

LECTURE 11

Date of Lecture: February 8, 2021

As always, $\mathbf{K} \in \{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}\}$.

The symbol \diamond is for flagging a cautionary comment or a tricky argument. It occurs in the margins and is Knuth's version of Bourbaki's "dangerous bend symbol".

An n -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) of symbols (x_i not necessarily real or complex numbers) will also be written as a column vector when convenient. Thus

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

A map \mathbf{f} from a set S to a product set $T_1 \times \dots \times T_n$ will often be written as an n -tuple $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, with f_i a map from S to T_i , and hence, by the above convention, as a column vector

$$\mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

(See Remark 2.2.2 of [Lecture 5 of ANA2](#).)

The default norm on Euclidean spaces of the form \mathbf{R}^n is the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ and we will simply denote it as $\|\cdot\|$. The space of \mathbf{K} -linear transformations from \mathbf{K}^n to \mathbf{K}^m will be denoted $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{K}^n, \mathbf{K}^m)$ and will be identified in the standard way with the space of $m \times n$ matrices $M_{m,n}(\mathbf{K})$ and the operator norm¹ on both spaces will be denoted $\|\cdot\|_o$. If $m = n$, we write $M_n(\mathbf{R})$ for $M_{m,n}(\mathbf{R})$, and $L(\mathbf{K}^n)$ for $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{K}^n, \mathbf{K}^n)$.



Note that $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq [x_1 \dots x_n]$. Each side is the transpose of the other.

1. Real canonical forms

I assume you know what Jordan forms are over algebraically closed fields. Since \mathbf{R} is not algebraically closed, a little effort is needed to get real canonical forms. A good reference for this topic is [\[AW\]](#).

1.1. Let $T \in L(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and let $A \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$ be its matrix with respect to the standard basis on \mathbf{R}^n , where $M_n(\mathbf{R})$ is the ring of $n \times n$ real matrices. Regarding A as a complex matrix, and letting $T_{\mathbf{C}} \in L(\mathbf{C}^n)$ be the corresponding linear operator on \mathbf{C}^n ,² we know that \mathbf{C}^n has an *ordered* basis \mathcal{B} such that the matrix of T with

¹See §§2.1 of [Lecture 5 of ANA2](#).

²Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and suppose $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{c} + i\mathbf{d}$, with $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be its decomposition into real and imaginary parts. Then $T_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{u} = T\mathbf{c} + iT\mathbf{d}$.

respect to \mathcal{B} is a Jordan canonical form, i.e., the matrix of $T_{\mathbf{C}}$ with respect to \mathcal{B} looks like a block diagonal matrix

$$(1.1.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & 0 & & 0 \\ & J_2 & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & J_t \end{bmatrix}$$

where each block (the so-called Jordan block) has the form.

$$(1.1.2) \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & 0 \\ & \lambda & 1 & \\ & & \lambda & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that λ has to be an eigenvalue of T (or $T_{\mathbf{C}}$), and every eigenvalue is accounted for in some Jordan block – in perhaps more than one Jordan block. The number of Jordan blocks corresponding to a fixed eigenvalue λ is known to be the geometric multiplicity of λ .

The Jordan decomposition (1.1.1) decomposes \mathbf{C}^n into a direct sum

$$(1.1.3) \quad \mathbf{C}^n = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t$$

with V_k corresponding to the Jordan block J_k , $k = 1, \dots, t$ and J_k can be regarded as a linear operator on V_k . The sets $\mathcal{B} \cap V_k$, $k = 1, \dots, t$ partition \mathcal{B} into disjoint sets, and for each k , $\mathcal{B} \cap V_k$ is a basis of V_k . In other words, if $\mathcal{B} \cap V_k = \{\mathbf{u}_1^k, \mathbf{u}_2^k, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{r_k}^k\}$, where we list the elements according to the order in \mathcal{B} , then $T_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{u}_j^k = \sum_{i=1}^{r_k} a_{ij}^{(k)}\mathbf{u}_i^k$, where $J_k = (a_{ij}^{(k)})$. Moreover, \mathcal{B} can be so chosen that the conjugates $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_i^k$ of \mathbf{u}_i^k , $j = 1, \dots, r_k$ are also in \mathcal{B} and form an ordered basis for some V_l in the decomposition (1.1.3) above. If the eigenvalue corresponding to V_k is real, then $V_l = V_k$, otherwise $V_l \neq V_k$, where l and k are related as above.

Let V be one of the V_k , and without loss of generality, assume $V = V_1$. Let J be the corresponding Jordan block, i.e., J is of the form (1.1.2). Assume that the eigenvalue λ corresponding to J is not real, i.e.,

$$\lambda \notin \mathbf{R}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B} \cap V = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r\}$, the ordering of the subscripts respecting the order in \mathcal{B} . From the form of J we see that

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{u}_1) &= \lambda\mathbf{u}_1 \\ T_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{u}_i) &= \mathbf{u}_{i-1} + \lambda\mathbf{u}_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, r. \end{aligned}$$

From what we said above about \mathcal{B} , if $\mathbf{w}_i := \bar{\mathbf{u}}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ then $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r\}$ is an ordered basis for some V_l occurring in the decomposition (1.1.3). Since $\lambda \notin \mathbf{R}$, we have $V_l \neq V$ and so we may as well assume $l = 2$, and write $W = V_2$. We have

$$(\dagger) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{w}_1) &= \bar{\lambda}\mathbf{w}_1 \\ T_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{w}_i) &= \mathbf{w}_{i-1} + \bar{\lambda}\mathbf{w}_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, r. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r\}$$

is a basis for $V \oplus W \subset \mathbf{C}^n$.

For $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, let

$$\mathbf{c}_j = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{w}_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{d}_j = \frac{1}{2i}(\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{w}_j).$$

Then,

$$\mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{c}_j + i\mathbf{d}_j \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}_j = \mathbf{c}_j - i\mathbf{d}_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

It follows that the span of $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r\}$ is the same as the span of $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_r, \mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_r\}$. In particular, $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_r, \mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_r\}$ is a basis for $V \oplus W$. We point out that $\mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{d}_j \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

If $\lambda = a + ib$, an easy computation shows that

$$T\mathbf{c}_j = \begin{cases} a\mathbf{c}_j - b\mathbf{d}_j & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \mathbf{c}_{j-1} + a\mathbf{c}_j - b\mathbf{d}_j & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq r \end{cases}$$

and

$$T\mathbf{d}_j = \begin{cases} b\mathbf{c}_j + a\mathbf{d}_j & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \mathbf{d}_{j-1} + b\mathbf{c}_j + a\mathbf{d}_j & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq r. \end{cases}$$

If we modify our basis so that the first $2r$ members are

$$(1.1.4) \quad \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{d}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_r, \mathbf{d}_r$$

and do this for every pair of conjugate eigenvalues which are not real, then the the matrix of T with respect to this basis is such that the the blocks corresponding to segments like (1.1.4) have the form:

$$(1.1.5) \quad \tilde{J} = \tilde{J}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} M & I_2 & & 0 \\ & M & I_2 & \\ & & M & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & I_2 \\ & & & & M \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$(1.1.6) \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Blocks of the form (1.1.2), when $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, or of the form (1.1.5), with M as in (1.1.6) when $\lambda \notin \mathbf{R}$, are called *real Jordan blocks* or *real canonical blocks*. When we re-write the matrix of T in terms of this basis, the resulting matrix is called the *real Jordan form* or the *the real canonical form* of A . It is a block diagonal matrix where the blocks are real canonical forms. See [AW, pp. 254–256] for details about real Jordan canonical forms.

REFERENCES

- [AW] W.A. Adkins and A.H. Weintraub, *Algebra, An Approach via Module Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **136**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [A1] V. I. Arnold, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, translated by Richard A. Silverman, MIT Press (also Prentice-Hall, India), Cambridge, MA, U.S.A., 1973.
- [A2] V. I. Arnold, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, translated by Roger Cooke, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.