

## HW 5

Due on April 4, 2020 (via moodle by 11:59 pm).

For a commutative ring  $A$ ,  $\text{Mod}_A$  denotes the category of  $A$ -modules.

For a topological space  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{Psh}(X)$  will denote the category of pre-sheaves on  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{Sh}(X)$  the category of sheaves on  $X$ .

For any scheme  $Z$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(Z)$  will denote the category of  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules,  $Z_{qc}$  the category of quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules, and  $Z_c$  the category of coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules. The category of  $Z$ -schemes will be denoted  $\text{Sch}/Z$ .

The category of abelian groups will be denoted by the symbol  $\mathcal{Ab}$ .

In the problems that follow, for simplicity we assume all schemes/rings mentioned are noetherian, unless we specifically say something otherwise. Rings mean commutative rings with multiplicative identity 1, and modules are unital (i.e.  $1 \cdot x = x$  for elements  $x$  in the module).

**Group schemes.** Fix a scheme  $S$ . Let  $T \rightarrow S$  an  $S$ -scheme. We often simply write  $T \in \text{Sch}/S$ , rather than  $\begin{matrix} T \\ \downarrow \\ S \end{matrix} \in \text{Sch}/S$ . If we wish to emphasise the base scheme  $S$ , we will write  $T/S \in \text{Sch}/S$  for typographical convenience. The functor of points  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/S}(-, T/S)$  will be denoted  $h_{T/S}$ . Let  $W \in \text{Sch}/T$ . Then it is easy to see that we have a bijection of sets

$$(\dagger) \quad h_{X_T/T}(W/T) \xrightarrow{\sim} h_{X/S}(W/S)$$

In greater detail, given a map of  $T$ -schemes  $\varphi: W \rightarrow X_T = X \times_S T$ , the map  $p_1 \circ \varphi$  gives us an map of  $S$ -schemes  $W \rightarrow X$ . Conversely, suppose  $w_0: W \rightarrow T$  is the structure map of  $W$  as a  $T$  scheme. Let  $w: W \rightarrow S$  be any map of  $S$ -schemes, where we now regard  $W$  as an  $S$ -scheme via the forgetful functor. We then have a map of  $T$ -schemes  $(w, w_0): W \rightarrow X \times_S T = X_T$ . The two processes,  $\varphi \mapsto p_1 \circ \varphi$  and  $w \mapsto (w, w_0)$ , are inverses of each other. It is not hard to see that the bijection  $(\dagger)$  is functorial in  $W/T$ . Moreover, if  $w_0: W \rightarrow T$  factors as  $W \rightarrow T' \rightarrow T$ , then the resulting bijection  $h_{X_{T'}/T'}(W/T') \xrightarrow{\sim} h_{X/S}(W/S)$  is the composite  $h_{X_{T'}/T'}(W/T') \xrightarrow{\sim} h_{X_T/T}(W/T) \xrightarrow{\sim} h_{X/S}(W/S)$ . Feel free to use these facts in the exercises that follow.

1. Let  $G$  be a group scheme over  $S$ , i.e. group object in  $\text{Sch}/S$ . Let  $g: Z \rightarrow G$  be a map of  $S$ -schemes. We regard  $g$  as a  $Z$  valued point of  $G$ . Let  $\rho_g: h_{G/S}(Z) \rightarrow h_{G/S}(Z)$  be the map given by right multiplication on the group  $h_{G/S}(Z)$ .

(a) Suppose  $z: T \rightarrow Z$  is a  $Z$ -scheme. Let  $g(z): T \rightarrow G$  be the composite  $g(z) = g \circ z$ . Show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_{G/S}(Z) & \xrightarrow{\rho_g} & h_{G/S}(Z) \\ \text{via } z \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{via } z \\ h_{G/S}(T) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{g(z)}} & h_{G/S}(T) \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (b) Show that  $\rho_{g(\cdot)}$  is functorial, i.e. if  $F: \text{Sch}/Z \rightarrow \text{Sch}/S$  is the forgetful functor then  $\rho_{g(\cdot)}: h_{G/S} \circ F \rightarrow h_{G/S} \circ F$  is a natural transformation.
- (c) Via (†) we can interpret the functorial map  $\rho_{g(\cdot)}$  as a natural transformation  $\rho_{g(\cdot)}: h_{G_Z/Z} \rightarrow h_{G_Z/Z}$ . Let  $R_g: G_Z \rightarrow G_Z$  be the resulting map (via Yoneda) in  $\text{Sch}/Z$ . We will call  $R_g$  *the right translation on  $G_Z$  by  $g$* . Let  $m: G \times_S G \rightarrow G$  be the multiplication map on the group scheme  $G/S$ , and  $p_2: G_Z \rightarrow Z$  the natural structure map (which is equal to the second projection on  $G \times_S Z$ ). Show that

$$R_g = (m \circ (\mathbf{1}_G \times g), p_2)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_G$  denotes the identity map  $G \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1}_G} G$ . In other words, show that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_S Z & \xrightarrow{R_g} & G \times_S Z \\ & \searrow^{(\mathbf{1}_G \times g, p_2)} & \nearrow_{m \times \mathbf{1}_Z} \\ & (G \times_S G) \times_S Z & \end{array}$$

**Finite group scheme actions.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field, and  $\Gamma$  a finite  $k$ -algebra (i.e.  $\dim_k \Gamma < \infty$ ) such that  $G = \text{Spec } \Gamma$  is a group scheme over  $k$ . Let  $m: G \times_k G \rightarrow G$  be the multiplication map, and  $m^*: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes \Gamma$  the corresponding homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras. For a  $k$ -algebra  $R$ , let

$$\Delta_R: \Gamma \otimes_k R \rightarrow R$$

be the map  $\Delta_R(\gamma \otimes r) = \det(\mu(\gamma \otimes r))$ , where  $\mu(\gamma \otimes r): \Gamma \otimes_k R \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_k R$  is “multiplication by  $\gamma \otimes r$ ”. Note that  $\Gamma \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module of finite rank, and hence  $\det(\gamma \otimes r)$  makes sense.  $\Delta_R$  is often called the *norm map* of the  $R$ -algebra  $\Gamma \otimes_k R$  and  $\Delta_R(x)$  is called the norm of  $x$  for  $x \in \Gamma \otimes_k R$ .

Let  $G$  act on an affine scheme  $X = \text{Spec } B$  of finite type over  $k$ , and let the action map be  $\nu: G \times_k X \rightarrow X$ . We have a corresponding  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\nu^*: B \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_k B$ . Let

$$A = \{b \in B \mid \nu^*(b) = 1 \otimes b\}.$$

$A$  is often denoted  $B^G$  and is called the ring of  $G$ -invariants of  $B$ .

2. Show that if  $f: R \rightarrow S$  is a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism, then  $\Delta_S \circ (\mathbf{1}_\Gamma \otimes f) = f \circ \Delta_R$ .
3. Let  $\delta_B: B \rightarrow B$  be the map given by the formula  $\delta_B(\beta) = \Delta_B(\nu^*(\beta))$ ,  $\beta \in B$ . Show that  $\delta_B(B) \subset A$ . (**Caution:**  $\delta_B$  is not in general an additive homomorphism.)

4. Let  $G$  act on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  via the trivial action. This induces an action  $\tilde{\nu}$  of  $G$  on  $X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$ . The corresponding map  $\tilde{\nu}^*: B[T] \rightarrow \Gamma \times_k B[T]$  of  $k$ -algebras is  $b \otimes p \mapsto \nu^*(b) \otimes p$ ,  $b \in B$ ,  $p \in k[T]$ . As in **3**, we have a map  $\delta_{B[T]}: B[T] \rightarrow B[T]$  given by  $\delta_{B[T]} = \Delta_{B[T]} \circ \tilde{\nu}^*$ . For  $b \in B$ , let  $\chi_b(T) = \delta_{B[T]}(T - b)$ . Show that the coefficients of  $\chi_b$  are in  $A$ . Show also that  $b$  satisfies the polynomial  $\chi_b$ . **Hint:** Let  $\epsilon: \Gamma \rightarrow k$  be the  $k$ -algebra map giving the identity element of  $G(k)$  and consider  $(\epsilon \otimes 1)(\nu^*(b))$  for any element  $b \in B$ .
5. Show that  $A$  is finitely generated over  $k$  and hence  $B$  is a finite  $A$ -module.
6. Let  $Y = \text{Spec } A$  and let  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  be the map of schemes induced by the inclusion  $A \hookrightarrow B$ . Show that  $\pi$  separates the orbits of  $X$  under  $G$  and as a topological space  $(Y, \pi)$  is the quotient of  $X$  by the finite group underlying the group scheme  $G$ .
7. Show that
- The morphism  $\pi$  of **6** is finite and surjective.
  - For every  $G$ -invariant morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Z$  of  $k$ -schemes, there exists a unique morphism of schemes  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $f = g \circ \pi$ .