the integration is independent of  $\delta$ , the remaining integral can be calculated over the full range, for which the expression merely gives the writhing number, as already discussed.
- Hence n = T + W: Calugareanu-White Theorem
- *T*, *W* are geometrical, *n* is topological. Applications in conformational transformations of long molecules.  $E = \int_C \frac{1}{2} (\alpha \tau^2 + \beta \kappa^2) ds$ , *E* is the elastic energy,  $\alpha$  is the torsional stiffness coefficient,  $\beta$  is the flexural stiffness coefficient.
# **Calugareanu-White Theorem**

- Since the first part of the integration is independent of  $\delta$ , the remaining integral can be calculated over the full range, for which the expression merely gives the writhing number, as already discussed.
- Hence n = T + W: Calugareanu-White Theorem
- *T*, *W* are geometrical, *n* is topological. Applications in conformational transformations of long molecules.  $E = \int_C \frac{1}{2} (\alpha \tau^2 + \beta \kappa^2) ds$ , *E* is the elastic energy,  $\alpha$  is the torsional stiffness coefficient,  $\beta$  is the flexural stiffness coefficient.
- Suppose a molecule has n = 4, T = 2.5, W = 1.5. Suppose that β >> α, the molecule will minimise the bending energy (curvature) by forming a flat circle for which W = 0. But this will be very twisted i.e. T = 4.

# **Calugareanu-White Theorem**

- Since the first part of the integration is independent of δ, the remaining integral can be calculated over the full range, for which the expression merely gives the writhing number, as already discussed.
- Hence n = T + W: Calugareanu-White Theorem
- *T*, *W* are geometrical, *n* is topological. Applications in conformational transformations of long molecules.  $E = \int_C \frac{1}{2} (\alpha \tau^2 + \beta \kappa^2) ds$ , *E* is the elastic energy,  $\alpha$  is the torsional stiffness coefficient,  $\beta$  is the flexural stiffness coefficient.
- Suppose a molecule has n = 4, T = 2.5, W = 1.5. Suppose that β >> α, the molecule will minimise the bending energy (curvature) by forming a flat circle for which W = 0. But this will be very twisted i.e. T = 4.
- This is an example of a twist-to-writhe transformation.

Watson and Crick discovered DNA, the molecule that encodes genetic information. Defects in DNA rectified by unknotting and knotting them back by using enzymes called topoisomerases.

- Watson and Crick discovered DNA, the molecule that encodes genetic information. Defects in DNA rectified by unknotting and knotting them back by using enzymes called topoisomerases.
- Schrodinger: What is Life?, Delbruck's Influence

- Watson and Crick discovered DNA, the molecule that encodes genetic information. Defects in DNA rectified by unknotting and knotting them back by using enzymes called topoisomerases.
- Schrodinger: What is Life?, Delbruck's Influence



- Watson and Crick discovered DNA, the molecule that encodes genetic information. Defects in DNA rectified by unknotting and knotting them back by using enzymes called topoisomerases.
- Schrodinger: What is Life?, Delbruck's Influence



# **Knots in Nature Contd.**



#### Closure

 Jones Polynomial: Solution obtained by solving Potts model, a statistical mechanical model used to understand magnetism.

#### Closure

- Jones Polynomial: Solution obtained by solving Potts model, a statistical mechanical model used to understand magnetism.
- *•* Witten: Topological Field Theory. QFT generalisation of Helicity

#### Closure

- Jones Polynomial: Solution obtained by solving Potts model, a statistical mechanical model used to understand magnetism.
- *Witten: Topological Field Theory. QFT generalisation of Helicity*
- Vasiliev: Catastrophe Theory

It is not only poets who are inspired by the tresses of beautiful girls! Physicists and mathematicians are equally susceptible.

- It is not only poets who are inspired by the tresses of beautiful girls!
  Physicists and mathematicians are equally susceptible.
- Knot Theory is an eclectic subject which derives heavily from all branches of mathematics viz. algebra, geometry, combinatorics, arithmetic, algebraic topology, algebraic geometry etc. But most of all it derives from the mother of (all) most mathematics, namely physics. So never ignore your mother!

- It is not only poets who are inspired by the tresses of beautiful girls!
  Physicists and mathematicians are equally susceptible.
- Knot Theory is an eclectic subject which derives heavily from all branches of mathematics viz. algebra, geometry, combinatorics, arithmetic, algebraic topology, algebraic geometry etc. But most of all it derives from the mother of (all) most mathematics, namely physics. So never ignore your mother!
- Was Lord Kelvin ahead of his times? If Strings come, can Knots be far behind?

- It is not only poets who are inspired by the tresses of beautiful girls!
  Physicists and mathematicians are equally susceptible.
- Knot Theory is an eclectic subject which derives heavily from all branches of mathematics viz. algebra, geometry, combinatorics, arithmetic, algebraic topology, algebraic geometry etc. But most of all it derives from the mother of (all) most mathematics, namely physics. So never ignore your mother!
- Was Lord Kelvin ahead of his times? If Strings come, can Knots be far behind?
- *Genius: Treasure a good idea, nurture it.*

- It is not only poets who are inspired by the tresses of beautiful girls!
  Physicists and mathematicians are equally susceptible.
- Knot Theory is an eclectic subject which derives heavily from all branches of mathematics viz. algebra, geometry, combinatorics, arithmetic, algebraic topology, algebraic geometry etc. But most of all it derives from the mother of (all) most mathematics, namely physics. So never ignore your mother!
- Was Lord Kelvin ahead of his times? If Strings come, can Knots be far behind?
- *Genius: Treasure a good idea, nurture it.*
- THANKS!