## Mathematical Logic

## Chennai Mathematical Institute

## End-Semester Exam, December 2021

1. Consider the first order language with three unary relation symbols C, D, F. Consider a structure for this language in which the universe of discourse is the set of all your classmates. Let C (resp. D, F) be the subset of classmates who have a pet cat (resp. dog, ferret). Express each of the following statements using first order logic.

(a)	A classmate has a cat, dog and a ferret.	(3)
(b)	All your classmates have a cat, dog or a ferret.	(3)
(c)	At least one of your classmates has a pet cat and a ferret, but no dog.	ot a (3)
(d)	None of your classmates has a cat, dog and a ferret.	(3)
(e)	For each of the three animals, there is a classmate of yours that one.	$_{(3)}^{\rm has}$
Consider the first order language with three binary relation symbols $=, <$ and kth. Consider structures for this language in which the universe is the set of natural numbers, $=$ is interpreted to be the equality relation on nat-		

2.

- ural numbers, < is the standard linear order on natural numbers and the binary relation kth represents a sequence of natural numbers —  $(i, n) \in$ kth iff the  $i^{\text{th}}$  number of the sequence is n. For example, the sequence (9, 3, 5, 2, 5) is represented by kth =  $\{(0, 9), (1, 3), (2, 5), (3, 2), (4, 5)\}$ . Translate the following statements into first order logic over such structures.
  - (a) The sequence is finite. (3)
  - (b) The sequence contains at least three distinct numbers, e.g., (2, 6, 2, 4, 6) but not (5, 8, 8, 5).
    (3)
  - (c) The sequence is sorted in non-decreasing order. (4)
- 3. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that FO[k] contains only finitely many formulas up to logical equivalence, if the free variables are among the fixed set  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}$ . Suppose there is a property  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfying the following condition: for any two structures A, B, if A has the property  $\mathcal{P}$  and agrees on FO[k] with B, then B also has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ . Prove that the property  $\mathcal{P}$  is FO-definable. (10)
- 4. The finite satisfiability lemma for first-order logic says that a set X of formulas is satisfiable iff every finite subset of X is satisfiable. Is this statement true when restricted to finite structures? In other words, is it true that a set X of formulas is satisfiable in a finite structure iff all

finite subsets of X are satisfiable in finite structures? Your answer must be justified by a rigorous proof. (5)

- 5. Let  $\sigma$  be a logical language and  $\forall x \exists y \phi$  be a formula over  $\sigma$ . Let  $\sigma'$  be the logical language obtained by adding a unary function symbol f to  $\sigma$ . Show how to construct a formula  $\forall x \phi'$  over the language  $\sigma'$  such that  $\forall x \exists y \phi$  is satisfiable iff  $\forall x \phi'$  is satisfiable. Prove rigorously that your formula  $\forall x \phi'$  works as intended. (5)
- 6. Recall that Presburger arithmetic is first-order logic over natural numbers with addition, successor and linear order (but no multiplication). Given a Presburger formula  $\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  with free variables  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , let  $\llbracket \phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \rrbracket = \{(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \mid \mathbb{N} \models (\phi(n_1, n_2, n_3))\}.$

Let  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $w \in \Sigma^*$  be a string. The Parikh image of w is  $\Pi(w) = (|w|_a, |w|_b, |w|_c)$ , where for any letter  $\sigma \in \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $|w|_{\sigma}$  is the number of times  $\sigma$  appears in w. For a language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , it's Parikh image is  $\Pi(L) = \{\Pi(w) \mid w \in L\}$ . Suppose L is the language of the regular expression  $ab(aabbbc)^*bc(bbccc)^*$ . Write a Presburger formula  $\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  such that  $[\![\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3)]\!] = \Pi(L)$ . You don't need to prove rigorously that your formula works as intended, but write informally (and easily understandable) how it works. (5)