

Predictive Analytics

Regression and Classification

Lecture 2 : Part 3

Sourish Das

Chennai Mathematical Institute

Aug-Nov, 2020



How to compare two models?

Model 1 $\text{mpg} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{wt} + \epsilon$

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept) | 37.2851 | 1.8776 | 19.8576 | 0 |
| wt | -5.3445 | 0.5591 | -9.5590 | 0 |

Model 2 $\text{mpg} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{wt} + \beta_2 \text{hp} + \epsilon$

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept) | 37.2273 | 1.5988 | 23.2847 | 0.0000 |
| wt | -3.8778 | 0.6327 | -6.1287 | 0.0000 |
| hp | -0.0318 | 0.0090 | -3.5187 | 0.0015 |

Model 3 $\text{mpg} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{hp} + \beta_2 \text{hp}^2 + \epsilon$

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept) | 40.4091 | 2.7408 | 14.7438 | 0e+00 |
| hp | -0.2133 | 0.0349 | -6.1148 | 0e+00 |
| I(hp^2) | 0.0004 | 0.0001 | 4.2746 | 2e-04 |



compare models: RMSE

- ▶ The main purpose of the predictive model is to make accurate prediction. So we compare them based on their prediction accuracy.
- ▶ **Root Mean Square Error**

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2},$$

where y_i actual target value, and \hat{y}_i predicted value.

- ▶ You choose the model with lower RMSE.



compare models: coefficient of determination

- ▶ **R-squared (the coefficient of determination)**

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{SS_{res}}{SS_{tot}},$$

where $SS_{res} = \sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$, $SS_{tot} = \sum_i (y_i - \bar{y})^2$,
 $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i y_i$ and $SS_{reg} = \sum_i (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$

Choose the model with higher R^2 .

- ▶ **“R squared”** is the proportion of the variance of the target variable that is predictable from the feature variable(s).



compare models: coefficient of determination

Result For OLS estimator, $SS_{tot} = SS_{reg} + SS_{res}$, and $0 \leq R^2 \leq 1$.

Note : The result is specific for OLS estimator. For other estimators like Bayes or LASSO, or Bootstrap estimator this result is not necessarily true.



compare models: adjusted R-squared

- ▶ In least squares regression, the **R-squared** increases in the number of feature increase the value of R^2 .
- ▶ **R-squared** alone cannot be used for comparison of models with very different numbers of feature variables.
- ▶ In order to solve the problem of **R-squared**, the **adjusted R-square** are used:

$$R_{adjusted}^2 = 1 - (1 - R^2) \frac{n - 1}{n - p - 1},$$

where p is the number of features in the model and n is the sample size.



compare models: Akaike Information criterion

- ▶ AIC is founded on information theory.
- ▶ AIC is defined as:

$$AIC = 2p - 2 \ln(L(\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})),$$

where p is the number of features; $L(\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})$ is the likelihood function of regression model evaluated at MLE or OLS estimator of β .

- ▶ Given a set of models, our preferred model is the one with the minimum AIC value.

Result Show that OLS estimator of β is also MLE.



compare models: Bayesian Information criterion

- ▶ Like AIC, BIC also is founded on information theory.
- ▶ BIC is defined as:

$$BIC = p \ln(n) - 2 \ln(L(\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})),$$

where p is the number of features; n is the sample size, $L(\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})$ is the likelihood function of regression model evaluated at MLE or OLS estimator of β ,

- ▶ Given a set of models, our preferred model is the one with the minimum AIC value.

Result Show that OLS estimator of β is also MLE.



compare models

Model 1 $\text{mpg} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{wt} + \epsilon$

RMSE = 2.95 , R-sqrd = 0.75 , adj R-sqrd = 0.74

AIC = 166.0294 BIC= 170.4266

Model 2 $\text{mpg} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{wt} + \beta_2 \text{hp} + \epsilon$

RMSE = 2.47 , R-sqrd = 0.83 , adj R-sqrd = 0.81

AIC = 156.6523 BIC= 162.5153

Model 3 $\text{mpg} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{hp} + \beta_2 \text{hp}^2 + \epsilon$

RMSE = 2.93 , R-sqrd = 0.76 , adj R-sqrd = 0.74

AIC = 167.6023 BIC= 173.4652

- ▶ Out of the three models, which one you would like to choose and why?



- ▶ Yes - we will like to choose the Model 2.

compare models

Model 1 $\text{mpg} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{wt} + \epsilon$

RMSE = 2.95 , R-sqrd = 0.75 , adj R-sqrd = 0.74

AIC = 166.0294 BIC= 170.4266

Model 2 $\text{mpg} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{wt} + \beta_2 \text{hp} + \epsilon$

RMSE = 2.47 , R-sqrd = 0.83 , adj R-sqrd = 0.81

AIC = 156.6523 BIC= 162.5153

Model 3 $\text{mpg} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{hp} + \beta_2 \text{hp}^2 + \epsilon$

RMSE = 2.93 , R-sqrd = 0.76 , adj R-sqrd = 0.74

AIC = 167.6023 BIC= 173.4652

- ▶ Out of the three models, which one you would like to choose and why?



- ▶ Yes - we will like to choose the [Model 2](#).

In the next part of this lecture...

- ▶ We will try to understand the complexity of models.

cm_i