

## HW-2 SOLUTIONS

Throughout, if  $A$  is a ring<sup>1</sup> then  $\text{Mod}_A$  denotes the category of  $A$ -modules. If  $M, N \in \text{Mod}_A$ , we will often use the phrase “ $f: M \rightarrow N$  is an  $A$ -map” as a shorthand for “ $f: M \rightarrow N$  is a homomorphism of  $A$ -modules”.

For an element  $a \in A$  and an  $A$ -module  $M$ ,  $\mu_a: M \rightarrow M$  will denote the  $A$ -map  $x \mapsto ax$ . The map  $\mu_a$  is often called the “multiplication by  $a$ ” map.

**Direct Limits.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a partially ordered set, with partial order  $\prec$ . We say  $\Lambda$  is a *directed set* if given  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\Lambda$ , there exists  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  such that  $\alpha \prec \gamma$  and  $\beta \prec \gamma$ . Now let  $A$  be a ring and  $(\Lambda, \prec)$  a directed set. A *direct system over the directed set  $\Lambda$*  of  $A$ -modules is a collection of  $A$ -modules  $\{M_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  together with  $A$ -maps  $\mu_{\alpha\beta}: M_\alpha \rightarrow M_\beta$ , one for every pair of indices  $(\alpha, \beta)$  such that  $\alpha \prec \beta$  satisfying the following relations:

- (i)  $\mu_{\alpha\alpha} = id_{M_\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu_{\alpha\gamma} = \mu_{\beta\gamma} \circ \mu_{\alpha\beta}$  whenever  $\alpha \prec \beta \prec \gamma$ .

One often writes  $(M_\alpha)$  or  $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  for the collection  $\{M_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  as well as for the direct system  $\mathbf{M} = (M_\alpha, \mu_{\alpha\beta})$ , suppressing the  $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ . Now suppose  $\mathbf{M} = (M_\alpha)$  is a direct system over  $\Lambda$ . The<sup>2</sup> *direct limit* of  $\mathbf{M}$  is an  $A$ -module  $\widetilde{M}$  together with a collection of  $A$ -maps  $\mu_\alpha: M_\alpha \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ , one for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , such that  $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\beta \circ \mu_{\alpha\beta}$  for every  $\alpha \prec \beta$ ; this data satisfying the following condition: If  $T \in \text{Mod}_A$ , and one has  $A$ -maps  $\nu_\alpha: M_\alpha \rightarrow T$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  satisfying  $\nu_\alpha = \nu_\beta \circ \mu_{\alpha\beta}$  whenever  $\alpha \prec \beta$ , then there exists a unique  $A$ -map  $\nu: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow T$  such that  $\nu_\alpha = \nu \circ \mu_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . (We will soon change notation, and use the symbol  $\varinjlim_\alpha M_\alpha$  for the direct limit.)

In what follows assume that a direct limit always exists for a direct system, and for definiteness, fix one for each direct system.

1. Show that  $(\widetilde{M}, \mu_\alpha)_\alpha$  is unique up to unique isomorphism. In other words, show that if  $(M^*, \mu_\alpha^*)_\alpha$  is another pair enjoying the same universal property that  $(\widetilde{M}, \mu_\alpha)_\alpha$  does, then there is a unique isomorphism  $\varphi: \widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} M^*$  such that  $\mu_\alpha^* = \varphi \circ \mu_\alpha$ .

**Solution:** The universal property of  $(\widetilde{M}, \mu_\alpha)$  gives us a unique  $A$ -map  $\varphi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M^*$  such that the following diagram commutes for every  $\alpha$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_\alpha & & \\
 \downarrow \mu_\alpha & \searrow \mu_\alpha^* & \\
 \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M^*
 \end{array}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>According to our conventions, commutative and with identity.

<sup>2</sup>The definite article “the” will be justified in the problems below.

Similarly, the universal property for  $(M^*, \mu_\alpha^*)$  gives us a unique  $A$ -map  $\psi: M^* \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$  such that the following diagram commutes for each  $\alpha$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_\alpha & \\ \mu_\alpha^* \swarrow & \downarrow \mu_\alpha & \\ M^* & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \widetilde{M} \end{array}$$

Combining the two we have commutative diagrams, one for each  $\alpha$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M_\alpha & & \\ & \mu_\alpha^* \swarrow & \downarrow \mu_\alpha & \searrow \mu_\alpha^* & \\ M^* & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M^* \end{array}$$

Thus  $(\varphi \circ \psi) \circ \mu_\alpha^* = \mu_\alpha^*$  for every  $\alpha$ . On the other hand  $\mathbf{1}_{M^*} \circ \mu_\alpha^* = \mu_\alpha^*$  for every  $\alpha$ . By the universal property of  $(M^*, \mu_\alpha^*)$  there is exactly one solution for  $u$  in the system of equations  $u \circ \mu_\alpha^* = \mu_\alpha^*$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , and hence  $\varphi \circ \psi = \mathbf{1}_{M^*}$ .

By symmetry  $\psi \circ \varphi = \mathbf{1}_{\widetilde{M}}$ . □

Since “the” direct limit is unique up to unique isomorphism, we will regard it as unique, and write

$$\varinjlim_{\alpha} M_\alpha$$

for the above direct limit. As with so much of mathematics (think groups), we will write  $\varinjlim_{\alpha} M_\alpha$  for the module  $\widetilde{M}$  as well as the data  $(\widetilde{M}, \mu_\alpha)_{\alpha}$ .

For Problem 2 below, let  $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be a direct system of  $A$ -modules. Let  $\coprod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha$  be the disjoint union of the  $M_\alpha$ , i.e.  $\coprod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \{\alpha\} \times M_\alpha$ . For  $(\alpha, m_\alpha), (\beta, m_\beta)$  in  $\coprod_{\alpha} M_\alpha$ , write  $(\alpha, m_\alpha) \sim (\beta, m_\beta)$  if there exists a  $\gamma \succ \alpha, \beta$  such that  $\mu_{\alpha\gamma}(m_\alpha) = \mu_{\beta\gamma}(m_\beta)$ . It is easy to see that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\coprod_{\alpha} M_\alpha$ . Assume this in what follows (and prove it for yourself, but don’t show me the work). Let  $[\alpha, m_\alpha]$  denote the equivalence class of  $(\alpha, m_\alpha)$ . One can define an  $A$ -module structure on  $M = (\coprod_{\alpha} M_\alpha)/\sim$  by setting

$$[\alpha, m_\alpha] + [\beta, m_\beta] := [\gamma, \mu_{\alpha\gamma}(m_\alpha) + \mu_{\beta\gamma}(m_\beta)]$$

for any  $\gamma \succ \alpha, \beta$ , and by setting

$$a[\alpha, m_\alpha] := [\alpha, am_\alpha]$$

for  $a \in A$ . It is easy to see (and again – you don’t have to show this to me, but do work it out for yourself) that the addition and scalar multiplication on  $M$  given above is well-defined and defines an  $A$ -module structure on  $M$ . You may use all these easily proven facts in what follows.

2. For  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , let  $\nu_\alpha: M_\alpha \rightarrow M$  be the map  $m_\alpha \mapsto [\alpha, m_\alpha]$ .

- (a) Show that each  $\nu_\alpha$  is an  $A$ -map.
- (b) Show that for  $\alpha \prec \beta$ ,  $\nu_\alpha = \nu_\beta \circ \mu_{\alpha\beta}$ .
- (c) Show that if  $\nu_\alpha(x) = 0$  for some  $x \in M_\alpha$ , then for some  $\beta \succ \alpha$ ,  $\mu_{\alpha\beta}(x) = 0$ .
- (d) Show that the unique map  $\nu: \varinjlim_{\alpha} M_\alpha \rightarrow M$ , arising from the universal property of direct limits, is an isomorphism.

**Solution:** Parts (a), (b) and (c) are straightforward, so I will concentrate on (d).

Suppose  $[\alpha, m_\alpha] = [\beta, m_\beta]$ . Then there exists  $\gamma \succ \alpha, \beta$  such that  $\mu_{\alpha\gamma}(m_\alpha) = \mu_{\beta\gamma}(m_\beta)$ . Denote the common element in  $M_\gamma$  defined by this equality by  $m_\gamma$ . Now  $\mu_\alpha(m_\alpha) = \mu_\gamma(\mu_{\alpha\gamma}(m_\alpha)) = \mu_\gamma(m_\gamma)$ . The same reasoning shows that  $\mu_\beta(m_\beta) = \mu_\gamma(m_\gamma)$ . In particular  $\mu_\alpha(m_\alpha) = \mu_\beta(m_\beta)$ . We have thus proved that the map

$$\theta: M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$$

given by

$$\theta([\alpha, m_\alpha]) = \mu_\alpha(m_\alpha),$$

is well-defined. It is easy to see this is an  $A$ -map (though you are expected to spell this out in greater detail than I have). Now for  $m_\alpha \in M_\alpha$ , we have  $\theta(\nu_\alpha(m_\alpha)) = \theta([\alpha, m_\alpha]) = \mu_\alpha(m_\alpha)$ , whence  $\theta \circ \nu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha$  for every index  $\alpha$ . This means that for  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , we have  $(\theta \circ \nu) \circ \mu_\alpha = \theta \circ (\nu \circ \mu_\alpha) = \theta \circ \nu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha$ . Thus  $u = \theta \circ \nu$  is a solution to the system of equations  $u \circ \mu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . By the universal property of direct limits, there is only solution to this system, namely  $u = \mathbf{1}_{\varinjlim_\alpha M_\alpha}$ . It follows that  $\theta \circ \nu$  is the identity map on  $\varinjlim_\alpha M_\alpha$ .

On the other hand, for  $[\alpha, m_\alpha] \in M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\theta([\alpha, m_\alpha])) &= \nu(\mu_\alpha(m_\alpha)) = (\nu \circ \mu_\alpha)(m_\alpha) \\ &= \nu_\alpha(m_\alpha) \\ &= [\alpha, m_\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\nu \circ \theta = \mathbf{1}_M$ . □

**Tensor products.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M, N, T \in \text{Mod}_A$ . A *bilinear map of  $A$ -modules* from  $M \times N$  to  $T$  is a map  $B: M \times N \rightarrow T$  such that for every  $m, m' \in M$ ,  $n, n' \in N$  and  $a \in A$  we have

- (i)  $B(m + m', n) = B(m, n) + B(m', n)$ ;
- (ii)  $B(m, n + n') = B(m, n) + B(m, n')$ ;
- (iii)  $B(am, n) = B(m, an) = aB(m, n)$ .

The *tensor product of  $M$  and  $N$  over  $A$*  is an  $A$ -module  $M \otimes_A N$  together with a bilinear map of  $A$ -modules  $B_u: M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$  such that given a bilinear map of  $A$ -modules  $B: M \times N \rightarrow T$ , there exists a unique  $A$ -module map  $\psi: M \otimes_A N \rightarrow T$  satisfying  $B = \psi \circ B_u$ . In what follows, assume that such a pair  $(M \otimes_A N, B_u)$  exists, and fix one such pair for definiteness.

3. Show that  $(M \otimes_A N, B_u)$  is unique up to unique isomorphism, i.e. if  $(M * N, B^*)$  is another pair, with  $B^*: M \times N \rightarrow M * N$  a bilinear map of  $A$ -modules enjoying the universal property that  $(M \otimes_A N, B_u)$  does, then there is a unique isomorphism of  $A$ -modules  $\varphi: M \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{\sim} M * N$  such that  $\varphi \circ B_u = B^*$ .

**Solution:** The universal property of  $(M \otimes_A N, B_u)$  give us a unique map  $\varphi: M \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{\sim} M * N$  such that  $\varphi \circ B_u = B^*$ . By symmetry, the universal property of  $(M * N, B^*)$  gives us unique map  $\psi: M * N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$  such that  $\psi \circ B^* = B_u$ . Thus  $(\psi \circ \varphi) \circ B_u = B_u$  and  $(\varphi \circ \psi) \circ B^* = B^*$ . However, the by the universal property of  $(M \otimes_A N, B_u)$  and  $(M * N, B^*)$ , the equations  $x \circ B_u = B_u$   $y \circ B^* = B^*$  admit exactly one solution each, namely  $x = \mathbf{1}_{M \otimes_A N}$  and  $y = \mathbf{1}_{M * N}$ . Thus  $\psi \circ \varphi$  and  $\varphi \circ \psi$  are both identity maps. □

4. Write  $m \otimes n$  for  $B_u(m, n) \in M \otimes_A N$ . Show that  $M \otimes_A N$  is generated as an  $A$ -module by elements of the form  $m \otimes n$ . You are expected to use the universal property of tensor products and not any construction you might have seen. [Hint: Let  $U$  be the submodule of  $M \otimes_A N$  generated by the  $m \otimes n$ . See if you can prove that  $U$  has the required universal property.]

**Solution:** Clearly  $U \supset B_u(M \times N)$ . Let  $B': M \times N \rightarrow U$  be the map induced by  $B_u$ , i.e.  $B'(m, n) = B_u(m, n)$  for  $(m, n) \in M \times N$ . It is quite evident that  $(U, B')$  has the required universal property of tensor products.<sup>3</sup> If the inclusion  $B \subset M \otimes_A N$  is denoted by  $i: U \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$ , then  $i \circ B' = B_u$ . By the universal property of  $B_u$ , we also have a map  $\varphi: M \otimes_A N \rightarrow U$  such that  $\varphi \circ B_u = B'$ . By a now familiar argument,  $i \circ \varphi$  is the identity on  $M \otimes_A N$  and  $\varphi \circ i$  is the identity on  $U$ . This means the inclusion  $i: U \hookrightarrow M \otimes_A N$  is an isomorphism, i.e.  $U$  is equal to  $M \otimes_A N$ .  $\square$

5. Show using the universal property of tensor products and the universal property of localizations that if  $S$  is a multiplicative system in a ring  $A$ , and  $M \in \text{Mod}_A$ , then  $S^{-1}M = S^{-1}A \otimes_A M$ . [Hint: Define a bilinear map  $S^{-1}A \times M \rightarrow S^{-1}M$  and show that it has the universal property for tensor products. To do that, you may need to verify that if one has a bilinear map  $M \times N \rightarrow T$  then on the submodule of  $T$  generated by image of  $M \times N$ ,  $\mu_s$  is an isomorphism for every  $s \in S$ .]

**Solution:** Let  $m \in M$  and suppose  $a/s$  and  $a'/s'$  are two representations of an element  $x \in S^{-1}A$ . We claim that  $\frac{am}{s} = \frac{a'm}{s'}$ . The equality  $a/s = a'/s'$  gives us an element  $t \in S$  such that  $ts'a = tsa'$ . This means  $ts'am = tsa'm$ , whence  $(am)/s = (a'm)/s'$  as asserted. This means that the map  $B^*: S^{-1}A \times M \rightarrow S^{-1}M$  given by the formula

$$B^*\left(\frac{a}{s}, m\right) = \frac{am}{s}$$

is well-defined. It is easy to see that  $B^*$  is bilinear over  $A$ .

Next suppose  $B: M \times N \rightarrow T$  is a bilinear map over  $A$ . Define  $\varphi: S^{-1}M \rightarrow T$  by the rule  $\varphi(m/s) = B(1/s, m)$ . We have to show (among other things) that  $\varphi$  is well-defined. To that end, suppose  $m/s = m'/s'$ . We have an element  $t \in S$  such that  $ts'm = tsm'$ . By the  $A$ -bilinearity of  $B$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\frac{1}{s}, m\right) &= B\left(\frac{ts'}{ts's}, m\right) = B\left(\frac{1}{ts's}, ts'm\right) \\ &= B\left(\frac{1}{ts's}, tsm'\right) \\ &= B\left(\frac{ts}{ts's}, m'\right) \\ &= B\left(\frac{1}{s'}, m'\right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves  $\varphi$  is well-defined. Moreover, for  $m, m' \in M$ ,  $s, s' \in S$ , and  $a \in A$ , we have  $\varphi\left(\frac{m}{s} + a\frac{m'}{s'}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{s'm + sam'}{ss'}\right) = B\left(\frac{1}{ss'}, s'm + sam'\right) = B\left(\frac{1}{ss'}, s'm\right) + aB\left(\frac{1}{ss'}, sm'\right) = B\left(\frac{1}{s}, m\right) + aB\left(\frac{1}{s'}, m'\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{m}{s}\right) + a\varphi\left(\frac{m'}{s'}\right)$ . In other words,  $\varphi$  is  $A$ -linear. Now  $\varphi(B^*(a/s, m)) = \varphi((am)/s) = B(1/s, am) = B(a/s, m)$ . Thus  $\varphi \circ B^* = B$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>Of course, you are expected to provide more details

It remains to show that  $\varphi$  is the unique map with the above property, i.e. we have to show that if  $\psi: S^{-1}M \rightarrow T$  is an  $A$ -map such that  $\psi \circ \varphi = B$ , then  $\psi = \varphi$ . Let  $\psi$  be such a map. Then  $\psi(m/s) = \psi(B^*(1/s, m) = B(1/s, m) = \varphi(m/s)$ .  $\square$

**Localization and direct limits.** Certain localizations can be regarded as direct limits.

6. Let  $A$  be a ring,  $f$  an element of  $A$ , and  $T$  an  $A$ -module. Define a direct system  $\mathbf{T} = (T_n, \mu_{m,n})$ , where the indices vary over non-negative integers (with its natural structure as a directed set), by  $T_n = T$  for all  $n \geq 0$ ; and for  $m \leq n$ ,  $\mu_{m,n}: T_m \rightarrow T_n$  is the map  $x \mapsto f^{n-m}x$ . Show that  $\varinjlim T_n = T_f$ , where  $T_f$  is the localization of  $T$  at the multiplicative system  $\{f^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\mu_n: T_n \rightarrow T_f$  be the map  $x \mapsto x/f^n$ . It is clear that  $\mu_n \circ \mu_{m,n} = \mu_m$  for  $0 \leq m \leq n$ . Next suppose  $Y \in \text{Mod}_A$  and we have a family of  $A$ -maps,  $\nu_n: T_n \rightarrow Y$ , one for each  $n \geq 0$ , such that  $\nu_n \circ \mu_{m,n} = \nu_m$  for  $0 \leq m \leq n$ . If  $\psi: T_f \rightarrow Y$  is an  $A$ -map such that  $\nu_n = \psi \circ \mu_n$  for every  $n \geq 0$ , then  $\psi(x/f^n) = \psi(\mu_n(x)) = \nu_n(x)$ , which means there is at most one  $\psi$  such that the relation  $\nu_n = \psi \circ \mu_n$  holds for every  $n \geq 0$ .

We will now show that for  $Y$  and  $\{\nu_n\}_n$  as above, such a map  $\psi$  exists. This is equivalent to showing that  $x/f^n \mapsto \nu_n(x)$  is a well defined  $A$ -map on  $T_f$ . To that end, suppose  $x/f^n = x'/f^m$ , and for definiteness, assume  $m \leq n$ . There exists  $d \geq 0$  such that  $f^d(f^m x - f^n x') = 0$ . In other words, we have  $f^{m+d}x = f^{n+d}x'$ . Regard  $x$  as an element of  $T_n$  and  $x'$  as an element of  $T_m$ . We have just proven that  $\mu_{n,m+n+d}(x) = \mu_{m,m+n+d}(x')$ . It follows that

$$\nu_n(x) = \nu_{m+n+d}(\mu_{n,m+n+d}(x)) = \nu_{m+n+d}(\mu_{m,m+n+d}(x')) = \nu_m(x').$$

Thus the map  $x/f^n \mapsto \nu_n(x)$  is a well-defined map on  $T_f$  taking values in  $Y$ .

Let  $\psi: T_f \rightarrow Y$  denote this map. It is clear that  $\psi \circ \mu_n = \nu_n$  for every  $n \geq 0$ .

Next we will show that  $\psi$  is an  $A$ -map. This is seen from the following computation for  $x, x' \in T$ ,  $n, m \geq 0$ , and  $a \in A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\frac{x}{f^n} + a\frac{x'}{f^m}\right) &= \psi\left(\frac{f^m x + a f^n x'}{f^{m+n}}\right) = \nu_{m+n}(f^m x + a f^n x') \\ &= \nu_{m+n}(f^m x) + a \nu_{m+n}(f^n x') \\ &= \psi\left(\frac{f^m x}{f^{m+n}}\right) + a \psi\left(\frac{f^n x'}{f^{m+n}}\right) \\ &= \psi\left(\frac{x}{f^n}\right) + a \psi\left(\frac{x'}{f^m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $(T_f, \mu_n)$  has the universal property required of a direct limit.  $\square$