

### HW 3 - SOLUTIONS

**Due date:** Feb 17, 2022 (to be handed over in class)

Throughout, if  $A$  is a ring and  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ . The conventions used in lectures and the previous homework assignments remain in force. A reminder: if  $f \in A$ , then

$$D(f) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in X \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

According to what was done (or will be done) in the lectures,  $D(f)$  is homeomorphic to  $\operatorname{Spec} A_f$  and in fact  $D(f)$  is the homeomorphic image of  $\operatorname{Spec} A_f$  under the natural map  $\operatorname{Spec} A_f \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A = X$  induced by the localization map  $A \rightarrow A_f$ .

1. For a multiplicatively closed set  $S$  in  $A$ , we call the set

$$\overline{S} := \{a \in A \mid ab \in S \text{ for some } b \in A\}$$

the *saturation* of  $S$ . Let  $f, g \in A$  and  $M \in \operatorname{Mod}_A$ . Fix a multiplicative system  $S$ .

- (a) Show that  $\overline{S}$  is multiplicatively closed and that  $\overline{S}^{-1}A = S^{-1}A$ .
- (b) Let  $T$  be a multiplicative system such that  $S \subset T \subset \overline{S}$ . Show that  $\overline{T} = \overline{S}$ .  
In particular, conclude that  $\overline{\overline{S}} = \overline{S}$ .
- (c) Let  $T$  be another multiplicative system, and let  $ST$  be the multiplicative system  $ST := \{st \in A \mid s \in S, t \in T\}$ . Show that  $(ST)^{-1}M = T^{-1}(S^{-1}M)$ .
- (d) Show that if  $d \geq 1$  then  $M_{f^d} = M_f$ .
- (e) Show that  $(M_f)_g = M_{fg}$ .

**Solution:** Most of the parts have easy solutions once we observe that  $\overline{S}$  is the complement of the union of prime ideals which are disjoint from  $S$ . If  $a \notin \overline{S}$ , then by definition of  $\overline{S}$ ,  $\langle a \rangle \cap S = \emptyset$ . Now Zornify. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal ideal of  $A$  containing  $\langle a \rangle$  and not meeting  $S$ . Standard arguments show that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is prime. Conversely, if  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$  where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal such that  $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $ab \in \mathfrak{p}$  for every  $b \in A$ , and hence  $ab \notin S$  for any  $b \in A$ , which means  $a \notin \overline{S}$ . Thus if  $\Sigma = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A) \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset\}$ , then

$$(*) \quad \overline{S} = A \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{p}.$$

- (a) From (\*) it is clear that  $\overline{S}$  is a multiplicative system since it is the intersection of multiplicative systems. Next, we know (from Problem 6 of HW 1) that prime ideals of  $A$  which do not meet  $S$  are in bijective correspondence with prime ideals of  $S^{-1}A$ , the correspondence being  $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ , with the inverse map being  $\mathfrak{q} \mapsto i_A^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ , where  $i_A: A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$  is the localization map. It follows that if  $t \in \overline{S}$  then  $t/1 \in S^{-1}A$  is a unit in  $S^{-1}A$  since, according to (\*), and our just given description of prime ideals in  $S^{-1}A$ , it does not lie in any prime ideal of  $S^{-1}A$ . It is immediate that  $i_A: A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$  has the universal property of localization for  $\overline{S}^{-1}A$ .
- (b) Follows from (\*).

- (c) Consider the composite of localization maps

$$A \xrightarrow{f_1} S^{-1}A \xrightarrow{f_2} T^{-1}(S^{-1}A).$$

Let  $f = f_1 \circ f_1$ . It is clear that  $f(st)$  is a unit in  $T^{-1}(S^{-1}A)$  for every  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ . Moreover, if  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism such that  $\phi$  sends every element in  $ST$  to a unit in  $B$ , then it sends every element of  $S$  as well as every element of  $T$  to a unit in  $B$ . We therefore have a unique ring map  $\psi_1: S^{-1}A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\psi_1(f_1(a)) = \phi(a)$  for every  $a \in A$ . It follows that  $\psi_1(f_1(t)) = \phi(t)$  is a unit for every  $t \in T$ . Using this and the fact that  $(f_1(T))^{-1}(S^{-1}A) = T^{-1}(S^{-1}A)$  we get a unique map  $\psi: (f_1(T))^{-1}(S^{-1}A) \rightarrow B$  such that  $\psi \circ f_2 = \psi_1$ . It follows that  $\psi \circ f = \phi$ . We have to show the uniqueness of  $\psi$ .

We make a quick observation before returning to the proof. Under ring homomorphisms, units get mapped to units, and the their multiplicative inverses to the multiplicative inverses of the images. In particular, if  $s \in S$ , then  $f_2(f_1(s)^{-1}) = f(s)^{-1}$ .

If  $\psi': T^{-1}A(S^{-1}A) \rightarrow B$  is another ring homomorphism such that  $\psi \circ f = \phi$ , then for  $a \in A$ , and  $s \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(f_2(a/s)) &= \psi'(f_2(f_1(s)^{-1})f(a)) = \psi'(f(s)^{-1}f(a)) \\ &= \psi'(f(s))^{-1}\psi'(f(a)) \\ &= \phi(s)^{-1}\phi(a) \\ &= \psi_1(a/s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\psi' \circ f_2 = \psi_1$ . By the universal property of  $T^{-1}(S^{-1}A)$ , this means  $\psi' = \psi$ .

2. (a) If  $f, g \in A$  are such that  $D(f) = D(g)$  as subsets  $X$ , then for  $M \in \text{Mod}_A$ ,  $M_f = M_g$ .
- (b) Let  $f_\alpha, \alpha \in \Lambda$ , be a family of elements of  $A$  such that  $\langle f_\alpha \rangle = A$ . Suppose  $s_\alpha \in M_{f_\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  is a family of elements satisfying  $s_\alpha/1 = s_\beta/1$  (as elements in  $M_{f_\alpha f_\beta}$ ) for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ . Show that there exists a unique element  $m \in M$  such that  $m/1 \in M_{f_\alpha}$  equals  $s_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) It is clear from the description of saturation in the formula (\*) that the multiplicative systems  $\{f^n \mid n \geq 0\}$  and  $\{g^n \mid n \geq 0\}$  have the same saturation, namely

$$T = A \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in D(f)} \mathfrak{p} = A \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in D(g)} \mathfrak{p}.$$

- (b) Let us first prove that such an  $m \in M$  is unique. It is enough to prove that if  $m/1 = 0 \in A_{f_\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , then  $m = 0$ . Let  $m \in M$  be such an element. We have non-negative integers  $n_\alpha$ , one for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , such that  $f_\alpha^{n_\alpha} m = 0$ . Now  $\langle f_\alpha^{n_\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda \rangle = A$  (see the first proposition on page 3 of [Lecture 11](#)). There exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e \in \Lambda$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_e \in A$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^e x_i f_{\alpha_i}^{n_{\alpha_i}} = 1$ . Then

$$m = 1 \cdot m = \left( \sum_{i=1}^e x_i f_{\alpha_i}^{n_{\alpha_i}} \right) m = 0.$$

This proves uniqueness.

For the existence of  $m$ , let us use the quasi compactness of  $\text{Spec}(A)$ . We have  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$  such that with  $g_i := f_{\alpha_i}$ , we have  $\text{Spec}(A) = \bigcup_{i=1}^d D(g_i)$ . Since  $A_{g_i^e} = A_{g_i}$  for all  $e \geq 1$  and  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , replacing all the  $g_i$  by suitable powers, we may assume there exist  $m_1, \dots, m_d \in M$  such that

$$s_{\alpha_i} = m_i/g_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

In  $\text{Spec}(A_{g_i g_j})$  we have  $m_i/g_i = m_j/g_j$ , whence there exist  $n_{ij} \geq 0$  such that  $(g_i g_j)^{n_{ij}} g_j m_i = (g_i g_j)^{n_{ij}} g_i m_j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ . Set  $n = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} n_{ij}$ . Then

$$(\dagger) \quad g_j^{n+1} g_i^n m_i = g_i^{n+1} g_j^n m_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

Now  $\langle g_1^{n+1}, \dots, g_d^{n+1} \rangle = A$ , hence there exist  $a_j \in A$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^d a_j g_j^{n+1} = 1.$$

In particular, using  $(\dagger)$ , we get

$$g_i^n m_i = \left( \sum_{j=1}^d a_j g_j^{n+1} \right) g_i^n m_i = g_i^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^d a_j g_j^n m_j.$$

Let  $m = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j g_j^n m_j$ . The relations  $g_i^n m_i = g_i^{n+1} m$  established above shows that  $m/1 = m_i/g_i$  in  $A_{g_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .

We have yet to establish that in  $A_{f_\alpha}$ ,  $m/1 = s_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$  (we have only established this for  $\alpha = \alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ ). So suppose  $\alpha \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$ . Let  $\sigma = m/1 \in A_{f_\alpha}$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , let  $\bar{g}_i$  be the image of  $g_i$  in  $A_{f_\alpha}$ . Then  $\langle \bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_d \rangle = A_{f_\alpha}$ . The image of  $m$  in  $(A_{f_\alpha})_{\bar{g}_i} = A_{f_\alpha g_i} = (A_{g_i})_{f_\alpha}$  is, by the transitivity of localization (i.e. part (c) of Problem 1), the image of  $s_\alpha$  in  $A_{f_\alpha g_i} = (A_{f_\alpha})_{\bar{g}_i}$ . Thus the image of  $\sigma - s_\alpha$  in  $A_{f_\alpha g_i}$  is zero for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . By the argument given for the uniqueness of  $m$  in the first paragraph of this proof, we see that  $\sigma = s_\alpha$ .

3. Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism.

- (a) Show that  $A \otimes_A M = M$  for all  $M \in \text{Mod}_A$ .
- (b) Let  $M \in \text{Mod}_A$ . Show that  $B \otimes_A M \in \text{Mod}_B$ , where the scalar multiplication is such that  $b(b' \otimes m) = (bb') \otimes m$ ,  $b, b' \in B$ ,  $m \in M$ .
- (c) For  $M, N \in \text{Mod}_B$  and  $T \in \text{Mod}_A$ , show that we have the following Hom- $\otimes$  adjointness:

$$\text{Hom}_B(M, \text{Hom}_A(N, T)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(M \otimes_B N, T).$$

**Solution:**

- (a) This is clear. The scalar multiplication map  $S: A \times M \rightarrow M$  is by definition bilinear, and if  $B: A \times M \rightarrow T$  is a bilinear map over  $A$ , then clearly  $m \mapsto B(1, m)$  defines an  $A$ -linear map  $\varphi: M \rightarrow T$  such that  $\varphi \circ S = B$ . Moreover if  $u: M \rightarrow T$  is any  $A$ -map such that  $u \circ S = B$ , then  $u(m) = u(S(1, m)) = B(1, m)$ , whence  $u = \varphi$ .

- (b) Let  $b \in B$ . The  $A$ -bilinear map  $B \times M \rightarrow B \otimes_A M$  given by  $(b', m) \mapsto (bb', m)$  gives us a well defined map  $A$ -linear map  $\nu(b): B \otimes_A M \rightarrow B \otimes_A M$  given by  $\nu(b)(\sum_{\alpha} (b_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\alpha})) = \sum_{\alpha} (bb_{\alpha}) \otimes m_{\alpha}$ . It is clear, since multiplication is distributive and  $\otimes$  is bilinear, that  $\nu(b_1 + b_2) = \nu(b_1) + \nu(b_2)$ . Moreover,  $\nu(b)$ , being an  $A$ -module map, respects addition in  $B \otimes_A M$ . Finally,  $\nu(1)$  is obviously the identity map. Collecting these facts together, we see that  $(b, \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\alpha}) \mapsto \nu(b)(\sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\alpha})$  defines a scalar product

$$B \times (B \otimes_A M) \longrightarrow B \otimes_A M.$$

- (c) This is *mutatis mutandis* the proof given in class for  $B = A$ . Details left to you.

4. An  $A$ -module  $E$  is called *injective* if  $\text{Hom}_A(-, E)$  is an exact functor (i.e., it transforms exact sequences of  $A$ -modules into exact sequences of  $A$ -modules). Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a ring map and  $E$  an injective  $A$ -module. Show that  $\text{Hom}_A(B, E)$  is an injective  $B$ -module. [**Hint:** Use the above  $\text{Hom}-\otimes$  adjointness. Note that we have already seen in class that  $\text{Hom}_A(B, E)$  is a  $B$ -module.]

**Solution:** First note that a sequence of  $B$ -modules

$$\dots \rightarrow M^{i-1} \rightarrow M^i \rightarrow M^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact if and only if when thought of as a sequence of  $A$ -modules it is exact.

Now

$$\text{Hom}_B(-, \text{Hom}_A(B, E)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(- \otimes_B B, E) = \text{Hom}_A(-, E).$$

The last functor is exact, and we are done.