## LECTURE 24

Date of Lecture: April 10, 2017
Some of the proofs here are elaborations and cleaner expositions of what was given in class. Others are a quick summary.

The unit circle will be denoted $\mathbf{T}$ instead of $C$. As usual $\Delta$ will denote the open unit disc. As before, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we identify $L^{p}([-\pi, \pi])$ with $L^{p}(\mathbf{T})$, where the $\sigma$-algebras in play are the Borel $\sigma$-algebras and the measures, the normalised Lebesgue on $[-\pi, \pi]$ (the usual Lebesgue measure divided by $2 \pi$ ) and the Haar measure on $\mathbf{T}$. The identification is the standard one.

## 1. Boundary values of the Riemann mapping

This is a rich topic. We will confine ourselves to one aspect, namely Caratheodary's beautiful theorem that if $f: \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$ is a univalent surjective map (note this forces $\Omega$ to be simply connected) then $f$ can be extended as a continuous function to simple points of the boundary. This theorem makes it easier to prove Picard's theorem,but is clearly very interesting in its own right.
1.1. Simple boundary points. Let $\Omega$ be a simply connected region. Recall that the boundary of $\Omega$ is $\partial \Omega=\bar{\Omega} \cap(\mathbf{C} \backslash \Omega)$. Points of $\partial \Omega$ are called boundary points. Recall that a curve in $\Omega$ is a continuous map $\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$ (see beginning of $\S 2$ of Lecture 2).

Definition 1.1.1 (Simple boundary point). A boundary point $\beta$ of $\Omega$ is called a simple boundary point if it has the following property. Given a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of points in $\Omega$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow \beta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}$ such that $\gamma(t) \in \Omega$ for $t<1, \gamma(1)=\beta$ and there exist a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ with $0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}<t_{n+1}<\ldots$ such that $\gamma\left(t_{n}\right)=z_{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Examples 1.1.2. Here are two examples:

1) Let $\Omega=\Delta \backslash[0,1)$. Then $\beta=1 / 2$ is a boundary point of $\Omega$. It is easy to see that $\beta$ cannot be a simple boundary. Indeed, consider the sequence $z_{n}=$ $1 / 2+(-1)^{n}(1 / n) i$. Then $z_{n} \rightarrow \beta$. It is not possible to connect the $z_{n}^{\prime} s$ by a contour $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}$ in such a way that $\gamma(t) \in \Omega$ for $t<1$ and $\gamma(1)=\beta=1 / 2$. In fact, as is easy to see, every $\beta$ in the open interval $(0,1)$ provides an example of a non-simple boundary point of $\Omega$.
2) Suppose a boundary point $\beta$ is such that $B(\beta, r) \cap \Omega$ is connected for all sufficiently small radii $r$. Then a little thought shows that $\beta$ must be a simple boundary point of $\Omega$. Indeed, we have a subsequence $\left\{z_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $z_{n}$ such that $\left|\beta-z_{m}\right|<$ $1 / k$ for all $m \geq n_{k}$. For sufficiently large $k$, say $k \geq k_{0}$, our hypothesis implies that $B(\beta, 1 / k) \cap \Omega$ is connected. Connect $z_{m}$ to $z_{m+1}$ by any curve in $\Omega$ for $m \leq k_{0}$. For $m \in\left\{n_{k}, \ldots, n_{k+1}-1\right\}$, with $k \geq k_{0}$, connect $z_{m}$ to $z_{m+1}$ by a curve in $B(\beta, 1 / k) \cap \Omega$ (which is connected). Take the amalgamation of all the curves, to get a map $\gamma:[0,1) \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \gamma(t)=\beta$. Extend $\gamma$ to [0, 1] by setting $\gamma(1)=\beta$.

Theorem 1.1.3 (Carathéodary). Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$ be a univalent surjective map from a bounded simply connected region $\Omega$.
(a) If $\beta$ is a simple boundary point of $\Omega$ then $f$ can be extended as a continuous function to $\Omega \cup\{\beta\}$. If $f$ is so extended, then $|f(\beta)|=1$.
(b) If $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are two distinct simple boundary points of $\Omega$ and $f$ is extended to $\Omega \cup\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right\}$ as in (a), then $f\left(\beta_{1}\right) \neq f\left(\beta_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $g: \Delta \rightarrow \Omega$ be the map inverse to $f$. Since $\Omega$ is bounded $g$ is bounded.
Suppose $f$ cannot be extended to $\Omega \cup\{\beta\}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $\Omega$ converging to $\beta$, two distinct points $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ in $\bar{\Delta}$, such that $f\left(z_{2 n}\right) \rightarrow w_{1}$ and $f\left(z_{2 n+1}\right) \rightarrow w_{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $\beta$ is a simple boundary point, we can find a curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \Omega$, and a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}, 0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}<\ldots$ such that $\gamma(t) \in \Omega$ for $0 \leq t<1, \gamma(1)=\beta$, and such that $\gamma\left(t_{n}\right)=z_{n}$.

Let $\Gamma(t)=f(\gamma(t))$ for $t \in[0,1)$. Then $\Gamma$ is continuous on the half-open interval $[0,1)$. For $0<r<1$ let $K_{r}=g\left(\bar{B}(0, r)\right.$. Then $K_{r}$ is compact in $\Omega$. Now, $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \gamma(t)=\beta$ and hence for a fixed $r$, there exists $t^{*}$ (depending upon $r$ ), $0<$ $t^{*}<1$, such that $\gamma(t) \notin K_{r}$ for $t^{*}<t<1$. This means $|\Gamma(t)|=|f(\gamma(t))|>r$ for $t^{*}<t<1$. It follows that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1}|\Gamma(t)|=1$. In particular $\left|w_{1}\right|=\left|w_{2}\right|=1$, i.e., $w_{k} \in \mathbf{T}$ for $k=1,2$.

Since $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are distinct points of $\mathbf{T}$, they define two complementary arcs in $\mathbf{T}$, such that the union of these complementary $\operatorname{arcs}$ is $\mathbf{T} \backslash\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. Let $E=\Gamma([0,1))$, i.e., $E$ is the image of $\Gamma$. We claim that at least one of the two complementary arcs, call it $J$, has the property that every radius ending at a point of $J$ intersects $E$ in a set with a limit point in $\mathbf{T}$, namely the end point of the radius.

Admitting the claim, part (a) is proved as follows. For every $\zeta \in J$, we have a sequence of points $t_{n}^{*}=t_{n}^{*}(\zeta) \in[0,1)$ with $t_{n}^{*} \uparrow 1$ such that $\Gamma\left(t_{n}^{*}\right) \in[0, \zeta)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma\left(t_{n}^{*}\right)=\zeta$. It follows that $g\left(\Gamma\left(t_{n}^{*}\right)\right)=\gamma\left(t_{n}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \beta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular if $g$ has a radial limit for $\zeta$, then the radial limit has to be $\beta$. Now $g$ has a radial limit for almost every $\zeta$ in $J$ (in fact in $\mathbf{T}$ ) by Fatou's theorem for bounded holomorphic functions (see Thm. 1.1.2 of Lecture 23). It follows that the radial limit function $\varphi$ of $g$ satisfies $\left.\varphi\right|_{J}=\beta$ a.e. $J$. By Theorem 1.1.4 of Lecture 23 , we have $g \equiv \beta$ on $\Delta$. However $g$ is univalent. This gives a contradiction, proving (a), provided we admit the claim we made.

Here is the proof of the claim we made. Suppose $I$ and $J$ are the two complementary arcs of $\mathbf{T}$ defined by $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, and suppose neither arc satisfies the claim made. Then there exists $r_{0}$, with $0<r_{0}<1$, and points $\xi_{1} \in I, \xi_{2} \in J$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \cap\left(r_{0} \xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)=\emptyset \quad \text { for } i=1,2 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the open subset $U$ of $\Delta$ obtained by deleting the two radii $\left[0, \xi_{1}\right)$ and $\left[0, \xi_{2}\right)$ from $\Delta$. Then $U$ breaks up into two disjoint open sectors, $U_{1}$ containing $w_{1}$, and $U_{2}$ containing $w_{2}$. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1}|\Gamma(t)|=1$ and since $f\left(z_{2 n}\right) \rightarrow w_{1}, f\left(z_{2 n+1}\right) \rightarrow w_{2}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $t \geq t_{N},|\Gamma(t)|>r_{0}$ and for $n \geq N, f\left(z_{2 n}\right) \in U_{1}$, $f\left(z_{2 n+1}\right) \in U_{2}$.

Since $\Gamma(t)$ has absolute value greater than $r_{0}$ for $t \geq t_{N}$, therefore for $n \geq N$ $\Gamma\left(\left[t_{2 n}, t_{2 n+1}\right]\right)$ is a connected subset of $\left\{z\left|r_{0}<|z|<1\right\}\right.$. On the other hand, by $(*), \Gamma\left(\left[t_{2 n}, t_{2 n+1}\right]\right) \cap\left[r_{0} \xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)=\emptyset$ for $i=1,2$ and hence

$$
\Gamma\left(\left[t_{2 n}, t_{2 n+1}\right]\right) \subset U=U_{1} \sqcup U_{2}
$$

Since $\Gamma\left(t_{2 n}\right) \in U_{1}$ and $\Gamma\left(t_{2 n+1}\right) \in U_{2}$, the sets $U_{1} \cap \Gamma\left(\left[t_{2 n}, t_{2 n+1}\right]\right)$ and $U_{1} \cap$ $\Gamma\left(\left[t_{2 n}, t_{2 n+1}\right]\right)$ give a disconnection of $\Gamma\left(\left[t_{2 n}, t_{2 n+1}\right]\right)$. This is a contradiction. This proves the claim and hence completes the proof of (a).

As for (b), suppose $f$ has been extended to $\Omega \cup\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right\}$ as in (a). Let $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ be curves with parameter interval $[0,1]$ such that $\gamma_{i}(t) \in \Omega$ for $t<1$ and $\gamma_{i}(1)=\beta_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. Let $\Gamma_{i}=g \circ \gamma_{i}$. Clearly $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} g\left(\Gamma_{i}(t)\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \gamma_{i}(t)=\beta_{i}$. By Lindelöf's theorem (Theorem 1.2.1 of Lecture 23), it follows that the radial limit of $g$ at $f\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ is $\beta_{i}$. Thus if $f\left(\beta_{1}\right)=f\left(\beta_{2}\right)$, then $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}$.

The following corollary is important enough to have the status of a theorem
Theorem 1.1.4 (Carathéodary). Let $\Omega$ be a bounded simply connected all of whose boundary points a simple. Then every univalent surjective map $f: \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$ extends uniquely to a continuous map $\bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}$. This extension is one-to-one, onto, and sends points on the boundary of $\Omega$ to points in $\mathbf{T}$.
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