

We were proving Hilbert's Basis Theorem:

"If  $A$  is Noetherian and  $B$  is a finite type algebra over  $A$ , then  $B$  is Noetherian."

We'd reduced to the case  $B = A[X]$ .

Suppose  $A[X]$  is not Noetherian. Then we can find an ascending

$$I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq I_n \subsetneq \dots$$

of ideals of  $A[X]$  which doesn't become stationary.

Let  $I = \bigcup_n I_n$ . Let  $f_i \in I$  be an element

s.t.  $0 \neq f_i$  and  $\deg(f_i) \leq \min \{ \deg(f) \mid f \in I \}$ .

We know that  $\langle f_i \rangle \subsetneq I$ .

Now pick  $f_2 \in I \setminus \langle f_1 \rangle$  such that  $f_2 \neq 0$  and  $\deg f_2 = \min \{ \deg(f) \mid f \in I \setminus \langle f_1 \rangle \}$

Continue this way. If we've picked  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ , then pick  $f_{k+1}$  s.t.  $f_{k+1} \neq 0$  and  $f_{k+1} \in I \setminus \langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle$ .

Let  $n_i = \deg(f_i)$ . Then

$$n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_k \leq \dots$$

Let  $a_k = \text{leading coefficient of } f_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

So  $a_k \in A$ .

Since  $A$  is Noetherian, the chain of ideals

$$\langle a_1 \rangle \subset \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \subset \dots \subset \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \subset \dots$$

in  $A$  must become stationary. Therefore, for some  $k$  we have

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1} \rangle$$

Therefore there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_k \in A$  s.t.

$$a_{k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j a_j$$

$$\text{Let } g = f_{k+1} - \sum_{j=1}^k c_j X^{n_{k+1} - n_j} f_j \in A[X]$$

Now  $g \notin \langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle$ , for if it did, then

$f_{k+1} \in \langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle$ . Therefore, by our choice

of  $f_{k+1}$  and the defn of  $n_{k+1}$ ,

$$\deg(g) \geq n_{k+1}.$$

On the other hand, clearly  $\deg(g) < n_{k+1}$

(check!). This is a contradiction. //

Remark: We've used the fact that if  $A$  is Noetherian,  $A \xrightarrow{\phi} B$  a surjective ring homomorphism, then  $B$  is also Noetherian. Indeed, if  $J$  is an ideal of  $B$ , then  $J = I /_{\ker \phi}$  for a unique ideal  $I$  of  $A$  containing  $\ker \phi$ . By hyp.,  $I = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ , and hence  $J = \langle \phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_k) \rangle$ .

Definition: Let  $A$  be a ring. An  $A$ -module  $M$  is said to be a Noetherian  $A$ -module if every submodule of  $M$  is finitely generated.

Remark: TFAE

1.  $M$  is Noetherian
2. Every chain of submodules of  $M$ 

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_n \subset \dots$$
 stabilizes (i.e. becomes stationary).
3. Every non-empty collection of submodules of  $M$  has a maximal element (w.r.t. inclusion).

Prof: - old hat. //

Theorem (Hilbert's Basis Theorem for modules): Let  $A$  be a Noetherian ring and  $M$  a f.g.  $A$ -module. Then  $M$  is a Noetherian module.

Prof:

Note that the isomorphic image of a Noetherian module is Noetherian (prof exactly as in an earlier remark).

Since  $M$  is f.g., there is a surjective  $A$ -map

$$A^n \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} M, \quad e_i \mapsto m_i$$

$\underset{\substack{n \text{ "def."} \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A}}{\longrightarrow}$ 

 $\uparrow$  standard basis of  $A^n$ 
 $\uparrow$   $m_1, \dots, m_n$ 
 $\uparrow$  generators of  $M$ .

Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume  $M = A^n$ . We will to assume.

Since  $A$  is Noetherian, we are done if  $n=1$ .

Assume  $A^{n-1}$  is Noetherian, for  $n>1$ .

We can identify  $A^{n-1}$  with the submodule

$$\{(0, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) \mid a_2, \dots, a_n \in A\}$$

of  $A^n$ .

Let

$$\pi: A^n \longrightarrow A$$

be the projection to the first coordinate, i.e.,

$$\pi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_1.$$

Now  $\pi$  is surjective.

Let  $U \subseteq A^n$  be an  $A$ -submodule. Let

$I = \pi(U) \subseteq A$ . Then  $I$  is an ideal in  $A$ , and

$A$  being Noetherian,  $I$  is f.g.

Note  $\ker(U \rightarrow I) = U \cap A^{n-1}$ , where we are identifying  $A^{n-1}$  with a submodule of  $A^n$  as above. Do we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U \cap A^{n-1} \longrightarrow U \xrightarrow{\pi|_U} I \longrightarrow 0$$

f.g. by induction hypothesis

f.g. since  $A$  is Noetherian as a ring.

It follows that  $U$  is f.g. //

Remark: Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$  be a ring map, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal in  $B$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}'$  is a prime ideal in  $A$ . Indeed if  $x, y \in A$  and  $xy \in \mathfrak{p}'$ , then  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \in \mathfrak{p}$ , whence either  $f(x)$  or  $f(y)$  is in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , say  $f(x)$  for definiteness. Then  $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ . (You may use this if you wish in Problem 6 of HW 1).

Localization at an element: Let  $A$  be a ring and  $f \in A$  an element. Let  $S = \{1, f, f^2, \dots, f^n, \dots\}$ . Note that  $S$  is a multiplicative system. We write  $M_f$  for  $S^{-1}M$ , for  $M \in \text{Mod}_A$ .

From problems in HW 1, the prime ideals of  $A_f$  obtained as follows: let

$$\begin{aligned} A &\xrightarrow{L} A_f \\ x &\mapsto x_1 \end{aligned}$$

be the localization map. Then  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq A_f$  is a prime ideal of  $A_f$  if and only if  $f = L^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$  is a prime ideal of  $A$  s.t.  $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ .

### The Nilradical and the Jacobson radical:

Let  $A$  be a ring. An element  $a \in A$  is said to be nilpotent if  $a^n = 0$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .

Proposition: The set of nilpotent elements of a ring  $A$  is an ideal of  $A$ .

Proof:

Let  $N$  be the set of nilpotents of  $A$ .

If  $a \in A$ , and  $x \in N$ , then clearly  $ax \in N$ .

Suppose  $x, y \in N$ . We have a non-negative integer  $n$  such that

$$x^n = y^n = 0.$$

Then

$$(x+y)^{2n} = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{i} x^i y^{2n-i} = 0 \quad //$$

Definition: The set of nilpotent elements of a ring  $A$  is called the nilradical of  $A$ . It is often denoted  $\sqrt{0}$ . (Also often as  $\text{rad}(A)$ ).

Theorem:  $\sqrt{0} = \bigcap_{\substack{\beta \text{ a prime} \\ \text{ideal of } A}} \beta$

Proof:

Note the left side is contained in the right, for if  $x$  is nilpotent and  $\beta$  a prime ideal, then  $x^n = 0 \in \beta$  for some  $n \geq 0$ , where  $x \in \beta$ .

It remains to show that if an element lies in every prime ideal it must be nilpotent.

We'll prove the contrapositive namely, if  $a \in A$  is not nilpotent then there is a prime ideal  $\beta$  of  $A$  which does not contain  $a$ .

Consider the localization  $A_a$ . We have shown (earlier) that every <sup>non-zero</sup> ring has a prime ideal.

Claim:  $A_a \neq 0$ .

Pf: If  $A_a = 0$ , then  $\frac{a}{1} = 0$ , i.e. for some  $n \geq 0$ ,  $a^n = 0$ , i.e.  $a$  is nilpotent.

Therefore  $A_a$  has a prime ideal, say  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $\beta$  be the inverse image of  $\mathbb{Q}$  under the localization map  $A \rightarrow A_a$ . We've seen that  $\beta$  is a prime ideal of  $A$  which is disjoint from  $S = \{a^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ . It follows that  $a \notin \beta$ . //

(Have used Problem 6 from HW1).

Edit: Should be  $\notin$  and not  $\in$ .