## LECTURE 20

## 1. Trivialisations again

Let $X \in \mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ and let $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ be a $G$-torsor. Recall Lemma 2.1.4 from Lecture 14, namely: Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ two sections of $\pi$. Then there exists a unique element $g_{12} \in G(X)$ such that

$$
e_{2}=e_{1} g_{12}
$$

Moreover, if $\psi_{12}: G_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{X}$ is the $G$-equivariant isomorphism of $X$-schemes ${ }^{1}$ given by $\psi_{12}=\psi_{e_{1}}^{-1} \circ \psi_{e_{2}}$ then $\psi_{12}$ is described by $(x, g) \mapsto\left(x, g_{12}(x) g\right)$ for valued points $x$ of $X$ and $g$ of $G$ having the same source.

We can make the same statement about sections of principal bundles. So suppose $G$ is a topological group. As usual assume, for the rest of this section, that all topological spaces, including $G$, are Hausdorff, and all group actions are continuous. Sections of principal bundles are assumed continuous unless otherwise stated. Suppose $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is a principal $G$-bundle. We know that $E \rightarrow X$ is trivial if and only if it has a section $\sigma: X \rightarrow E$ and trivialisations $\theta: G_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ are in bijective correspondence with such sections. Indeed given a trivialization $\theta$, the canonical identity section of $G_{X} \rightarrow X$ translates to a section of $E \rightarrow X$, and conversely a section $\sigma: X \rightarrow E$ gives rise to the isomorphism $\psi_{\sigma}: G_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ given by $(x, g) \mapsto \sigma(x) g$. Let $\theta_{i}: G_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} E, i=1,2$, be two isomorphisms of principal $G$-bundles, and $\sigma_{i}: X \rightarrow E$ the corresponding sections. Let $g_{12}: X \rightarrow G$ be the continuous map such that

$$
\sigma_{2}(x)=\sigma_{1}(x) g_{12}(x)
$$

and let $\psi_{12} G_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{X}$ be the automorphism of principal $G$ bundles given by $\psi_{12}=\theta_{1}^{-1} \circ \theta_{1}$. Then $g_{12}$ can also be characterized as the continuous map such that $\psi_{12}$ is $(x, g) \mapsto\left(x, g_{12}(x) g\right)$. In other words, if $\theta_{1}\left(x, g_{1}\right)=\theta_{2}\left(x, g_{2}\right)$ then

$$
g_{1}=g_{12}(x) g_{2},
$$

whereas,

$$
\sigma_{2}(x)=\sigma_{1}(x) g_{12}(x)
$$

Note that $\theta_{1}\left(x, g_{1}\right)=\theta_{2}\left(x, g_{2}\right)$ is equivalent to $\psi_{12}\left(x, g_{2}\right)=\left(x, g_{1}\right)$.

## 2. Reduction of structure group for $G$-torsors

Now suppose $X \in \mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ and $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is a $G$-torsor. Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subscheme of $G$ smooth over $S$. We say the structure group of $E$ is reducible to $H$ if there exists an fpqc-map $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ and a trivialization $\theta: G_{X^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{X^{\prime}}$ such that the transition function

$$
g_{\theta}: X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow G
$$
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${ }^{1}$ With respect to the right $G$-action on $G_{X}$.
is factors through $H$ :


This is equivalent to saying that for each $T \in \mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}, g_{\theta}(T): X^{\prime \prime}(T) \rightarrow G(T)$ takes values in the subgroup $H(T)$ of $G(T)$.

Suppose $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, G_{X}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{!}\left(X, H_{X}\right)$ are the first cohomology sets for the group schemes $G$ and $H$ respectively, and

$$
h_{*}: \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, H_{X}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, G_{X}\right)
$$

the natural map. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ be a $G$-torsor amd $\zeta \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, G_{X}\right)$ be the element corresponding to $E \rightarrow X$. Then the structure group of $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is reducible to $H$ if and only if $\xi$ in the image of $h_{*}$, i.e., $\zeta=h_{*} \xi$ for some $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, H_{X}\right)$. A choice of such a $\xi$ is a reduction of the structure group of the $G$-torsor $E \rightarrow X$ to $H$. Note, if $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, H_{X}\right)$ is a reduction of the structure group of $E$ to $H$, then $\xi$ gives rise to a $H$-torsor, $p: P \rightarrow X$ and a $H$-equivariant closed immersion $i: P \hookrightarrow E$ of $X$-schemes. The latter data nails $\xi$.

We wish to characterize reductions of structure group of $E$ to $H$ by sections of $E(G / H) \rightarrow X$ (or $E / H \rightarrow X$, since (hopefuly) $E(G / H)=E / H$ as in the classical sutuation).

Here are the possible problems one could encounter:
(1) $G / H$ may not exist as an $S$-scheme.
(2) Even if it exists, $G / H$ may not be a locally quasi-affine space over $S$
(3) $E / H$ may not exist as a scheme.
2.1. The space $G / H$. First consider the presheaf $F:\left(\mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}\right)^{\circ} \rightarrow$ Sets on $\mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ given by

$$
T \mapsto G(T) / H(T)
$$

We point out that for any $T \in \mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$, the set $S(T)$ is a single element set, and hence we have map of presheaves $F \rightarrow S$. We also have a map of presheaves $G \rightarrow F$ and the following diagram commutes:


Definition 2.1.2. The quotient $G / H$ is the fppf-sheafification of the pre sheaf $F$.
Note that the maps $G \rightarrow F$ and $F \rightarrow S$ in (2.1.1) gives rise to the maps $p_{H}: G \rightarrow G / H$ and $t_{H}: G / H \rightarrow S$ such that the diagram

commutes.
Suppose $\mathscr{F}$ is an fppf-sheaf on $\mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ such that $G$ acts trivially on the right on $\mathscr{F}$ (i.e., $G(T)$ acts trivially on the right on $\mathscr{F}(T)$ for every $T \in \mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ ) and we have
a $G$-equivariant map $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ for the right action of $G$ on $G$. Then for each $T$ we have a unique $\operatorname{map} \psi(T): G(T) / H(T) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(T)$ such that the following diagram commutes


It is evident that $\psi(T)$ is functorial in $T$ as $T$ varies over $\mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$. By the universal property of sheafification (or by using the functoriality of sheafifications) we get a unique map

$$
\varphi_{/ H}: G / H \rightarrow \mathscr{F}
$$

such that the following diagram commutes:


## 3. The Yoneda Lemma

The major point of this section is to interpret elements of $F(X)$ (for $X \in \mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ and $F$ a contrvariant functor Sets-valued functor on $\mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ ) as maps

$$
X \rightarrow F
$$

Here $X$ in the above relation is identified with the functor $h_{X}$ and the arrow $X \rightarrow F$ as a natural transformation of functors. Note that if $F=h_{Y}$ where $Y \in \mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$, then such a correspondence is a tautology if we identify $h_{Y}$ with $Y$.
3.1. Let $\widehat{\operatorname{Sch}} / S$ denote the category of contravariant Sets-valued functors on $\mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$, i.e the objects are contravariant functors on $\mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ taking values in Sets and morphisms are natural transformations of such functors. Recall that the functor $X \rightarrow h_{X}$, for $X \in \mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ is a fully faithful embedding of $\mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ into $\widehat{\operatorname{Sch}}_{/ S}$.

Let $F \in \widehat{\operatorname{Sch}}_{/ S}$ (i.e., $F:\left(\mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}\right)^{\circ} \rightarrow$ Sets is a functor) and $X \in \mathbb{S}^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} / S$. Note that $h_{X}(X)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{S c h}}^{/ S}$ $(X, X)$ has a distinguished element, namely the identity $\operatorname{map} 1_{X}$. Therefore a map

$$
\varphi: h_{X} \rightarrow F
$$

in $\widehat{\operatorname{Sch}}_{/ S}$ gives rise to an element $\xi_{\varphi} \in F(X)$, namely the image under $\varphi(X)$ of $1_{X}$. The process can be reversed. To see this let $\xi \in F(X)$. For a set $A$, we have a map

$$
e_{\xi}(A): \operatorname{Hom}_{\text {Sets }}(F(X), A) \rightarrow A
$$

given by "evaluation at $\xi$ ", i.e., the map $f \mapsto f(\xi)$ for $f: F(X) \rightarrow A$. Note that $e_{\xi}(A)$ is functorial in $A$, and gives a functorial map

$$
e_{\xi}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\text {Sets }}(F(X),-) \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\text {Sets }}
$$

of endo-functors on Sets. We therefore have a sequence of natural transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{X}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{S c h} / S}(-, X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\text {Sets }}(F(X), F(-)) \\
& \xrightarrow{e_{\xi}(F(-))} F .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\widehat{\xi}: h_{X} \rightarrow F
$$

be the above composite. It is easy to check that the assignments $\varphi \mapsto \xi_{\varphi}$ and $\xi \mapsto \widehat{\xi}$ are inverses of each other. Hence we get bijective correspondence of sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\widehat{\operatorname{Sch}}_{/ S}}\left(h_{X}, F\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(X) \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by $\varphi \mapsto \xi_{\varphi}$. The correspondence (3.1.1) is called the Yoneda correspondence. We often do not distinguish between $\xi \in F(X)$ and the map $\widehat{\xi}: h_{X} \rightarrow F$, or between $h_{X}$ and $X$. Hence $\xi \in F(X)$ is thought of as a map $\xi: X \rightarrow F$. If $Y \in \mathbb{S c h}_{/ S}$ and $F=h_{Y}$ (i.e., $F=Y$ in line with our identifications) then we are simply stating the obvious, or, more precisely, restating the fully faithful embedding $h_{(-)}: \mathbb{S c h}_{/ S} \rightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Sch}}_{/ S}$ given by $Y \mapsto h_{Y}$.
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