

Sat 15, 2022

Lecture 4

Alg II

Fix a ring A , and let $X = \text{Spec } A$.

For a subset Z of X , let

$$I(Z) := \{f \in A \mid f \in \mathfrak{p}, \forall \mathfrak{p} \in Z\}.$$

Remark: $I(Z)$ is clearly an ideal.

$Z \in X$.

Lemma: $\bar{Z} = V(I(Z))$ where \bar{Z} denotes the closure

Proof:

It is clear, by definition of $I(Z)$, that $Z \subseteq V(I(Z))$, and hence $\bar{Z} \subseteq V(I(Z))$. Suppose now that

$$Z \subseteq V(J)$$

for some ideal J of A . If $f \in J$, then $f \in \mathfrak{p} \forall \mathfrak{p} \in Z$, whence $f \in I(Z)$. Thus $J \subseteq I(Z)$, which in turn implies that $V(I(Z)) \subseteq V(J)$. Thus $\bar{Z} = V(I(Z))$ //

Further remarks:

Recall that for an ideal I , $\sqrt{I} := \{f \in A \mid f^n \in I \text{ for some } n > 0\}$, and

$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)} \mathfrak{p}.$$

It is clear that if I, J are ideals of A , then $V(I) = V(J)$ if and only if $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{J}$. An ideal I is called a radical ideal if $I = \sqrt{I}$. From these remarks it is clear that there is a bijective correspondence between radical ideals and closed subsets of X , and the correspondence is s.t. $I \subset J \Leftrightarrow V(I) \supset V(J)$.

$D(f)$ and $\text{Spec}(A_f)$:

For $f \in A$, let

$$i_f^{\sharp}: A \longrightarrow A_f$$

denote the localization map ($i_f^{\sharp} = i_x$ in our earlier notation, but now we wish to emphasize the role of f).

Let

$$X_f = \text{Spec}(A_f)$$

and let

$$\phi_f: X_f \longrightarrow X$$

be the map induced by i^{\sharp} . In other words, $\phi_f = \alpha i^{\sharp}$.

According to Problem 6 of HW1,

$$(a) \quad D(f) = \phi_f(X_f)$$

(b) The map $X_f \longrightarrow D(f)$ induced by ϕ_f is bijective.

It is easy to verify that for $g \in A$

$$\phi_f(D(g/1)) = D(f) \cap D(g) = D(fg).$$

Now for any $n \geq 0$, $D(g/f^n) = D(g/1)$, whence

$$\phi_f(D(g/f^n)) = D(fg).$$

We have therefore shown that ϕ_f is an open map. Since it is continuous and injective, and its image is $D(f)$, it follows that

$$X_f \xrightarrow{\phi_f} D(f)$$

is a homeomorphism. The following commutative diagram summarizes the situation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_f & \xrightarrow{\phi_f} & X \\ & \searrow \wr & \downarrow \checkmark \\ & & D(f) \end{array}$$

homeomorphism $\xrightarrow{\quad}$

From now on, we identify $D(f)$ with X_f .

Quasi-compactness of $X = \text{Spec } A$

A topological space (in this course and in courses in algebraic geometry) is called quasi-compact if every open cover of it has a finite subcover. We reserve the term compact for topological spaces which are Hausdorff in addition to being quasi-compact.

Lemma: Let $\{f_r \in A \mid r \in \Gamma\}$ be a collection of elements in A . Then $\langle f_r \mid r \in \Gamma \rangle = A$ if and only if $\bigcup_{r \in \Gamma} D(f_r) = X$.

Proof:

Let $I = \langle f_r \mid r \in \Gamma \rangle$. Then

2

The Bourbaki "dangerous bend" symbol.

$$\bigcup_{r \in \Gamma} D(f_r) = \bigcup_{r \in \Gamma} (X - V(\langle f_r \rangle)) = X - \bigcap_{r \in \Gamma} V(\langle f_r \rangle) = X - V(I).$$

$$\text{Thus } \bigcup_{r \in \Gamma} D(f_r) = X \iff V(I) = \emptyset \iff I = A. //$$

Proposition: Let $f_r, r \in \Gamma$ be a collection of elements in A , and I the ideal generated by these elements. The following are equivalent:

(a) $I = A$

(b) \exists positive integers $n_r, r \in \Gamma$ such that $\langle f_r^{n_r} \mid r \in \Gamma \rangle = A$

(c) For every choice of a family of positive integers $n_r, r \in \Gamma$, $\langle f_r^{n_r} \mid r \in \Gamma \rangle = A$.

Proof:

This follows from the simple observation that if $n_r > 0$ then $D(f_r^{n_r}) = D(f_r)$. //

Proposition: X is quasi-compact.

Proof:

It is clearly enough to work with standard open sets. So suppose $\mathcal{U} = \{D(f_r) \mid r \in \Gamma\}$ is an open cover of X . Let $I = \langle f_r \mid r \in \Gamma \rangle$. We know from the above results that $I = A$, whence $1 \in I$.

In particular, there exist $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in \Gamma$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that

$$a_1 f_{r_1} + \dots + a_n f_{r_n} = 1.$$

It follows that $\langle f_{r_1}, \dots, f_{r_n} \rangle = A$, whence $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(f_{r_i})$. //

Closed points and maximal ideals

This should have been done right after proving $\bar{Z} = V(I(Z))$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of A . Clearly (specializing to $Z = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$)

$$I(\{\mathfrak{p}\}) = \mathfrak{p}.$$

Thus

$$\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = V(I(\{\mathfrak{p}\})) = V(\mathfrak{p}).$$

It follows that $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ is closed if and only if $V(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, i.e. if and only if \mathfrak{p} is a maximal ideal.

Thus the closed points of X are precisely the maximal ideals of A .

Out of turn. Should have done this earlier.

King's book "Introduction to Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry" is a good reference for this section.

Irreducible subsets and irreducible components of a topological space

Most of the concepts here are mainly used in non-Hausdorff situations. The spectrum of a ring is rarely Hausdorff since in a Hausdorff space every point is a closed point, and from what we have seen above, a necessary condition then for $\text{Spec } A$ to be Hausdorff is that every prime ideal should be a maximal ideal. In particular, if A is an integral domain $\text{Spec } A$ is a Hausdorff if and only if A is a field, since $\langle 0 \rangle$ is a prime ideal in an integral domain.

Definition: A topological space X is called irreducible if for any decomposition $X = F_1 \cup F_2$ with F_1, F_2 closed, we have $X = F_1$ or $X = F_2$. A Z is called irreducible if it is irreducible in the induced topology, i.e. in its subspace topology.

Lemma: Let X be a topological space. The following are equivalent.

- (a) X is irreducible
- (b) If U_1, U_2 are two non-empty open subsets of X then $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$.
- (c) Every non-empty open subset of X is dense in X

Proof:

- (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) Follows by taking complements of U_1 and U_2 .
- (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) Follows from the fact that subset of X is dense if and only if it intersects every non-empty open set. //

Corollary: Let Z be a subset of X . TFAE

- (a) Z is irreducible
- (b) If $U_i, i=1,2$ are open in X with $U_i \cap Z \neq \emptyset, i=1,2$, then $Z \cap U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$.
- (c) The closure \bar{Z} of Z is irreducible.

Proof:

For (c) the only thing to note is that for U open in X , $U \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $U \cap \bar{Z} \neq \emptyset$. //

Definition: An irreducible component of a topological space X is a maximal irreducible subset of X .

Proposition: (a) Irreducible components are closed.

(b) Every irreducible subset of a topological space is contained in an irreducible component.

(c) Every topological space is the union of its irreducible components.

Proof:

(a) This follows from (c) of the previous Corollary.

(b) Let Z be an irreducible subset of X , and Σ_i the collection of irreducible subsets of X containing Z . Let $\{Z_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subset \Sigma_i$ be a totally ordered subset of Σ_i and set

$$Z_{\max} := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Z_\alpha.$$

Suppose U_1, U_2 are open in X with $U_i \cap Z_{\max} \neq \emptyset$, $i=1,2$. Then for some $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $Z_\alpha \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i=1,2$. Since Z_α is irreducible, $Z_\alpha \cap U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$, whence $Z_{\max} \cap U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$. Thus Z_{\max} is irreducible. By Zorn's Lemma, Σ_i has a maximal element. This has to be an irreducible component.

(c) Follows from (b) since every point is irreducible. //