

LECTURE 8

1. Descent for closed subschemes

1.1. The set theoretic case. Suppose $p: X' \rightarrow X$ is a surjective map of sets. Let $X'' := X' \times_X X' = \{(a, b) \in X' \times X' \mid p(a) = p(b)\}$ and for $i = 1, 2$ let $p_i: X'' \rightarrow X'$, be the two projections. Suppose $Z' \subset X'$ is a subset of X' . One checks that $Z' = p^{-1}(Z)$ for some Z if and only if $p_1^{-1}(Z') = p_2^{-1}(Z')$, i.e., if and only if $Z' \times_X X' = X' \times_X Z'$. Since $p \circ p_1 = p \circ p_2$ the “only if” part is clear. For the converse, suppose $Z' \times_X X' = X' \times_X Z'$. Set $Z = p(Z')$. We claim $Z' = p^{-1}(Z)$. Clearly $Z' \subset p^{-1}(Z)$. To see the reverse inclusion, let $a \in p^{-1}(Z)$. We can find a $b \in Z'$ such that $p(a) = p(b)$. Therefore $(a, b) \in X' \times_X Z'$. By our hypothesis, this means $(a, b) \in Z' \times_X X'$. In other words, $a \in Z'$. Thus $Z' = p^{-1}(Z)$.

This is the fact that Grothendieck generalized for closed subschemes of schemes.

1.2. Decent for fpqc maps. As usual, for any map $T' \rightarrow T$ in Sch/S , T'' and T''' will be given by $T'' := T' \times_T T'$ and $T''' := T' \times_T T' \times_T T'$. The maps $p_1, p_2: T'' \rightrightarrows T'$ denote the two projections and p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{23} the three projections from T''' to T'' .

Suppose $p: T' \rightarrow T$ is fpqc. We have a commutative diagram (with all six faces cartesian):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & T'' & \xrightarrow{p_2} & T' \\
 & p_{23} \nearrow & \downarrow & p_2 \nearrow & \downarrow p \\
 T''' & \xrightarrow{p_{13}} & T'' & & \\
 \downarrow p_{12} & & \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p \\
 & p_2 \nearrow & T' & \xrightarrow{p_1} & T \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
 T'' & \xrightarrow{p_1} & T' & &
 \end{array}$$

Since descent (obviously) works for Zariski covers, and we have proved that it works for faithfully flat and quasi-compact maps, therefore it works for fpqc maps (see the October 17 notes for the definition of fpqc maps). We’re using the fact that the fpqc topology on Sch/S is generated by the Zariski topology and the topology given by faithfully flat and quasi-compact maps. In other words if \mathcal{F}' is a quasi-coherent sheaf on T' and we have an isomorphism $\varphi: p_2^* \mathcal{F}' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1^* \mathcal{F}'$ such that $p_{12}^*(\varphi) \circ p_{23}^*(\varphi) = p_{13}^*(\varphi)$, then up to isomorphism, there is a unique quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on T satisfying $p^* \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}'$.

Exercise: Using the various characterizations of fpqc maps given in the earlier notes, show directly that descent for fpqc maps follows from descent for faithfully flat and quasi-compact maps. [Hint: First reduce to $T = \text{Spec } A$. Next, pick a quasi-compact open subscheme V' of T' such that $p(V') = T$. Then $V' \rightarrow T$ is a

quasi-compact faithfully flat map. Descent works for this, and we have obtained a quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on T . To show that the end-product (i.e. \mathcal{F}) is independent of the process, consider $V = V' \cup V''$ where V'' is another quasi-compact open subscheme of T' which maps surjectively onto T , and use the fact that V is also quasi-compact, so that descent works for $V \rightarrow T$. The uniqueness of the descended sheaf should give you the required result.]

1.3. Descent for quotient sheaves. The following two results are part of your Homework.

Proposition 1.3.1. *Let $p: T' \rightarrow T$ be an fpqc-map and \mathcal{G} a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_T -module. Suppose we have a surjective map of quasi-coherent sheaves $\theta: p^*\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ where \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$ -module such that there is an isomorphism*

$$\varphi: p_2^*\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1^*\mathcal{F}$$

satisfying the equation $\varphi \circ p_2^(\theta) = p_1^*(\theta)$ (under the identification $p_1^*p^*\mathcal{G} = p_2^*p^*\mathcal{G}$). Then (\mathcal{F}, φ) is a descent datum. Moreover If \mathcal{H} is the quasi-coherent sheaf on T such that $\mathcal{F} = p^*\mathcal{H}$, then there is a unique surjective map $\gamma: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $p^*\gamma = \theta$*

From the above, one can deduce:

Corollary 1.3.2. [SGA 1, Exposé VIII, Corollaire 1.9] *let $Z' \hookrightarrow T'$ be a closed subscheme of T' such that $p_1^{-1}(Z') = p_2^{-1}(Z')$. Then there is a unique closed subscheme $Z \hookrightarrow T$ such that $p^{-1}(Z) = Z'$.*

Proof. This is part of your HW. □

2. Schemes are fpqc-sheaves

Fix a scheme S . In this section we will prove that a scheme X over S is necessarily an fpqc-sheaf on $\text{Sch}/_S$. More precisely, we will prove that h_X is an fpqc-sheaf over $\text{Sch}/_S$. Note that since the fpqc topology is finer than the Zariski, étale, and fppf topologies on $\text{Sch}/_S$, it follows that X is a Zariski, étale, and an fppf-sheaf.

2.1. The problem restated. Fix $X \in \text{Sch}/_S$. Suppose

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T'' & \xrightarrow{p_2} & T' \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ T' & \xrightarrow{p} & T \end{array}$$

is a cartesian diagram with p (and hence p_1 and p_2) fpqc. In order to show that h_X is an fpqc-sheaf we have to show that the sequence of sets

$$h_X(T) \rightarrow h_X(T') \rightrightarrows h_X(T'')$$

is exact, where the first arrow is p^* and the double arrow arises from p_1^* and p_2^* .

The problem can be rephrased as follows. Suppose $f': T' \rightarrow X$ is a map in $\text{Sch}/_S$ such that $f' \circ p_1 = f' \circ p_2$. Then there is a unique map $f: T \rightarrow X$ in $\text{Sch}/_S$ such that $f' = f \circ p$. In other words, if the diagram of solid arrows below commutes, then the dotted arrow can be filled in a unique way to make the whole diagram commute.

$$(2.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T'' & \xrightarrow{p_2} & T' \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ T' & \xrightarrow{p} & T \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \searrow f' \\ \downarrow f \\ \searrow f' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \rightarrow X \end{array}$$

Proof. Part of your HW. □

We summarize the above in the form of the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1.2. *Let X be an S -scheme. Then X is a sheaf on the fpqc site (whence on the Zariski, étale, and fppf sites) on Sch/S .*

We point out that the hierarchy of topologies on Sch/S , with the arrows pointing toward finer topologies, is:

$$\text{Zariski} \rightarrow \text{étale} \rightarrow \text{fppf} \rightarrow \text{fpqc}.$$

REFERENCES

- [FGA] A. Grothendieck, *Fondements de la Géométrie Algébrique*, Sémin, Bourbaki, exp. no^o 149 (1956/57), 182 (1958/59), 190 (1959/60), 195(1959/60), 212 (1960/61), 221 (1960/61), 232 (1961/62), 236 (1961/62), Benjamin, New York, (1966).
- [EGA] ——— and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique I*, Grundlehren Vol **166**, Springer, New York (1971).
- [EGA I] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique I. Le langage des schémas*, Publ. Math. IHES **4** (1960).
- [EGA II] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique II. Etude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes*. Publ. Math. IHES **8** (1961).
- [EGA III₁] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique III. Etude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents I*, Publ. Math. IHES **11** (1961).
- [EGA III₂] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique III. Etude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents II*, Publ. Math. IHES **17** (1963).
- [EGA IV₁] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV. Études locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas I*, Publ. Math. IHES **20** (1964).
- [EGA IV₂] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV. Études locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas II*, Publ. Math. IHES **24**(1965).
- [EGA IV₃] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV. Études locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas III*, Publ. Math. IHES **28**(1966).
- [EGA IV₄] ———, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV. Études locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*, Publ. Math. IHES **32**(1967).
- [SGA 1] A. Grothendieck, et al., *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique. Revêtements Étales et Groupe Fondamental*, Lect. Notes. Math. **224**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1971).
- [FGA-ICTP] B. Fantechi, L. Göttsche, L. Illusie, S.L. Kleiman, N. Nitsure, A. Vistoli, *Fundamental Algebraic Geometry, Grothendieck's FGA explained*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, Vol **123**, AMS (2005).
- [BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Néron Models*, Ergebnisse Vol **21**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [M] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies **89**.