

Lambda calculus

Madhavan Mukund, **SP Suresh**

Programming Language Concepts
Lecture 15, 11 March 2025

λ -calculus

- A notation for **computable functions**

λ -calculus

- A notation for **computable functions**
 - **Alonzo Church**

λ -calculus

- A notation for **computable functions**
 - **Alonzo Church**
- How do we describe a function?

λ -calculus

- A notation for **computable functions**
 - **Alonzo Church**
- How do we describe a function?
 - By its graph – a binary relation between **domain** and **codomain**

λ -calculus

- A notation for **computable functions**
 - **Alonzo Church**
- How do we describe a function?
 - By its graph – a binary relation between **domain** and **codomain**
 - Single-valued

λ -calculus

- A notation for **computable functions**
 - **Alonzo Church**
- How do we describe a function?
 - By its graph – a binary relation between **domain** and **codomain**
 - Single-valued
 - **Extensional** – graph completely defines the function

λ -calculus

- A notation for **computable functions**
 - **Alonzo Church**
- How do we describe a function?
 - By its graph – a binary relation between **domain** and **codomain**
 - Single-valued
 - **Extensional** – graph completely defines the function
- An extensional definition is not suitable for computation

- A notation for **computable functions**
 - **Alonzo Church**
- How do we describe a function?
 - By its graph – a binary relation between **domain** and **codomain**
 - Single-valued
 - **Extensional** – graph completely defines the function
- An extensional definition is not suitable for computation
 - All sorting functions are the same!

- A notation for **computable functions**
 - **Alonzo Church**
- How do we describe a function?
 - By its graph – a binary relation between **domain** and **codomain**
 - Single-valued
 - **Extensional** – graph completely defines the function
- An extensional definition is not suitable for computation
 - All sorting functions are the same!
- Need an **intensional** definition

- A notation for **computable functions**
 - **Alonzo Church**
- How do we describe a function?
 - By its graph – a binary relation between **domain** and **codomain**
 - Single-valued
 - **Extensional** – graph completely defines the function
- An extensional definition is not suitable for computation
 - All sorting functions are the same!
- Need an **intensional** definition
 - How are outputs computed from inputs?

λ -calculus: syntax

- Assume a countably infinite set *Var* of variables

λ -calculus: syntax

- Assume a countably infinite set Var of variables
- The set Δ of lambda expressions is given by

$$\Delta ::= x \mid (\lambda x . M) \mid (MN)$$

where $x \in Var$ and $M, N \in \Delta$.

λ -calculus: syntax

- Assume a countably infinite set Var of variables
- The set Δ of lambda expressions is given by

$$\Delta ::= x \mid (\lambda x \cdot M) \mid (MN)$$

where $x \in Var$ and $M, N \in \Delta$.

- $(\lambda x \cdot M)$: **Abstraction**

λ -calculus: syntax

- Assume a countably infinite set Var of variables
- The set Δ of lambda expressions is given by

$$\Delta ::= x \mid (\lambda x \cdot M) \mid (MN)$$

where $x \in Var$ and $M, N \in \Delta$.

- $(\lambda x \cdot M)$: **Abstraction**
 - A function of x with computation rule M .

λ -calculus: syntax

- Assume a countably infinite set Var of variables
- The set Δ of lambda expressions is given by

$$\Delta ::= x \mid (\lambda x \cdot M) \mid (MN)$$

where $x \in Var$ and $M, N \in \Delta$.

- $(\lambda x \cdot M)$: **Abstraction**
 - A function of x with computation rule M .
 - “Abstracts” the computation rule M over arbitrary input values x

λ -calculus: syntax

- Assume a countably infinite set Var of variables
- The set Δ of lambda expressions is given by

$$\Delta ::= x \mid (\lambda x \cdot M) \mid (MN)$$

where $x \in Var$ and $M, N \in \Delta$.

- $(\lambda x \cdot M)$: **Abstraction**
 - A function of x with computation rule M .
 - “Abstracts” the computation rule M over arbitrary input values x
 - Like writing $f(x) = e$, but not assigning a name f

λ -calculus: syntax

- Assume a countably infinite set Var of variables
- The set Δ of lambda expressions is given by

$$\Delta ::= x \mid (\lambda x \cdot M) \mid (MN)$$

where $x \in Var$ and $M, N \in \Delta$.

- $(\lambda x \cdot M)$: **Abstraction**
 - A function of x with computation rule M .
 - “Abstracts” the computation rule M over arbitrary input values x
 - Like writing $f(x) = e$, but not assigning a name f
- (MN) : **Application**

λ -calculus: syntax

- Assume a countably infinite set Var of variables
- The set Δ of lambda expressions is given by

$$\Delta ::= x \mid (\lambda x \cdot M) \mid (MN)$$

where $x \in Var$ and $M, N \in \Delta$.

- $(\lambda x \cdot M)$: **Abstraction**
 - A function of x with computation rule M .
 - “Abstracts” the computation rule M over arbitrary input values x
 - Like writing $f(x) = e$, but not assigning a name f
- (MN) : **Application**
 - Apply the function M to the argument N

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Can write expressions such as (xx) — no types!

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Can write expressions such as (xx) — no types!
- What can we do without types?

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Can write expressions such as (xx) — no types!
- What can we do without types?
 - Set theory as a basis for mathematics

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Can write expressions such as (xx) — no types!
- What can we do without types?
 - Set theory as a basis for mathematics
 - Bit strings in memory

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Can write expressions such as (xx) — no types!
- What can we do without types?
 - Set theory as a basis for mathematics
 - Bit strings in memory
- In an untyped world, some data is **meaningful**

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Can write expressions such as (xx) — no types!
- What can we do without types?
 - Set theory as a basis for mathematics
 - Bit strings in memory
- In an untyped world, some data is **meaningful**
- Functions manipulate meaningful data to yield meaningful data

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Can write expressions such as (xx) — no types!
- What can we do without types?
 - Set theory as a basis for mathematics
 - Bit strings in memory
- In an untyped world, some data is **meaningful**
- Functions manipulate meaningful data to yield meaningful data
- Can also apply functions to non-meaningful data, but the result has no significance

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left
 - $((MN)P)$ is abbreviated (MNP)

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left
 - $((MN)P)$ is abbreviated (MNP)
- Abstraction associates to the right

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left
 - $((MN)P)$ is abbreviated (MNP)
- Abstraction associates to the right
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot M))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot M)$

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left
 - $((MN)P)$ is abbreviated (MNP)
- Abstraction associates to the right
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot M))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot M)$
 - More drastically, $(\lambda x_1 \cdot (\lambda x_2 \dots (\lambda x_n \cdot M) \dots))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \cdot M)$

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left
 - $((MN)P)$ is abbreviated (MNP)
- Abstraction associates to the right
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot M))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot M)$
 - More drastically, $(\lambda x_1 \cdot (\lambda x_2 \dots (\lambda x_n \cdot M) \dots))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \cdot M)$
 - $(\lambda x \cdot MN)$ means $(\lambda x \cdot (MN))$. Everything after the \cdot is the body.

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left
 - $((MN)P)$ is abbreviated (MNP)
- Abstraction associates to the right
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot M))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot M)$
 - More drastically, $(\lambda x_1 \cdot (\lambda x_2 \dots (\lambda x_n \cdot M) \dots))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \cdot M)$
 - $(\lambda x \cdot MN)$ means $(\lambda x \cdot (MN))$. Everything after the \cdot is the body.
 - Use $((\lambda x \cdot M)N)$ for applying $(\lambda x \cdot M)$ to N

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left
 - $((MN)P)$ is abbreviated (MNP)
- Abstraction associates to the right
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot M))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot M)$
 - More drastically, $(\lambda x_1 \cdot (\lambda x_2 \dots (\lambda x_n \cdot M) \dots))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \cdot M)$
 - $(\lambda x \cdot MN)$ means $(\lambda x \cdot (MN))$. Everything after the \cdot is the body.
 - Use $((\lambda x \cdot M)N)$ for applying $(\lambda x \cdot M)$ to N
- Omit outermost parentheses

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left
 - $((MN)P)$ is abbreviated (MNP)
- Abstraction associates to the right
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot M))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot M)$
 - More drastically, $(\lambda x_1 \cdot (\lambda x_2 \dots (\lambda x_n \cdot M) \dots))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \cdot M)$
 - $(\lambda x \cdot MN)$ means $(\lambda x \cdot (MN))$. Everything after the \cdot is the body.
 - Use $((\lambda x \cdot M)N)$ for applying $(\lambda x \cdot M)$ to N
- Omit outermost parentheses
- Examples

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left
 - $((MN)P)$ is abbreviated (MNP)
- Abstraction associates to the right
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot M))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot M)$
 - More drastically, $(\lambda x_1 \cdot (\lambda x_2 \dots (\lambda x_n \cdot M) \dots))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \cdot M)$
 - $(\lambda x \cdot MN)$ means $(\lambda x \cdot (MN))$. Everything after the \cdot is the body.
 - Use $((\lambda x \cdot M)N)$ for applying $(\lambda x \cdot M)$ to N
- Omit outermost parentheses
- Examples
 - $(\lambda x \cdot x)(\lambda y \cdot y)(\lambda z \cdot z)$ is short for $((\lambda x \cdot x)(\lambda y \cdot y))(\lambda z \cdot z)$

λ -calculus: syntax...

- Application associates to the left
 - $((MN)P)$ is abbreviated (MNP)
- Abstraction associates to the right
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot M))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot M)$
 - More drastically, $(\lambda x_1 \cdot (\lambda x_2 \dots (\lambda x_n \cdot M) \dots))$ is abbreviated $(\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \cdot M)$
 - $(\lambda x \cdot MN)$ means $(\lambda x \cdot (MN))$. Everything after the \cdot is the body.
 - Use $((\lambda x \cdot M)N)$ for applying $(\lambda x \cdot M)$ to N
- Omit outermost parentheses
- Examples
 - $(\lambda x \cdot x)(\lambda y \cdot y)(\lambda z \cdot z)$ is short for $((\lambda x \cdot x)(\lambda y \cdot y))(\lambda z \cdot z)$
 - $\lambda f \cdot (\lambda u \cdot f(uu))(\lambda u \cdot f(uu))$ is short for $(\lambda f \cdot ((\lambda u \cdot f(uu))(\lambda u \cdot f(uu))))$

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or contraction)

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or contraction)
 - $(\lambda x . M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or contraction)
 - $(\lambda x \cdot M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$
 - A term of the form $(\lambda x \cdot M)N$ is a **redex**

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or contraction)
 - $(\lambda x \cdot M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$
 - A term of the form $(\lambda x \cdot M)N$ is a **redex**
 - $M[x := N]$ is the **contractum**

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or contraction)
 - $(\lambda x . M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$
 - A term of the form $(\lambda x . M)N$ is a **redex**
 - $M[x := N]$ is the **contractum**
- $M[x := N]$: substitute **free** occurrences of x in M by N

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or contraction)
 - $(\lambda x . M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$
 - A term of the form $(\lambda x . M)N$ is a **redex**
 - $M[x := N]$ is the **contractum**
- $M[x := N]$: substitute **free** occurrences of x in M by N
- This is the normal rule we use for functions:

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or contraction)
 - $(\lambda x \cdot M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$
 - A term of the form $(\lambda x \cdot M)N$ is a **redex**
 - $M[x := N]$ is the **contractum**
- $M[x := N]$: substitute **free** occurrences of x in M by N
- This is the normal rule we use for functions:
 - $f(x) = 2x^3 + 5x + 3$

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or **contraction**)
 - $(\lambda x \cdot M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$
 - A term of the form $(\lambda x \cdot M)N$ is a **redex**
 - $M[x := N]$ is the **contractum**
- $M[x := N]$: substitute **free** occurrences of x in M by N
- This is the normal rule we use for functions:
 - $f(x) = 2x^3 + 5x + 3$
 - $f(7) = (2x^3 + 5x + 3)[x := 7] = 2 \cdot 7^3 + 5 \cdot 7 + 3 = 724$

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or contraction)
 - $(\lambda x \cdot M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$
 - A term of the form $(\lambda x \cdot M)N$ is a **redex**
 - $M[x := N]$ is the **contractum**
- $M[x := N]$: substitute **free** occurrences of x in M by N
- This is the normal rule we use for functions:
 - $f(x) = 2x^3 + 5x + 3$
 - $f(7) = (2x^3 + 5x + 3)[x := 7] = 2 \cdot 7^3 + 5 \cdot 7 + 3 = 724$
- β is the **only** rule we need

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or **contraction**)
 - $(\lambda x \cdot M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$
 - A term of the form $(\lambda x \cdot M)N$ is a **redex**
 - $M[x := N]$ is the **contractum**
- $M[x := N]$: substitute **free** occurrences of x in M by N
- This is the normal rule we use for functions:
 - $f(x) = 2x^3 + 5x + 3$
 - $f(7) = (2x^3 + 5x + 3)[x := 7] = 2 \cdot 7^3 + 5 \cdot 7 + 3 = 724$
- β is the **only** rule we need
- MN is meaningful only if M is of the form $\lambda x \cdot P$

The computation rule β

- Basic rule for computation (rewriting) is called β -reduction (or **contraction**)
 - $(\lambda x \cdot M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$
 - A term of the form $(\lambda x \cdot M)N$ is a **redex**
 - $M[x := N]$ is the **contractum**
- $M[x := N]$: substitute **free** occurrences of x in M by N
- This is the normal rule we use for functions:
 - $f(x) = 2x^3 + 5x + 3$
 - $f(7) = (2x^3 + 5x + 3)[x := 7] = 2 \cdot 7^3 + 5 \cdot 7 + 3 = 724$
- β is the **only** rule we need
- MN is meaningful only if M is of the form $\lambda x \cdot P$
 - Cannot do anything with terms like xx or $(y(\lambda x \cdot x))(\lambda y \cdot y)$

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\text{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(MN) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \cup \mathbf{fv}(N)$

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(MN) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \cup \mathbf{fv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(\lambda x . M) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \setminus \{x\}$

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(MN) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \cup \mathbf{fv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(\lambda x . M) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \setminus \{x\}$
- $\mathbf{bv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring bound in M

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(MN) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \cup \mathbf{fv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(\lambda x . M) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \setminus \{x\}$
- $\mathbf{bv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring bound in M
 - $\mathbf{bv}(x) = \emptyset$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(MN) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \cup \mathbf{fv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(\lambda x . M) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \setminus \{x\}$
- $\mathbf{bv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring bound in M
 - $\mathbf{bv}(x) = \emptyset$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(MN) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup \mathbf{bv}(N)$

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(MN) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \cup \mathbf{fv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(\lambda x . M) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \setminus \{x\}$
- $\mathbf{bv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring bound in M
 - $\mathbf{bv}(x) = \emptyset$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(MN) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup \mathbf{bv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(\lambda x . M) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup (\{x\} \cap \mathbf{fv}(M))$

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \text{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(MN) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \cup \mathbf{fv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(\lambda x \cdot M) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \setminus \{x\}$
- $\mathbf{bv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring bound in M
 - $\mathbf{bv}(x) = \emptyset$, for any $x \in \text{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(MN) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup \mathbf{bv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(\lambda x \cdot M) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup (\{x\} \cap \mathbf{fv}(M))$
- Example: $M = xy(\lambda x \cdot z)(\lambda yw \cdot y)$

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(MN) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \cup \mathbf{fv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(\lambda x . M) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \setminus \{x\}$
- $\mathbf{bv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring bound in M
 - $\mathbf{bv}(x) = \emptyset$, for any $x \in \mathit{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(MN) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup \mathbf{bv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(\lambda x . M) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup (\{x\} \cap \mathbf{fv}(M))$
- Example: $M = xy(\lambda x . z)(\lambda yw . y)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(M) = \{x, y, z\}$ $\mathbf{bv}(M) = \{y\}$

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \text{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(MN) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \cup \mathbf{fv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(\lambda x . M) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \setminus \{x\}$
- $\mathbf{bv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring bound in M
 - $\mathbf{bv}(x) = \emptyset$, for any $x \in \text{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(MN) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup \mathbf{bv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(\lambda x . M) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup (\{x\} \cap \mathbf{fv}(M))$
- Example: $M = xy(\lambda x . z)(\lambda yw . y)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(M) = \{x, y, z\}$ $\mathbf{bv}(M) = \{y\}$
 - **Note**: Possible for a variable to be both in $\mathbf{fv}(M)$ and $\mathbf{bv}(M)$

Free and bound variables

- An occurrence of a variable x in M is free if it does not occur in the scope of a λx inside M
- $\mathbf{fv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring free in M
 - $\mathbf{fv}(x) = \{x\}$, for any $x \in \text{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(MN) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \cup \mathbf{fv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(\lambda x \cdot M) = \mathbf{fv}(M) \setminus \{x\}$
- $\mathbf{bv}(M)$: set of all variables occurring bound in M
 - $\mathbf{bv}(x) = \emptyset$, for any $x \in \text{Var}$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(MN) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup \mathbf{bv}(N)$
 - $\mathbf{bv}(\lambda x \cdot M) = \mathbf{bv}(M) \cup (\{x\} \cap \mathbf{fv}(M))$
- Example: $M = xy(\lambda x \cdot z)(\lambda yw \cdot y)$
 - $\mathbf{fv}(M) = \{x, y, z\}$ $\mathbf{bv}(M) = \{y\}$
 - **Note**: Possible for a variable to be both in $\mathbf{fv}(M)$ and $\mathbf{bv}(M)$
 - **Note**: There is no bound occurrence of w

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it
 - $\lambda x \cdot M$ takes two arguments and applies the first to the second

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it
 - $\lambda x \cdot M$ takes two arguments and applies the first to the second
 - P fixes the value of the x above as y

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it
 - $\lambda x \cdot M$ takes two arguments and applies the first to the second
 - P fixes the value of the x above as y
 - Meaning of P : Take an argument and apply y to it!

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it
 - $\lambda x \cdot M$ takes two arguments and applies the first to the second
 - P fixes the value of the x above as y
 - Meaning of P : Take an argument and apply y to it!
- β -reduction on P yields $\lambda y \cdot yy$

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it
 - $\lambda x \cdot M$ takes two arguments and applies the first to the second
 - P fixes the value of the x above as y
 - Meaning of P : Take an argument and apply y to it!
- β -reduction on P yields $\lambda y \cdot yy$
 - Meaning: Take an argument and apply it to itself!

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it
 - $\lambda x \cdot M$ takes two arguments and applies the first to the second
 - P fixes the value of the x above as y
 - Meaning of P : Take an argument and apply y to it!
- β -reduction on P yields $\lambda y \cdot yy$
 - Meaning: Take an argument and apply it to itself!
- The y substituted for x has been “confused” with the y bound by λy

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it
 - $\lambda x \cdot M$ takes two arguments and applies the first to the second
 - P fixes the value of the x above as y
 - Meaning of P : Take an argument and apply y to it!
- β -reduction on P yields $\lambda y \cdot yy$
 - Meaning: Take an argument and apply it to itself!
- The y substituted for x has been “confused” with the y bound by λy
- Rename bound variables to avoid capture

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it
 - $\lambda x \cdot M$ takes two arguments and applies the first to the second
 - P fixes the value of the x above as y
 - Meaning of P : Take an argument and apply y to it!
- β -reduction on P yields $\lambda y \cdot yy$
 - Meaning: Take an argument and apply it to itself!
- The y substituted for x has been “confused” with the y bound by λy
- Rename bound variables to avoid capture
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot xy))y = (\lambda x \cdot (\lambda z \cdot xz))y \longrightarrow_{\beta} \lambda z \cdot yz$

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it
 - $\lambda x \cdot M$ takes two arguments and applies the first to the second
 - P fixes the value of the x above as y
 - Meaning of P : Take an argument and apply y to it!
- β -reduction on P yields $\lambda y \cdot yy$
 - Meaning: Take an argument and apply it to itself!
- The y substituted for x has been “confused” with the y bound by λy
- Rename bound variables to avoid capture
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot xy))y = (\lambda x \cdot (\lambda z \cdot xz))y \longrightarrow_{\beta} \lambda z \cdot yz$
- Renaming bound variables does not change the function

Variable capture

- Let $M = \lambda y \cdot xy$, $N = y$ and $P = (\lambda x \cdot M)N$
 - $P = (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot xy)y$
 - M takes an argument and applies x to it
 - $\lambda x \cdot M$ takes two arguments and applies the first to the second
 - P fixes the value of the x above as y
 - Meaning of P : Take an argument and apply y to it!
- β -reduction on P yields $\lambda y \cdot yy$
 - Meaning: Take an argument and apply it to itself!
- The y substituted for x has been “confused” with the y bound by λy
- Rename bound variables to avoid capture
 - $(\lambda x \cdot (\lambda y \cdot xy))y = (\lambda x \cdot (\lambda z \cdot xz))y \longrightarrow_{\beta} \lambda z \cdot yz$
- Renaming bound variables does not change the function
 - $f(x) = 2x + 7$ vs. $f(z) = 2z + 7$

$M[x := N]$

- $x[x := N] = N$

$M[x := N]$

- $x[x := N] = N$
- $y[x := N] = y$, where $y \in Var$ and $y \neq x$

$M[x := N]$

- $x[x := N] = N$
- $y[x := N] = y$, where $y \in Var$ and $y \neq x$
- $(PQ)[x := N] = (P[x := N])(Q[x := N])$

$M[x := N]$

- $x[x := N] = N$
- $y[x := N] = y$, where $y \in Var$ and $y \neq x$
- $(PQ)[x := N] = (P[x := N])(Q[x := N])$
- $(\lambda x . P)[x := N] = \lambda x . P$

$M[x := N]$

- $x[x := N] = N$
- $y[x := N] = y$, where $y \in Var$ and $y \neq x$
- $(PQ)[x := N] = (P[x := N])(Q[x := N])$
- $(\lambda x . P)[x := N] = \lambda x . P$
- $(\lambda y . P)[x := N] = \lambda y . (P[x := N])$, where $y \neq x$ and $y \notin \mathbf{fv}(N)$

$M[x := N]$

- $x[x := N] = N$
- $y[x := N] = y$, where $y \in Var$ and $y \neq x$
- $(PQ)[x := N] = (P[x := N])(Q[x := N])$
- $(\lambda x . P)[x := N] = \lambda x . P$
- $(\lambda y . P)[x := N] = \lambda y . (P[x := N])$, where $y \neq x$ and $y \notin \mathbf{fv}(N)$
- $(\lambda y . P)[x := N] = \lambda z . ((P[y := z])[x := N])$, where $y \neq x$, $y \in \mathbf{fv}(N)$, and z does not occur in P or N

$M[x := N]$

- $x[x := N] = N$
- $y[x := N] = y$, where $y \in Var$ and $y \neq x$
- $(PQ)[x := N] = (P[x := N])(Q[x := N])$
- $(\lambda x . P)[x := N] = \lambda x . P$
- $(\lambda y . P)[x := N] = \lambda y . (P[x := N])$, where $y \neq x$ and $y \notin \mathbf{fv}(N)$
- $(\lambda y . P)[x := N] = \lambda z . ((P[y := z])[x := N])$, where $y \neq x$, $y \in \mathbf{fv}(N)$, and z does not occur in P or N
 - We fix a global ordering on Var and choose z to be the **first** variable not occurring in either P or N

$M[x := N]$

- $x[x := N] = N$
- $y[x := N] = y$, where $y \in Var$ and $y \neq x$
- $(PQ)[x := N] = (P[x := N])(Q[x := N])$
- $(\lambda x . P)[x := N] = \lambda x . P$
- $(\lambda y . P)[x := N] = \lambda y . (P[x := N])$, where $y \neq x$ and $y \notin \mathbf{fv}(N)$
- $(\lambda y . P)[x := N] = \lambda z . ((P[y := z])[x := N])$, where $y \neq x$, $y \in \mathbf{fv}(N)$, and z does not occur in P or N
 - We fix a global ordering on Var and choose z to be the **first** variable not occurring in either P or N
 - Makes the definition deterministic

Applying β in context

- We can contract a redex appearing anywhere inside an expression

Applying β in context

- We can contract a redex appearing anywhere inside an expression
- Captured by the following rules

$$(\lambda x . M) N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$$

$$\frac{M \longrightarrow_{\beta} M'}{MN \longrightarrow_{\beta} M'N} \quad \frac{N \longrightarrow_{\beta} N'}{MN \longrightarrow_{\beta} MN'} \quad \frac{M \longrightarrow_{\beta} M'}{\lambda x . M \longrightarrow_{\beta} \lambda x . M'}$$

Applying β in context

- We can contract a redex appearing anywhere inside an expression
- Captured by the following rules

$$(\lambda x. M) N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$$

$$\frac{M \longrightarrow_{\beta} M'}{MN \longrightarrow_{\beta} M'N} \quad \frac{N \longrightarrow_{\beta} N'}{MN \longrightarrow_{\beta} MN'} \quad \frac{M \longrightarrow_{\beta} M'}{\lambda x. M \longrightarrow_{\beta} \lambda x. M'}$$

- $M \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$: repeatedly apply β -reduction to get N

Applying β in context

- We can contract a redex appearing anywhere inside an expression
- Captured by the following rules

$$(\lambda x. M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$$

$$\frac{M \longrightarrow_{\beta} M'}{MN \longrightarrow_{\beta} M'N} \quad \frac{N \longrightarrow_{\beta} N'}{MN \longrightarrow_{\beta} MN'} \quad \frac{M \longrightarrow_{\beta} M'}{\lambda x. M \longrightarrow_{\beta} \lambda x. M'}$$

- $M \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N$: repeatedly apply β -reduction to get N
 - There is a sequence M_0, M_1, \dots, M_k such that

$$M = M_0 \longrightarrow_{\beta} M_1 \longrightarrow_{\beta} \dots \longrightarrow_{\beta} M_k = N$$

Encoding arithmetic

- In set theory, use nesting to encode numbers

Encoding arithmetic

- In set theory, use nesting to encode numbers
 - Encoding of n : $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle$

Encoding arithmetic

- In set theory, use nesting to encode numbers
 - Encoding of n : $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle$
 - $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle = \{\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle n - 1 \rangle\rangle\}$

Encoding arithmetic

- In set theory, use nesting to encode numbers
 - Encoding of n : $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle$
 - $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle = \{\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle n - 1 \rangle\rangle\}$
 - Thus

Encoding arithmetic

- In set theory, use nesting to encode numbers
 - Encoding of n : $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle$
 - $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle = \{\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle n - 1 \rangle\rangle\}$
 - Thus
 - $\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle = \emptyset$

Encoding arithmetic

- In set theory, use nesting to encode numbers
 - Encoding of n : $\ll n \gg$
 - $\ll n \gg = \{\ll 0 \gg, \ll 1 \gg, \dots, \ll n - 1 \gg\}$
 - Thus
 - $\ll 0 \gg = \emptyset$
 - $\ll 1 \gg = \{\emptyset\}$

Encoding arithmetic

- In set theory, use nesting to encode numbers
 - Encoding of n : $\ll n \gg$
 - $\ll n \gg = \{\ll 0 \gg, \ll 1 \gg, \dots, \ll n - 1 \gg\}$
 - Thus
 - $\ll 0 \gg = \emptyset$
 - $\ll 1 \gg = \{\emptyset\}$
 - $\ll 2 \gg = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$

Encoding arithmetic

- In set theory, use nesting to encode numbers
 - Encoding of n : $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle$
 - $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle = \{\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle n-1 \rangle\rangle\}$
 - Thus
 - $\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle = \emptyset$
 - $\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle = \{\emptyset\}$
 - $\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$
 - $\langle\langle 3 \rangle\rangle = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}$

Encoding arithmetic

- In set theory, use nesting to encode numbers
 - Encoding of n : $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle$
 - $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle = \{\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle n-1 \rangle\rangle\}$
 - Thus
 - $\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle = \emptyset$
 - $\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle = \{\emptyset\}$
 - $\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$
 - $\langle\langle 3 \rangle\rangle = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}$
- In λ -calculus, we encode n by the number of times we apply a function (**successor**) to an element (**zero**)

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$
 - $f^0 x = x$

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$
 - $f^0 x = x$
 - $f^{n+1} x = f (f^n x)$

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$
 - $f^0 x = x$
 - $f^{n+1} x = f (f^n x)$
 - Thus $f^n x = f (f (\dots (f x) \dots))$, where f is applied repeatedly n times

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$
 - $f^0 x = x$
 - $f^{n+1} x = f (f^n x)$
 - Thus $f^n x = f (f (\dots (f x) \dots))$, where f is applied repeatedly n times
- For instance

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$
 - $f^0 x = x$
 - $f^{n+1} x = f (f^n x)$
 - Thus $f^n x = f (f (\dots (f x) \dots))$, where f is applied repeatedly n times
- For instance
 - $\llbracket 0 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . x$

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$
 - $f^0 x = x$
 - $f^{n+1} x = f (f^n x)$
 - Thus $f^n x = f (f (\dots (f x) \dots))$, where f is applied repeatedly n times
- For instance
 - $\llbracket 0 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . x$
 - $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f x$

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$
 - $f^0 x = x$
 - $f^{n+1} x = f (f^n x)$
 - Thus $f^n x = f (f (\dots (f x) \dots))$, where f is applied repeatedly n times
- For instance
 - $\llbracket 0 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . x$
 - $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f x$
 - $\llbracket 2 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f (f x)$

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$
 - $f^0 x = x$
 - $f^{n+1} x = f (f^n x)$
 - Thus $f^n x = f (f (\dots (f x) \dots))$, where f is applied repeatedly n times
- For instance
 - $\llbracket 0 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . x$
 - $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f x$
 - $\llbracket 2 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f (f x)$
 - $\llbracket 3 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f (f (f x))$

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$
 - $f^0 x = x$
 - $f^{n+1} x = f (f^n x)$
 - Thus $f^n x = f (f (\dots (f x) \dots))$, where f is applied repeatedly n times
- For instance
 - $\llbracket 0 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . x$
 - $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f x$
 - $\llbracket 2 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f (f x)$
 - $\llbracket 3 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f (f (f x))$
 - ...

Church numerals

- $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f^n x$
 - $f^0 x = x$
 - $f^{n+1} x = f (f^n x)$
 - Thus $f^n x = f (f (\dots (f x) \dots))$, where f is applied repeatedly n times
- For instance
 - $\llbracket 0 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . x$
 - $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f x$
 - $\llbracket 2 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f (f x)$
 - $\llbracket 3 \rrbracket = \lambda f x . f (f (f x))$
 - ...
- $\llbracket n \rrbracket g y = (\lambda f x . f (\dots (f x) \dots)) g y \xrightarrow{\beta^*} g (\dots (g y) \dots) = g^n y$