

Jan 7, 2021

Lecture 2

Corollaries to the rigidity lemma (we are working over an alg. closed field k).

Cor. 1: Suppose A and B are abelian varieties, $f: A \rightarrow B$ a morphism of varieties such that $f(e_A) = e_B$, where e_A is the identity in A and e_B the identity on B . Then f is a group homomorphism.

Remarks: 1. Since we are working over an alg. closed field, we do not distinguish between X and $X(k)$, where X is a k -variety.

2. Technically $e_A \in X(k)$, $e_B \in Y(k)$.

Proof: Consider

$$h(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1 x_2) f(x_1)^{-1} f(x_2)^{-1}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in A(k).$$

$$\text{Clearly } h(e_A, x) = e_B \quad \forall x \in A(k)$$

$$h(x, e_A) = e_B \quad \forall x \in A(k).$$

By the rigidity lemma, h is a constant, in fact

$$h(x_1, x_2) = e_B \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in A(k).$$

The theorem follows.

→ PTD

Cor 2 : $A(k)$ is an abelian group

Proof: Let $f: A \rightarrow A$ be the map $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ and apply Cor 1. q.e.d.

Cor 3: Let A be an abelian variety. Regard it as a variety with a base point e ($e = \text{identity}$). Let S and T be complete varieties with base points s_0 and t_0 . Then the natural map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(S, A) \times \text{Hom}(T, A) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(S \times T, A) \\ (f, g) &\longmapsto (x, y) \mapsto f(x) + g(y) \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection.

Remarks: The Hom here is to denote morphisms in the cat. of varieties with base pt. We are using the additive notation for group operations.

Proof: Suppose $f(x) + g(y) = f'(x) + g'(y)$ for some $f, f' \in \text{Hom}(S, A)$, $g, g' \in \text{Hom}(T, A)$ and $\forall (x, y) \in S \times T$

Set $x = s_0$. Get

$$g(y) = g'(y) \quad \forall y \in T.$$

Similarly, set $y = t_0$. Get

$$f(x) = f'(x) \quad \forall x \in S,$$

hence the given map is injective.

Suppose $h \in \text{Hom}(S \times T, A)$.

Set $f(s) = h(s, t_0)$

$g(t) = h(s_0, t)$.

Consider

$$k(s, t) = h(s, t) - f(s) - g(t).$$

Setting $s = s_0$, get

$$k(s_0, t) = e$$

$$e = 0.$$

Setting $t = t_0$, get

$$k(s, t_0) = e$$

Thus, by the rigidity lemma, $k(s, t) \equiv e$. Hence h is the image of (f, g) . *q.e.d.*

Basic sheaf cohomology:

Let X be a top space, \mathcal{F} a sheaf on X , and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

an injective resolution of \mathcal{F} (i.e. \mathcal{F}^0 is an inj. res. of \mathcal{F}).

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) := H^i(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}^i)) \quad \forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots\}.$$

Generalities: Suppose \mathcal{A} is an abelian category with enough injectives (every object is a subobject of an injective object). Suppose $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive ^{left exact} functor from \mathcal{A} to another abelian category \mathcal{B} .

The right derived functors of T are defined as follows: let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let I^\bullet be an inj. resn of A .
Set

$$R^i T(A) = H^i(T(I^\bullet)) \quad , \quad i \geq 0.$$

An object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be T -acyclic if $R^i T(A) = 0 \quad \forall \quad i \geq 1$. (Note $R^0 T = T$.)

Easy fact: If

$$0 \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow A^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

is an exact seq of T -acyclic objects, then,

$$0 \rightarrow T(A^0) \rightarrow T(A^1) \rightarrow T(A^2) \rightarrow \dots$$

is an exact sequence. (Hint: Use the fact

that if $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is exact with A T -acyclic,

then $0 \rightarrow T(A) \rightarrow T(B) \rightarrow T(C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.)

Fact: Let E^\bullet be an A -acyclic resolution of an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let I^\bullet be an inj. resn of A .

Let

$$\phi: E^\bullet \rightarrow I^\bullet$$

be the homotopy! map lifting the identity on A .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & E^0 & \rightarrow & E^1 & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & I^0 & \rightarrow & I^1 & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

Then $T(\phi): T(E^\bullet) \rightarrow T(I^\bullet)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.
 In particular

$$H^i(T(\phi)): H^i(T(E^\bullet)) \rightarrow R^i T(A)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof:

Let C^\bullet_ϕ be the mapping cone of ϕ
 $(\phi: E^\bullet \rightarrow I^\bullet)$. Since ϕ is a quasi-iso,
 C^\bullet_ϕ is exact. Now C^\bullet_ϕ consists of T -acyclics.
 From the "easy fact", $T(C^\bullet_\phi)$ is exact.

Recall $C^\bullet_\phi = I^{n+1} \oplus E^n$. So $T(C^\bullet_\phi) = T(I^{n+1}) \oplus T(E^n)$.

It is easy to see, $T(C^\bullet_\phi) = C^\bullet_{T(\phi)}$.

Since $C^\bullet_{T(\phi)}$ is exact, $T(\phi)$ is a quasi-iso. q.e.d.

Čech complexes:

Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of the top. space X .
 Have Čech complex

$$C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$$

for any sheaf \mathcal{F} on X .

For an open set $U \subseteq X$, let $\mathcal{U} \cap U$ denote
 the open cover of U obtained by intersecting the
 members of \mathcal{U} with U .

Have an assignment

$$U \longmapsto C^\bullet(\mathcal{U} \cap U, \mathcal{F}|_U)$$

This assignment gives us a sheaf of complexes (=

complex of sheaves) $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$.

$\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is called the sheaf Čech complex.

2

Note $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \neq \mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$.

Fact: The nat'l map $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ gives a resolution
 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$

See [Hart, pp. 220-221, Chap III, Lemma 4.2].

Hence we have a homotopy! quasi-iso
 $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^\bullet$

where \mathcal{E}^\bullet is an injective resolution of \mathcal{F} .

Hence have maps, one for each $i \geq 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}^\bullet)) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & & H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

The result therefore is:

\exists maps, one for each i ,

$$(*)_i: \check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$$

Schemes: Let X be a separated scheme, \mathcal{U} a cover of X consisting of affine open subschemes of X , and \mathcal{F} a quasi-coherent sheaf. Then the maps $(*)_i$ are isomorphisms for all i .

Kunneth formula: Suppose X and Y are finite type k -schemes, $p_1: X \times_k Y \rightarrow X$, $p_2: X \times_k Y \rightarrow Y$ the projections, then

$$H^n(X \times_k Y, \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^j(Y, \mathcal{G}).$$

Here, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are quasi-coherent on X and Y resp., and $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G} := p_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}} p_2^* \mathcal{G}$.