

QUIZ 0

- (1) Let A be a ring and M an A -module. Define what it means for a subset N of M to be a submodule of M . (2 marks)
- (2) Let A be an integral domain and M an A -module. Show that M_{tor} is a submodule of M . (2 marks)
- (3) Let A be a ring. Show:
 - (a) $0x = 0, \forall x \in A$. (2 marks)
 - (b) $(-x)y = -(xy) \forall x, y \in A$. (2 marks)
 - (c) Let M be an A -module. For $a \in A$ and $x \in M$ show that $a(-x) = -ax$ and $0x = 0$. (2 marks)

Solutions. Note, if A is a ring and M an A -module, we will sometimes use the notation 0_M to denote the additive identity on M and at other times just use 0 to denote this element.

- (1) N is a submodule of M if it is an additive subgroup of M , and for every $a \in A$ and $x \in N$ we have $ax \in N$.

[*Comments:* There is no need to require distributive properties, since these are inherited from M . From the definition given, it is clear that N is an A -module in its own right. Some of you wrote that N is a submodule if it is an A module by itself. That is not true unless you require the addition and scalar multiplication to be inherited from M . Consider $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$. Since M is an abelian group and every abelian group is a \mathbb{Z} -module, M is an A -module. Consider the subset $N = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$. Define addition on N by the rules for $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then N is an abelian group, and hence an \mathbb{Z} -module. But it is not a submodule of M .]

- (2) First let us show that $a0_M = 0_M$ for every $a \in A$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} a0_M &= a(0_M + 0_M) \\ &= a0_M + a0_M. \end{aligned}$$

Now use cancellation in the additive group M to arrive at the required conclusion. Now suppose $x, y \in M_{\text{tor}}$. By definition of M_{tor} , there exist *non-zero* elements $a, b \in A$ such that $ax = 0$ and $by = 0$. Since A is an integral domain therefore $ab \neq 0$. Let $c = ab$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c(x - y) &= cx - cy \text{ (why?)} \\ &= (ba)x - (ab)y \\ &= b(ax) - a(by) \\ &= b0 - a0 \\ &= 0 \text{ (from what we proved earlier).} \end{aligned}$$

It follows (since $c \neq 0$) that $x - y \in M_{\text{tor}}$. Thus M_{tor} is an additive subgroup of M . Moreover, if $a \in A$ and $x \in M_{\text{tor}}$, then picking $0 \neq b \in A$ such that $bx = 0$ (such a b exists by definition of M_{tor}) we see that $b(ax) = a(bx) =$

$a0 = 0$. Note that the last equality requires us to apply the result we proved earlier, namely $a0_M = 0_M$ for all $a \in A$. Thus M_{tor} is a submodule of M .

- (3) (a) $x = 1x = (0 + 1)x = 0x + x$. By cancellation $0x = 0$.
(b) $0 = 0y = (-x + x)y = (-x)y + (xy)$. Thus, $(-x)y$ is an additive inverse for xy , i.e., $- (xy) = (-x)y$.
(c) We will use what we proved earlier in our solution to problem 2, namely $a0_M = 0_M$ for every $a \in A$. Thus $0 = a0 = a(-x + x) = a(-x) + ax$. Thus $a(-x)$ is the additive inverse of ax . That was the assertion.