

## LECTURE 3

### 1. From the last lecture

1.1. Recall the Čech complex  $C_{B/A}^\bullet(M)$  for an  $A$ -module  $M$ :

$$(1.1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} B \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{d^0} B^{\otimes 2} \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots \\ \dots \xrightarrow{d^{r-2}} B^{\otimes r} \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{d^{r-1}} B^{\otimes r+1} \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{d^r} \dots \end{aligned}$$

where  $d^r = \sum_i (-1)^i e_i$  and

$$e_i(b_0 \otimes \dots \otimes b_r \otimes m) = b_0 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes b_i \otimes \dots \otimes b_r \otimes m.$$

For consistency we set  $d^{-1} = \alpha_M$  and  $B^{\otimes 0} = A$ . The usual arguments give

$$d^r \circ d^{r-1} = 0, \quad r \geq 0$$

We showed that this complex is exact. Moreover, we note that  $d^0: B \otimes_A M \rightarrow B^{\otimes 2} \otimes_A M$  is given by  $b \otimes m \mapsto 1 \otimes b \otimes m - b \otimes 1 \otimes m$ . Indeed, by definition,  $d^0 = e_0 - e_1$  where  $e_0(b \otimes m) = 1 \otimes b \otimes m$  and  $e_1(b \otimes m) = b \otimes 1 \otimes m$ . It follows that

$$(1.1.2) \quad M = \ker(e_0 - e_1)$$

### 2. Proof of faithful flat descent for affine schemes

2.1. The reader is now expected to look up the definition of a descent datum for a faithfully flat map of rings  $A \rightarrow B$  from the previous lecture. We will now prove:

**Theorem 2.1.1.** *Suppose  $B$  is faithfully flat over  $A$ . Then the functor  $F: \text{Mod}_A \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B}$  defined above is an equivalence of categories.*

Theorem 2.1.1 asserts that for a  $B$ -module  $N$  to be of the form  $B \otimes_A M$  for some  $A$ -module  $M$ , it is necessary and sufficient for  $N$  to carry a descent datum  $\psi: N \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\sim} B \otimes_A N$ . In this case the module  $M \in \text{Mod}_A$  is unique up to isomorphism. In fact, as we will see later,

$$M = \{n \in N \mid 1 \otimes n = \psi(n \otimes 1)\}.$$

The proof of *loc.cit.* is not difficult, being essentially a familiar Čech cohomology argument, suitably modified to the faithfully flat situation.

**2.2.** Now suppose  $(N, \psi)$  is a descent datum on  $B/A$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha: N &\rightarrow B \otimes_A N, & n &\mapsto 1 \otimes n; \\ \beta: N &\rightarrow B \otimes_A N, & n &\mapsto \psi(n \otimes 1).\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$(2.2.1) \quad M := \ker(\alpha - \beta).$$

We claim that there is an isomorphism

$$\theta(= \theta_{N, \psi}): (B \otimes_A M, \psi_M) \xrightarrow{\sim} (N, \psi)$$

in  $\text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B}$ , where  $\psi_M: (B \otimes_A M) \otimes_A B \rightarrow B \otimes_A (B \otimes_A M)$  is the map given by  $b \otimes m \otimes b' \mapsto b \otimes b' \otimes m$  (cf. Proposition II.2.2.3). Note that the claim implies, in particular, that  $N \cong B \otimes_A M$ .

Let  $\theta: B \otimes_A M \rightarrow N$  be  $b \otimes m \mapsto bf(m)$ , where

$$f: M \hookrightarrow N$$

is the natural inclusion map of  $A$ -modules. It is clear that  $\theta$  is functorial in  $(N, \psi)$  (since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are). We leave it to the reader to check that  $\theta$  is a map of descent data, i.e., to check that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes_A M \otimes_A B & \xrightarrow{\theta \otimes 1} & N \otimes_A B \\ \psi_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ B \otimes_A B \otimes_A M & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \theta} & B \otimes_A N \end{array}$$

commutes using the fact that by definition of  $M$ ,  $1 \otimes f(m) = \psi(f(m) \otimes 1)$ .

Next, as in Picard II, let  $\iota_M: M \otimes_A B \rightarrow B \otimes_A M$  be the natural map given by  $m \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes m$ . Consider the diagram with exact rows

$$(D) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_A B & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_A B & \xrightarrow{(\alpha - \beta) \otimes 1} & (B \otimes_A N) \otimes_A B \\ & & \downarrow \theta \circ \iota_M & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi_1 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{d^{-1} = \alpha_N} & B \otimes_A N & \xrightarrow{d^0 = e_0 - e_1} & B \otimes_A B \otimes_A N \end{array}$$

where  $\psi_1(b \otimes n \otimes b') = b \otimes \psi(n \otimes b')$  (cf. II.(2.2.1)). The rows of (D) are exact for the following reasons. First, by definition of  $M$ ,  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha - \beta} B \otimes_A N$  is exact, and tensoring this with the *flat*  $A$ -algebra  $B$  gives us the top row of (D). The exactness of the bottom row of (D) follows from the exactness of  $C_{B/A}^\bullet(N)$ .

We claim that (D) commutes. As, before, it is convenient to denote the  $M$  in  $N$  by  $f: M \hookrightarrow N$ . We leave the commutativity of the rectangle on the left to the reader. The following two facts are helpful for this. First, the image of  $m \otimes b \in M \otimes_A B$  in  $B \otimes_A N$  under the “south followed by east” route is  $1 \otimes b(f(m)) \in B \otimes_A N$ . To see this is also the image under the “east followed by south” route, use the fact that  $\psi$  is a  $B^{\otimes 2}$ -module map, whence  $\psi((1 \otimes b)x) = (1 \otimes b)\psi(x)$ .

The commutativity of the rectangle on the right uses the co-cycle rule namely

$$(2.2.2) \quad \psi_1 \circ \psi_3 = \psi_2$$

which is the requirement for  $\psi$  to be a descent datum on  $N$ . Recall from II.(2.2.1) that  $\psi_3(n \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2) = \psi(n \otimes b_1) \otimes b_2$  and  $\psi_2(n \otimes b' \otimes b) = \sum_\alpha b_\alpha^* \otimes b' \otimes n_\alpha^*$  where

$\sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}^* \otimes n_{\alpha}^* = \psi(n \otimes b)$ . In particular (with  $b' = 1$  in the above formula for  $\psi_2$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_2(n \otimes 1 \otimes b) &= \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}^* \otimes 1 \otimes n_{\alpha}^* \\
 (2.2.3) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= e_1 \left( \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}^* \otimes n_{\alpha}^* \right) \\
 &= (e_1 \circ \psi)(n \otimes b).
 \end{aligned}$$

We will show that

$$(i) \qquad \qquad \qquad \psi_1 \circ (\alpha \otimes 1) = e_0 \circ \psi$$

and

$$(ii) \qquad \qquad \qquad \psi_1 \circ (\beta \otimes 1) = e_1 \circ \psi.$$

The relation (i) is easy since  $\alpha(n) = 1 \otimes n$  and  $e_0(b \otimes n) = 1 \otimes b \otimes n$ . We leave the details to the reader. The relation (ii) is trickier. Here are the details for (ii).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_1 \circ (\beta \otimes 1)(n \otimes b) &= \psi_1(\beta(n) \otimes b) \\
 &= \psi_1(\psi(n \otimes 1) \otimes b) \\
 &= \psi_1(\psi_3(n \otimes 1 \otimes b)) \\
 &= \psi_1 \circ \psi_3(n \otimes 1 \otimes b) \\
 &= \psi_2(n \otimes 1 \otimes b) \qquad \text{(by (2.2.2))} \\
 &= e_1 \circ \psi(n \otimes b) \qquad \text{(by (2.2.3))}
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (i) and (ii) we get  $\psi_1 \circ ((\alpha - \beta) \otimes 1) = (e_0 - e_1) \circ \psi$ . Thus the rectangle on the right in diagram (D) commutes. Since the rows of (D) are exact and  $\psi$  and  $\psi_1$  are isomorphisms,  $\theta \circ \iota_M$ , whence  $\theta$ , is also an isomorphism.

Clearly the assignment  $(N, \psi) \mapsto M$  is functorial in  $(N, \psi) \in \text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B}$ . Moreover, it is evident from the above discussion, as well as (1.1.2) and (2.2.1), that it provides a pseudo-inverse to the functor  $M \mapsto (B \otimes_A M, \psi_M)$  on  $\text{Mod}_A$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.1.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.4.** Compare the above with the proof of gluing of sheaves.

#### REFERENCES

- [FGA] A. Grothendieck, *Fondements de la Géométrie Algébrique*, Sém, Bourbaki, exp. no<sup>o</sup> 149 (1956/57), 182 (1958/59), 190 (1959/60), 195(1959/60), 212 (1960/61), 221 (1960/61), 232 (1961/62), 236 (1961/62), Benjamin, New York, (1966).
- [EGA] ——— and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique I*, Grundlehren Vol **166**, Springer, New York (1971).
- [FGA-ICTP] B. Fantechi, L. Göttsche, L. Illusie, S.L. Kleiman, N. Nitsure, A. Vistoli, *Fundamental Algebraic Geometry, Grothendieck's FGA explained*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, Vol **123**, AMS (2005).
- [BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Néron Models*, Ergebnisse Vol **21**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [M] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies **89**.