## LECTURE 15

Date of Lecture: March 10, 2022

## 1. Using Cauchy's Integral Theorem and Integral Formula

1.1. Recap of last lecture. Here are the things we proved last time, which we will use.
I. (Cauchy's Integral Theorem) Let $D$ be a simply connected region, $\Gamma$ a loop in $D$, and $f$ an analytic function on $D$. Then

$$
\int_{\Gamma} f(z) d z=0
$$

II. Let $D$ be a simply connected domain. Every analytic function on $D$ has an antiderivative.
III. Let $\Gamma$ be a simple loop and $f$ a function which is analytic on in the interior of $\Gamma$ and at each point of $\Gamma$ (i.e. analytic in a neighbourhood of each point of $\Gamma$ ), then

$$
\int_{\Gamma} f(z) d z=0
$$

This was the comment in 1.3.3 of Lecture 14. The point is that under the hypotheses, there is a simply connected domain $D$ on which $f$ us defined which contains $\Gamma$ as well as its interior.
IV. Let $\Gamma$ be a contour and $f$ a continuous complex-valued function on $\Gamma$. Suppose $M$ is a real number such that $|f(z)| \leq M$ for all $z \in \Gamma$. Then

$$
\left|\int_{\Gamma} f(z) d z\right| \leq M \ell(\Gamma)
$$

V. (Cauchy's Integral Formula) Let $D$ be a simply connected domain, $\Gamma$ a simple loop in $D$ oriented in the positive direction and $f$ an analytic function on $D$. Let $\Omega$ be the interior of $\Gamma$. Then

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta, \quad \text { for } z \in \Omega
$$

There is a minor variant of V , based on III above, namely:
VI. Let $\Gamma$ be a simple loop oriented in the positive sense, $\Omega$ the interior of $\Gamma$, and $f$ a function which is analytic in the interior $\Gamma$ and in a neighbourhood of every point of $\Gamma$. Then

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta, \quad \text { for } z \in \Omega
$$

### 1.2. Examples.

1. Let $\Gamma$ be simple loop, positively oriented, $\Omega$ the interior of $\Gamma$, and $a$ a point in $\Omega$. Then

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z-a} d z=2 \pi i
$$

This follows from Cauchy's Integral Formula, i.e. V above, by taking $f(z)=1$.
2. Let us evaluate

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z^{2}-16} d z
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the circle $|z|=2$ oriented positively.


If $f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}-16}$, then $f$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{-4,4\}$. In particular it is analytic in the interior of $\Gamma$ as well as on every point of $\Gamma$. It follows that

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z^{2}-16} d z=0
$$

3. Let us now integrate the integrand in the above example over a $|z|=5$, i.e. let us evaluate

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z^{2}-16} d z
$$

where now $\Gamma$ is the circle $|z|=5$ oriented positively.


This time the function $f$ is no longer analytic in the interior of $\Gamma$ since $\pm 4$ lie inside $\Gamma$.

There are two ways of evaluating our integral. The first is to use the partial fraction decomposition

$$
\frac{1}{z^{2}-16}=\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{z-4}-\frac{1}{z+4}\right)
$$

Using the result in Example 1, we see that

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z^{2}-16} d z=\frac{1}{8} \int_{\Gamma} d z \frac{1}{z-4} d z-\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z+4} d z=\frac{1}{8}(2 \pi i)-\frac{1}{8}(2 \pi i)=0
$$

The second is to consider the two loops $\Gamma_{L}$ and $\Gamma_{R}$. $\Gamma_{L}$ (the "left loop") is the loop which is the left half of the given circle (traversed counterclockwise) plus the vertical line segment from $-5 i$ to $5 i . \Gamma_{R}$ (the "right loop") is the loop which is the downward line segment from $5 i$ to $-5 i$, followed by the right half of the given circle oriented in the counter clockwise direction. It is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z^{2}-16} d z & =\int_{\Gamma_{L}} \frac{1}{z^{2}-16} d z+\int_{\Gamma_{R}} \frac{1}{z^{2}-16} d z \\
& =\int_{\Gamma_{L}} \frac{1 /(z-4)}{z+4} d z+\int_{\Gamma_{R}} \frac{1 /(z+4)}{z-4} d z
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $f_{L}(z)=1 /(z-4)$ is analytic in the interior of $\Gamma_{L}$ and on every point of $\Gamma_{L}$ and hence (by the Cauchy Integral Formula) $\int_{\Gamma_{L}} f_{L}(z) /(z+4) d z=2 \pi i f_{L}(-4)=$ $(2 \pi i)(1 /(-8))=-(\pi i) / 4$. Similarly, settling $f_{R}=1 /(z+4)$, one sees that $\int_{\Gamma_{R}} f_{R}(z) /(z-4) d z=2 \pi i f_{R}(4)=(2 \pi i)(1 / 8)=(\pi i) / 4$. Thus

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z^{2}-16} d z=\int_{\Gamma_{L}} \frac{f_{L}(z)}{z+4}+\int_{\Gamma_{R}} \frac{f_{R}(z)}{z-4} d z=-(\pi i) / 4+(\pi i) / 4=0
$$

4. If $\Gamma$ is the ellipse $x^{2}+4 y^{2}=1$ traversed once in the positive sense, then clearly, by Example 1,

5. Let us evaluate

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{3 z-2}{z^{2}-z} d z
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the curve in the picture below.


The trick is to use the partial fraction decomposition

$$
\frac{3 z-2}{z^{2}-z}=\frac{2}{z}+\frac{1}{z-1}
$$

Since $z=0$ and $z=1$ lie in the interior of $\Gamma$ the formula in Example 1 gives

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{3 z-2}{z^{2}-z} d z=\int_{\Gamma} \frac{2}{z} d z+\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z-1} d z=2(2 \pi i)+2 \pi i=6 \pi i
$$

6. Let $\Gamma$ be the simple loop in the picture.


Let us evaluate

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z^{2}-1} d z
$$

Once again there are two ways.
Method I: Let $f(z)=1 /(z-1)$. Then the required integral is $\int_{\Gamma} f(z) /(z+1) d z$. Now $f(z)$ is analytic in the interior of $\Gamma$ and on $\Gamma$. So by the Cauchy Integral formula, we get

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z^{2}-1} d z=\int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z+1}=2 \pi i f(-1)=2 \pi i\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\pi i
$$

Method II: Use the partial fraction decomposition,

$$
\frac{1}{z^{2}-1}=\frac{1 / 2}{z-1}-\frac{1 / 2}{z+1}
$$

The integral of the first term in the partial fraction decomposition is zero by the Cauchy Integral Theorem since $1 /(z-1)$ is analytic inside $\Gamma$ and on $\Gamma$. The integral of the second term is $-(1 / 2)(2 \pi i)=-\pi i$.
7. Now let us evaluate

$$
\int_{|z-2|=3} \frac{e^{z}+\sin z}{z} d z
$$

where the circle $|z-2|=3$ is oriented in the counter-clockwise direction. It is clear that $z=0$ lies in the interior of the circle. If $f(z)=e^{z}+\sin z$ then, by the Cauchy Integral Formula,

$$
\int_{|z-2|=3} \frac{e^{z}+\sin z}{z} d z=2 \pi i f(0)=2 \pi i\left(e^{0}+\sin (0)=2 \pi i .\right.
$$

8. Let $\Gamma$ be as in the picture.


Let us evaluate

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\cos z}{z^{2}-4} d z
$$

Let $f(z)=\cos z /(z+2)$. Note that $f$ is analytic inside $\Gamma$ and also at every point in $\Gamma$. The given integral can be re-written as $\mathrm{i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) /(z-2) d z$. Thus

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\cos z}{z^{2}-4} d z=\int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z-2} d z=2 \pi i f(2)=\frac{\pi i \cos (2)}{2}
$$

## 2. Infinite differentiability of analytic functions

2.1. A real variable example. Let $f \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by the rule:

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}x^{2} & \text { if } x \geq 0 \\ -x^{2} & \text { if } x<0\end{cases}
$$



One checks easily that $f$ is differentiable for its right derivative at $x=0$ and its left derivative at $x=0$ are the same, and the two derivatives are zero at $x=0$. The picture above shows that the graph has a tangent at $x=0$. In fact

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=2|x|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Unfortunately, the first derivative $f^{\prime}$ is not differentiable at $x=0$ in this case. In other words $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$ does not exist.

This state of affairs is very different from what happens in complex analysis. An analytic function is in fact infinitely differentiable.
2.2. Infinite differentiablility. Let $f$ be analytic on a domain $D$. If $\Gamma$ is a simple loop in $D$ whose interior $\Omega$ is in $D$, then the following formula holds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^{2}} d z, \quad z \in \Omega \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact much more can be said. Namely that the $n^{\text {th }}$ derivative $f^{(n)}$ of $f$ exists on $D$, and within $\Omega$ (the interior of $\Gamma$ ) this $n^{\text {th }}$ derivative is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(n)}(z)=\frac{n!}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^{n+1}} d z, \quad z \in \Omega \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We gave a proof of (2.2.1) in class, but we will prove something more general in the next class, and so I will not give the proof here.

