

Jan 25, 2022

Lecture 5

Alg II

Notation: A ring. $\text{Spec}(A) := \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ a prime ideal in } A \right\}$

↑
The "prime spectrum" of A
or simply the "spectrum" of A .

Last time: The nilradical of $A = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \mathfrak{p}$.
↑
 $\sqrt{0}$.

Definition: Let A be a ring, $I \subseteq A$ an ideal.
The radical of I , denoted \sqrt{I} , is
$$\sqrt{I} = \{ a \in A \mid a^n \in I \text{ for some } n \geq 0 \}.$$

Note: $I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Remarks:

1. \sqrt{I} is the unique ideal corresponding to the nilradical of A/I .

$$\sqrt{I} \subseteq A \longleftrightarrow \sqrt{0} \subseteq A/I.$$

2. It follows

$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A \\ \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I}} \mathfrak{p}.$$

3. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, then there is a maximal \mathfrak{M} of A containing \mathfrak{p} . To see this, note that we proved A/\mathfrak{p} has a maximal ideal (Zorn's Lemma).

4. If I is an ideal of A , then $\exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ s.t. $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$. Once again, pick a prime ideal in A/I and argue as in 3.

Definition: Let A be a ring. The Jacobson radical of A , denoted either $\text{rad}(A)$ or $J(A)$, is the intersection of all maximal ideals of A .

Note: $J(A) \supseteq \text{nilradical of } A = \sqrt{0}$.

Remark: Let A be a ring. If $a \in A$ is a unit, then $\exists b \in A$ s.t. $ab=1$, whence $\langle a \rangle = A$. In particular a does not lie in any proper ideal of A ; and hence in no maximal ideal of A . On the other hand if a is a non-unit, then $\langle a \rangle \subsetneq A$, and hence a lies in some maximal ideal of A .

Conclusion: Let $S = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{M} \in \text{Max}(A)} \mathfrak{M}$, then
 $A - S = \text{set of units of } A$.
← the set of maximal ideals of A .

Lemma: Let A be a ring and a an element of $J(A)$.

Then $1+a$ is a unit in A . More generally, if u is a unit in A , then $u+a$ is a unit in A .

Proof:

Suppose $u+a$ is not a unit. Then, by the Remark above, $u+a \in \mathcal{M}$ for some max'l ideal \mathcal{M} of A . Since $a \in J(A)$, a must lie in \mathcal{M} , where $u \in \mathcal{M}$, a contradiction, since $\mathcal{M} \subsetneq A$. //

Remark: In particular, if a is nilpotent, then $u+a$ is a unit for every unit u in A . A simpler proof of this is:

Recall the geometric series

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^n + \dots$$

If x is nilpotent, this actually makes sense, since $0 = x^{n+1} = x^{n+2} = \dots$ for some $n \geq 1$, and one checks easily that

$$(1-x)(1+x+x^2+\dots+x^n) = 1.$$

From here to show $u+x$ is a unit is

easy: $u+x = u(1+u^{-1}x) = u[1 - \underbrace{(-u^{-1}x)}_{\text{nilpotent}}]$

Exercise: Suppose $p = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in A[x]$.

Show that p is a unit if and only if a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are nilpotent in A and a_0 is a unit in A .

The determinant trick and Nakayama's Lemma:

Let A be a ring, $M \in \text{Mod}_A$, M f.g. as an A -module and I an ideal of A .

Set

$$M^d = \underbrace{M \oplus \dots \oplus M}_{d\text{-times}}$$

Write elements of M^d as columns: $\begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_d \end{bmatrix}$.

Can be identified

Note that $\text{End}_A(M^d) = M_{d \times d}(\text{End}(M))$.

$d \times d$ matrices.

of

$$\Phi: M \oplus \dots \oplus M \longrightarrow M \oplus \dots \oplus M$$

is an A -map, then Φ can be represented as

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \dots & \phi_{1d} \\ \phi_{21} & \dots & \phi_{2d} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \phi_{d1} & \dots & \phi_{dd} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_{ij} \in \text{End}(M)$$

$$\text{with } \Phi \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_j \phi_{1j} m_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_j \phi_{dj} m_j \end{pmatrix}$$

Now suppose M is finitely generated say

$$m = \langle m_1, \dots, m_e \rangle.$$

Suppose further

$$M = IM.$$

Then we have elements $a_{ij} \in I$ $1 \leq i, j \leq e$ such that

$$m_i = \sum_{j=1}^e a_{ij} m_j \quad \text{--- (*)}$$

Regard each a_{ij} as an element of $\text{End}(M)$ via "multiplication by a_{ij} ". We have a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^e & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & M^e \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_e \end{array} \right] & \longmapsto & \left(a_{ij} \right) \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_e \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

$$\text{Note } (I - \Phi) \left[\begin{array}{c} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_e \end{array} \right] = 0. \quad (\text{from (*)})$$

Multiply on the left by the cofactor matrix, and we see

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \det(I - \Phi) & 0 & \\ & \det(I - \Phi) & \\ & 0 & \ddots \\ & & \det(I - \Phi) \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_e \end{array} \right)$$

$$= 0.$$

In particular:

$$\underbrace{\left\{ \det (I - (a_{ij})) \right\}}_{\substack{ii \\ \Delta_-}} m_k = 0 \quad \text{for } k=1, \dots, e.$$

Then $\Delta \cdot M = 0$, since $M = \langle m_1, \dots, m_e \rangle$.

Now

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} 1-a_{11} & -a_{12} & \dots & -a_{1e} \\ -a_{21} & 1-a_{22} & \dots & -a_{2e} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_{e1} & -a_{e2} & \dots & 1-a_{ee} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 1+x, \quad \text{where } x \in I.$$

Proposition: Let A, I, M be as above, with $M=IM$.

Then $\exists x \in I$ such that $(1+x)M=0$.

(Pf: $\Delta \cdot M = 0$, and $\Delta = 1+x$).

Theorem (Nakayama's lemma): Let A be a ring, I an ideal contained in $J(A)$, M a f.g. A -module such that $IM=M$. Then $M=0$.

Proof:

We know $\exists x \in I$ s.t. $(1+x)M=0$. From earlier observations, $1+x$ is a unit, since $x \in J(A)$.

Hence $M = 0$.

Corollary 1 Suppose $N \subseteq M$ is such that

$M = N + IM$. Then $N = M$.

(Since this is a corollary to the theorem, the hypothesis of the theorem stand; in particular $I \subseteq J(A)$)

Proof: Apply the theorem to M/N , and note that $I(M/N) = \frac{IM + N}{N}$. //

Corollary 2: Suppose we have $x_1, \dots, x_k \in M$ such that their images $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k \in M/IM$ generate M/IM as an A -module. Then x_1, \dots, x_k generate M as an A -module.

Proof:

Let $N = \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \subseteq M$. Apply the previous corollary to N , for note that $M = IM + N$. //

The spectrum of a commutative ring:

Let A be a ring. For an ideal I in A , define

$$V(I) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I \}.$$

Note that $V(I)$ is non-empty if and only if I is a proper ideal of A .

Easy to see that there is a bijection

$$V(I) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A/I)$$

$$\phi \longmapsto \phi/I$$

Facts:

$$V\left(\bigcap_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\right) = \bigcap V(I_{\alpha}) \quad \text{for finite intersections of } I_{\alpha}$$

$$V\left(\sum_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\right) = \bigcap V(I_{\alpha}), \quad \text{arbitrary sums of } I_{\alpha}.$$

$$V(A) = \emptyset$$

$$V(0) = \operatorname{Spec}(A).$$

Therefore we can define a topology on $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ by decreeing that its closed sets are $V(I)$, I an ideal of A .

Remark: Suppose I is generated by $a_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $I = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle a_i \rangle$. Hence, if you believe the above statements,

$$V(I) = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V(\langle a_i \rangle).$$

Therefore open sets in $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ are given by unions of sets of the form

$$D(a) := \operatorname{Spec}(A) - V(\langle a \rangle)$$

Check (from comments made during the lecture on localizations) that

$$D(a) = \operatorname{Spec}(A_a)$$

Thus $\mathcal{B} = \{D(a) \mid a \in A\}$ is a base for the topology on $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$, and

$$D(a) \cap D(b) = D(ab), \quad a, b \in A.$$