708, 2022

Recall that a polynomial p(2) with complex confficients and with deg p > 0 can be written uniquely as $p(z) = C(z - w_1)^{e_1}(z - w_2)^{e_2} \cdots (z - w_m)^{e_m}$ where c is a non-glus constant, e, ..., en are positive integers, and w.,..., won are the distinct roots of p(2). Recall that a rational function f(2) is one which is the rates of two polynomials; f = P/q, where p and q are polynomials and q is not the polynomial which is identically zero. Such a function can be written as $f(z) = c \frac{(z - w_i)^{e_i} \dots (z - w_m)^{e_m}}{(z - z_i)^{d_i} \dots (z - z_{p_i})^{e_k}} \quad (c \neq 0 \text{ constant}),$ he may arrive, after cancelling all common fantors, that the w. are distinct (ie. if i \$ j, then wi \$ w;), the Zi are distinct, and no wy equals any Zi. If f = P(q is s.t. deg q < deg p, then by the Enclidean algorithm for dividing polynomials we know that p(z) = a(z)q(z) + h(z), with dig h < dig q, where a (2) is the "quotient" when p is divided by q and h is the remainder. In this care $f(z) = a(z) + \frac{h(z)}{g(z)}$ and the rational function h/g is s.t. deg q > deg h. Since we understand poly nomials like a(2), to study

lince we understand poly nonvials like a(2), to study rational functions we need to understand national functions in which the polynomial in the denominator has a greater degree Itean the polynomial in the numerator.

-----> OVER

In view of the discussion in the previous page, let

$$f(z) = c \frac{(z - \omega_1)^{e_1}}{(z - z_1)^{d_1}} \frac{(z - \omega_2)^{e_2} \cdots (z - \omega_m)^{e_m}}{(z - z_1)^{d_1}}$$

with

Theorem: In the above situation there exist unique complexe numbers by such that

$$f(z) = \frac{A_{10}}{(z-z_1)d_1} + \frac{A_{11}}{(z-z_1)d_{1-1}} + \dots + \frac{A_{1,3d_{1-1}}}{z-z_1}$$

$$\frac{+ 420}{(2-2_2)^{d_2}} + \frac{421}{(2-2_2)^{d_2-1}} + \frac{42}{(2-2_2)^{d_2-1}} + \frac{42}{2-2_2}$$

$$\frac{+}{(z-z_{k})^{d_{k}}} + \frac{A_{k}}{(z-z_{k})^{d_{k}-1}} + \frac{A_{k}}{z-z_{k}}$$

Remark: We will not be proving the above theorem. It is an algebrain statement, and not a complex analytic statement. However it is a very useful theorem and so we give an example of such a break-up. The above decomposition of the rational function of is called the portial fraction

Example: Find the partial fraction decomposition of $f(z) = \frac{8z^2 - 17z + 7}{(z-1)^2(z-2)}$

$$f(z) = \frac{a}{(z-1)^2} + \frac{b}{z-1} + \frac{c}{z-2}, \quad (4)$$
be base to find a, b, and c. The usual tribulance
is to "clear demninators" and write $8z^2 - 17z + 7$ as
 $a(z-z) + b(z-1)(z-z) + c(z-1)^2$, compare sufficients, and
 $10be$ for a, b, and c form the remiting equations. Here is
a differend technique.
Hultiply both sides of (2) by $(z-1)^2$. Get
 $(z-1)^2 f(z) = a + b(z-1) + \frac{c(z-1)^2}{z-2}$
Hence him $(z-1)^2 f(z) = a$.
 $z \to 1$
 $10 \quad a = \lim_{z \to 1} (z-1)^2 f(z) = \lim_{z \to 1} \frac{8z^2 - 17z + 7}{z-2}$
 $= -2/(-1) = 2.$
Thus $a=2$.
North multiply boths edder of (2) by $z-2$. Get
 $(z-z) f(z) = a(z-z) + b(z-z) + c$
 $(z-z) f(z) = a(z-z) + b(z-z) + c$
 $(z-z) f(z) = c$. Thus
 $c = \lim_{z \to 2} (z-2) f(z) = \lim_{z \to 2} \frac{8z^2 - 17z + 7}{(z-2)^2}$
 $= \frac{8(4) - 17(2) + 7}{(z-2)^2} = 5.$
Thus $c=5$.
Finally nots thad
 $\int_{a} f(z-1)^2 f(z) f(z) = \frac{1}{z+2} \int_{12}^{a} \frac{2z^2 - 17z + 7}{(z-2)^2}$
 $= b + (2-2)(2z(z-1)) - c(z-1)^2$
 $(z-2)^2$

Thus
$$b = \lim_{x \to 1} \frac{d}{dy} \left\{ (2-)^2 f(2) \right\}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to 1} \frac{d}{dy} \left\{ \frac{8i^2 - (7i + 7)}{2 - 2} \right\}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to 1} \left\{ \frac{(2-2)(16i - 17) - (8i^2 - (7i + 7))}{(2 - 2)^2} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{(-i)(-i) - (-2)}{(-i)^2} = 3.$$

$$f_{112} = \frac{(-i)(-i)}{(-i)^2} + \frac{3}{2-i} + \frac{5}{2-2}$$
is the required portial faction decomposition.
Beginsettal and logarithms:

$$f_{22} = (2i + 2i) + \frac{3}{2i} + \frac{5}{2i} + \frac{5}{2i}$$
is the required portial faction decomposition.
Beginsettal and logarithms:

$$f_{22} = (2i + 2i) + i + \frac{3}{2i} + \frac{5}{2i} + \frac{5}{2i}$$
is the required portial faction decomposition.
Beginsettal and logarithms:

$$f_{22} = e^{2i} (i + 2i) + i + \frac{3}{2i} + \frac{5}{2i} + \frac{5}{2i}$$

$$f_{23} = e^{2i} (i + 2i) + i + \frac{5}{2i} + \frac{5}{2i}$$

Augebre
$$3i = 2i + iy_1$$
 and $3u = 2i + iy_2$ are two complex
membro such that
 $e^{2i} = e^{2i}$.
This means
 $e^{2i} (\cos (g_1) + i \sin (g_1)) = e^{2i} (\cos (g_2) + i \sin (g_2))$
Poin demogration of e^{2i} from demogration
of e^{2i} .
Since the "r" part of a plan demogration is unique, this
means
 $e^{2i} = e^{2i}$.
Since e^{2i} is one to one P , this means
 $x_1 = 2e$.
Note $e^{2i} = |3i|$ and $e^{2i} = |3i|$.
So $x_1 = \log |2i| = \log |2i| = 2i$.
The above plan demogration do gives
 $\cos (g_1) + i \sin (g_2) = \cos (g_2) + i \sin (g_2)$
is.
 $e^{2i} (g_1) = i \cos (g_2) + i \sin (g_2)$.
This happens if and ruly if
 $g = g + 2\pi i$ for some integer n.
 $3u$ particular of $e^{2i} = e^{2i}$.
Thus $f(e) = e^{2i}$ is NOT one-to-one!
This actus pollows for the definition of loganthous.
Let us look at the problem in a slightly different
tway. Consider the equation (for $2i = 0$)
 $e^{2i} = 2$
where we are arded to order for up (with e being given).

Write we artib. Then

$$z = e^{a} e^{ib}$$

It follows that b is an agreement of the write
b = arg (2)
with the understanding that arg (2) is multivalued.
(We had earlie regulated arg (2) is multivalued.
(We had earlie regulated arg (2) is a left, but we write me
the symbol also for a momber of the set.)
Moreone, $(2|z| = |e^{a}| \cdot |e^{ib}| = e^{a}$,
whence
 $a = \log |z|$.
This gives the "formula"
log (2) = log |z| + arg (2).
multivalued
is multivalued
is multivalued, so is log (2), it. log is NOT
an bouged function. For any $z \neq 0$, log (2) is really,
a set of values : log (2) is log |z| + i Mg(2) + 2 min | not by,
where Mig(2) is the principal argument of 2
in the sufficience of (2) is not continuents
Decall that Arg (2) is not continuents
 $m (-oo, o)$, is mode meaning the argument of 2
in the debo raws that Mrg (2) is not continuents
 $m (-oo, o)$, is mode meaning to be the function
 $Mag(z) \approx m$.

Log on the domain
$$D = C \cdot (-0, 0)$$

Log : $D \longrightarrow C$
grien by the formule the principal argument.
The principal $D = C \cdot (-0, 0)$
The prince by the formule the principal argument.
At a general point over considerations and honor logarithm.
At a small point over considerations. Log as only
differed on $D = C \cdot (-0, 0)$.
The real reason this works is the following observation:
Though $f(E) = e^{2}$ is not one to play it is me-to-one
when restricted to the ettip
 $S = \{2 \in C \mid -\pi \in Im(E)\} \in T \}$
 e^{2} one-to-one mS.

The wing of the open strip fit |
$$-\pi = \text{Im}(2) \in \pi$$
 } when this
dependential map is D. Which is why $f(2) = e^2$ has an
wirese (i.e. a logarithm) on D.
We will above letter (next lecture)
Log: D \longrightarrow C.
We analyte on D and
 f_{2} Log = $\frac{1}{2}$.
To prove analyticity, we need the pole form of the
Analy-Rieman equations are
let $f=\text{crear on an open set G. The pole form of
the Gamby-Vienon equations are
Nor of e and r or events of e and
 θ via $u(r, \theta) = \text{Re}(f(r e^{i\theta})) \in r(r, \theta) = \text{Tm}(f(re^{i\theta})).$
Analyticity of and y the pole form of r and
 θ via $u(r, \theta) = \log(re^{i\theta}) = \log r + i\theta$
ulter $r = |z|$ and $\theta = \log(re^{i\theta}) = \log r + i\theta$
 $\log e = r = |z|$ and $\theta = \log(re^{i\theta}) = \log r + i\theta$
 $\log = u vir, then$
 $g = u vir, then$
 $\log = 0$ $\frac{3v}{2\theta} = 1$
 $\frac{3u}{2\theta} = 0$ $\frac{3v}{2\theta} = 1$$

Next lecture

6