

## MULTIPLICITIES

PRAMATHANATH SASTRY

This short note is meant to prove that if  $C$  is a curve,  $x \in C$  a point,  $M$  a very ample line bundle on  $C$ , then

$$(1) \quad \deg_C(M) \geq \text{mult}_x(C).$$

This is an important step in proving that Seshadri's criterion is necessary for a line bundle to be ample. We do need a scheme theoretic understanding of the degree of a line bundle  $M$  which has a non-zero section on a curve  $C$ . Such a non-zero section can be regarded as a copy of  $C$  in  $M$ , and it meets the zero section (canonically identified with  $C$ ) in a zero-dimensional scheme which can be regarded as the spectrum of an algebra  $\prod_i A_i$  where the  $A_i$  are Artin local rings. Then  $\deg_C M = \sum_i \dim_k A_i$ .<sup>1</sup>

We work over an algebraically closed field  $k$ .

**The order of a non-zero rational function.** Let  $X$  be a variety,  $k(X)$  its field of rational functions (also known as the “function field” of  $X$ ) and  $V$  a subvariety of  $X$  of *codimension one*. Define  $A_V (= A)$  to be the stalk of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  at the generic point of  $V$ . Then  $A$  is a local  $k$ -algebra, of Krull dimension one, which is integral, whose residue field is  $k(V)$ , and whose field of fractions is  $k(X)$ . For  $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$ , we see that  $\dim[A/(f)] = 0$  if  $f$  is not a unit, and  $A/(f) = 0$  if  $f$  is a unit, whence  $A/(f)$  is a finite length  $A$ -module. Let  $l_A(M)$  denote the length of an  $A$ -module  $M$ . Define the *order*  $\text{ord}_x(f)$  of  $f$  at  $x$  by the formula

$$\text{ord}_V(f) := l_A(A/(f)).$$

It is a non-negative integer which is zero precisely when  $f$  is a unit in  $A$ . If  $k(X)^*$  is the multiplicative group of non-zero elements of  $k(X)$ , then any  $r \in k(X)^*$  can be written as  $r = f/g$  with  $f$  and  $g$  non-zero elements of  $A$ , and one defines

$$\text{ord}_V(r) = \text{ord}_V(f) - \text{ord}_V(g).$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\text{ord}_V : k(X)^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is a homomorphism of groups.

---

*Date:* October 25, 2016.

<sup>1</sup>This is just a way of saying that the  $\deg_C M$  is the number of points on the zero-dimensional scheme mentioned “counted properly”. Over the complex numbers this agrees with the notion of degree as  $c_1(M) \cap [C]$ . The idea is to reduce to the non-singular case by going to the normalization of  $C$ , and in the non-singular case appealing to Poincaré Duality and/or a version of the Hopf index theorem. Other proofs using Čech cohomology also exist.

**General Formula.** If  $X' \rightarrow X$  is a finite birational map, so that  $k(X)^* = k(X')^*$ , one has the formula (for subvarieties  $V$  of  $X$  of codimension one)

$$(2) \quad \text{ord}_V(r) = \sum \text{ord}_{V'}(r) \cdot [k(V') : k(V)] \quad (r \in k(X)^* = k(X')^*)$$

where the sum is over subvarieties  $V'$  of  $X'$  which map onto  $V$  and where the symbol  $[k(V') : k(V)]$  denotes the degree of the field extension  $k(V')$  of  $k(V)$ . The proof is not very difficult. To begin with note that it is enough to prove (2) when  $X' \rightarrow X$  is the *normalization* of  $X$ . This case is dealt with in [F, Appendix A, Example A.3.1, p.412] using only basic commutative algebra.

**The case of curves.** Now suppose  $C$  is a curve, that is, an integral scheme of dimension one of finite type over  $k$ . Let  $\pi: C' \rightarrow C$  be the blow-up of  $C$  at a closed point  $x$  of  $C$  and denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  the maximal ideal of the localring  $\mathcal{O}_{C,x}$ . By the definition given in class of  $\text{mult}_x(C)$  we have

$$(3) \quad \text{mult}_x(C) = \sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} \dim_k[\mathcal{O}_{C',y}/\mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{O}_{C',y}].$$

Let  $t \in \mathfrak{m}_x$  be a non-zero element. Then  $t \in \mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{O}_{C',y}$  for every  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , whence for such  $y$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{C',y}/(t)$  surjects onto  $\mathcal{O}_{C',y}/\mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{O}_{C',y}$ . This gives  $\text{ord}_y(t) = \dim_k[\mathcal{O}_{C',y}/(t)] \geq \dim_k[\mathcal{O}_{C',y}/\mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{O}_{C',y}]$ . Thus, by (3),

$$(4) \quad \sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} \text{ord}_y(t) \geq \text{mult}_x(C).$$

According to (2),  $\text{ord}_x(t) = \sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} \text{ord}_y(t) \cdot [k(y) : k(x)] = \sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} \text{ord}_y(t)$ , whence by (4) we have

$$(5) \quad \text{ord}_x(t) \geq \text{mult}_x(C).$$

Now suppose  $M$  is a line bundle on  $C$  with  $h^0(M) > 0$  and  $s \in \Gamma(C, M) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then locally around any point  $p \in C$ ,  $s$  can be regarded (up to a multiplication by a unit) as a non-zero function and hence  $\text{ord}_p(s)$  makes sense, for multiplication by a unit does not affect the order of an element in  $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}^*$ . It is well-known that

$$\deg_C M = \sum_{p \in C} \text{ord}_p(s).$$

Note that  $\text{ord}_p(s) \neq 0$  if and only if  $s(p) = 0$  and in this case  $\text{ord}_p(s) \geq 1$ . Now suppose the zero scheme of  $s$  contains our point of interest  $x$ . Then by the above formula and (5) we have

$$\deg_C M \geq \text{ord}_x(s) \geq \text{mult}_x(C).$$

If  $M$  is very ample, then we can always find a non-zero section of  $M$  which vanishes at our point of interest  $x$ . This observation gives (1).

#### REFERENCES

- [F] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1984.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer, New York, 1977.