LECTURE 24

Date of Lecture: April 10, 2017

Some of the proofs here are elaborations and cleaner expositions of what was given in class. Others are a quick summary.

The unit circle will be denoted \mathbf{T} instead of C. As usual Δ will denote the open unit disc. As before, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we identify $L^p([-\pi, \pi])$ with $L^p(\mathbf{T})$, where the σ -algebras in play are the Borel σ -algebras and the measures, the normalised Lebesgue on $[-\pi, \pi]$ (the usual Lebesgue measure divided by 2π) and the Haar measure on \mathbf{T} . The identification is the standard one.

1. Boundary values of the Riemann mapping

This is a rich topic. We will confine ourselves to one aspect, namely Caratheodary's beautiful theorem that if $f: \Omega \to \Delta$ is a univalent surjective map (note this forces Ω to be simply connected) then f can be extended as a continuous function to simple points of the boundary. This theorem makes it easier to prove Picard's theorem, but is clearly very interesting in its own right.

1.1. Simple boundary points. Let Ω be a simply connected region. Recall that the boundary of Ω is $\partial \Omega = \overline{\Omega} \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega)$. Points of $\partial \Omega$ are called boundary points. Recall that a *curve* in Ω is a continuous map $\gamma \colon [a, b] \to \Omega$ (see beginning of § 2 of Lecture 2).

Definition 1.1.1 (Simple boundary point). A boundary point β of Ω is called a *simple boundary point* if it has the following property. Given a sequence $\{z_n\}$ of points in Ω such that $z_n \to \beta$ as $n \to \infty$, there exists a curve $\gamma \colon [0,1] \to \overline{\Omega}$ such that $\gamma(t) \in \Omega$ for t < 1, $\gamma(1) = \beta$ and there exist a sequence $\{t_n\}$ with $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < t_{n+1} < \cdots$ such that $\gamma(t_n) = z_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Examples 1.1.2. Here are two examples:

1) Let $\Omega = \Delta \setminus [0, 1)$. Then $\beta = 1/2$ is a boundary point of Ω . It is easy to see that β cannot be a simple boundary. Indeed, consider the sequence $z_n = 1/2 + (-1)^n (1/n)i$. Then $z_n \to \beta$. It is not possible to connect the $z'_n s$ by a contour $\gamma: [0,1] \to \overline{\Omega}$ in such a way that $\gamma(t) \in \Omega$ for t < 1 and $\gamma(1) = \beta = 1/2$. In fact, as is easy to see, every β in the open interval (0,1) provides an example of a non-simple boundary point of Ω .

2) Suppose a boundary point β is such that $B(\beta, r) \cap \Omega$ is connected for all sufficiently small radii r. Then a little thought shows that β must be a simple boundary point of Ω . Indeed, we have a subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}$ of z_n such that $|\beta - z_m| < 1/k$ for all $m \ge n_k$. For sufficiently large k, say $k \ge k_0$, our hypothesis implies that $B(\beta, 1/k) \cap \Omega$ is connected. Connect z_m to z_{m+1} by any curve in Ω for $m \le k_0$. For $m \in \{n_k, \ldots, n_{k+1} - 1\}$, with $k \ge k_0$, connect z_m to z_{m+1} by a curve in $B(\beta, 1/k) \cap \Omega$ (which is connected). Take the amalgamation of all the curves, to get a map $\gamma: [0, 1) \to \Omega$ such that $\lim_{t\to 1} \gamma(t) = \beta$. Extend γ to [0, 1] by setting $\gamma(1) = \beta$. **Theorem 1.1.3** (Carathéodary). Let $f: \Omega \to \Delta$ be a univalent surjective map from a bounded simply connected region Ω .

- (a) If β is a simple boundary point of Ω then f can be extended as a continuous function to $\Omega \cup \{\beta\}$. If f is so extended, then $|f(\beta)| = 1$.
- (b) If β₁ and β₂ are two distinct simple boundary points of Ω and f is extended to Ω ∪ {β₁, β₂} as in (a), then f(β₁) ≠ f(β₂).

Proof. Let $g: \Delta \to \Omega$ be the map inverse to f. Since Ω is bounded g is bounded.

Suppose f cannot be extended to $\Omega \cup \{\beta\}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in Ω converging to β , two distinct points w_1 and w_2 in $\overline{\Delta}$, such that $f(z_{2n}) \to w_1$ and $f(z_{2n+1}) \to w_2$ as $n \to \infty$.

Since β is a simple boundary point, we can find a curve $\gamma \colon [0,1] \to \Omega$, and a sequence $\{t_n\}, 0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < \ldots$ such that $\gamma(t) \in \Omega$ for $0 \le t < 1, \gamma(1) = \beta$, and such that $\gamma(t_n) = z_n$.

Let $\Gamma(t) = f(\gamma(t))$ for $t \in [0, 1)$. Then Γ is continuous on the half-open interval [0,1). For 0 < r < 1 let $K_r = g(\overline{B}(0,r)$. Then K_r is compact in Ω . Now, $\lim_{t\to 1} \gamma(t) = \beta$ and hence for a fixed r, there exists t^* (depending upon r), $0 < t^* < 1$, such that $\gamma(t) \notin K_r$ for $t^* < t < 1$. This means $|\Gamma(t)| = |f(\gamma(t))| > r$ for $t^* < t < 1$. It follows that $\lim_{t\to 1} |\Gamma(t)| = 1$. In particular $|w_1| = |w_2| = 1$, i.e., $w_k \in \mathbf{T}$ for k = 1, 2.

Since w_1 and w_2 are distinct points of **T**, they define two complementary arcs in **T**, such that the union of these complementary arcs is $\mathbf{T} \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$. Let $E = \Gamma([0, 1))$, i.e., E is the image of Γ . We claim that at least one of the two complementary arcs, call it J, has the property that every radius ending at a point of J intersects E in a set with a limit point in **T**, namely the end point of the radius.

Admitting the claim, part (a) is proved as follows. For every $\zeta \in J$, we have a sequence of points $t_n^* = t_n^*(\zeta) \in [0, 1)$ with $t_n^* \uparrow 1$ such that $\Gamma(t_n^*) \in [0, \zeta)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Gamma(t_n^*) = \zeta$. It follows that $g(\Gamma(t_n^*)) = \gamma(t_n^*) \to \beta$ as $n \to \infty$. In particular if g has a radial limit for ζ , then the radial limit has to be β . Now g has a radial limit for almost every ζ in J (in fact in **T**) by Fatou's theorem for bounded holomorphic functions (see Thm. 1.1.2 of Lecture 23). It follows that the radial limit function φ of g satisfies $\varphi|_J = \beta$ a.e. J. By Theorem 1.1.4 of Lecture 23, we have $g \equiv \beta$ on Δ . However g is univalent. This gives a contradiction, proving (a), provided we admit the claim we made.

Here is the proof of the claim we made. Suppose I and J are the two complementary arcs of \mathbf{T} defined by w_1 and w_2 , and suppose neither arc satisfies the claim made. Then there exists r_0 , with $0 < r_0 < 1$, and points $\xi_1 \in I$, $\xi_2 \in J$ such that

(*)
$$E \cap (r_0\xi_i, \xi_i) = \emptyset$$
 for $i = 1, 2$.

Consider the open subset U of Δ obtained by deleting the two radii $[0, \xi_1)$ and $[0, \xi_2)$ from Δ . Then U breaks up into two disjoint open sectors, U_1 containing w_1 , and U_2 containing w_2 . Since $\lim_{t\to 1} |\Gamma(t)| = 1$ and since $f(z_{2n}) \to w_1$, $f(z_{2n+1}) \to w_2$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $t \geq t_N$, $|\Gamma(t)| > r_0$ and for $n \geq N$, $f(z_{2n}) \in U_1$, $f(z_{2n+1}) \in U_2$.

Since $\Gamma(t)$ has absolute value greater than r_0 for $t \ge t_N$, therefore for $n \ge N$ $\Gamma([t_{2n}, t_{2n+1}])$ is a connected subset of $\{z \mid r_0 < |z| < 1\}$. On the other hand, by $(*), \Gamma([t_{2n}, t_{2n+1}]) \cap [r_0\xi_i, \xi_i) = \emptyset$ for i = 1, 2 and hence

$$\Gamma([t_{2n}, t_{2n+1}]) \subset U = U_1 \sqcup U_2.$$

Since $\Gamma(t_{2n}) \in U_1$ and $\Gamma(t_{2n+1}) \in U_2$, the sets $U_1 \cap \Gamma([t_{2n}, t_{2n+1}])$ and $U_1 \cap \Gamma([t_{2n}, t_{2n+1}])$ give a disconnection of $\Gamma([t_{2n}, t_{2n+1}])$. This is a contradiction. This proves the claim and hence completes the proof of (a).

As for (b), suppose f has been extended to $\Omega \cup \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ as in (a). Let γ_1 and γ_2 be curves with parameter interval [0, 1] such that $\gamma_i(t) \in \Omega$ for t < 1 and $\gamma_i(1) = \beta_i$, for i = 1, 2. Let $\Gamma_i = g \circ \gamma_i$. Clearly $\lim_{t \to 1} g(\Gamma_i(t)) = \lim_{t \to 1} \gamma_i(t) = \beta_i$. By Lindelöf's theorem (Theorem 1.2.1 of Lecture 23), it follows that the radial limit of g at $f(\beta_i)$ is β_i . Thus if $f(\beta_1) = f(\beta_2)$, then $\beta_1 = \beta_2$.

The following corollary is important enough to have the status of a theorem

Theorem 1.1.4 (Carathéodary). Let Ω be a bounded simply connected all of whose boundary points a simple. Then every univalent surjective map $f: \Omega \to \Delta$ extends uniquely to a continuous map $\overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\Delta}$. This extension is one-to-one, onto, and sends points on the boundary of Ω to points in **T**.

References

[R] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, (Third Edition), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.