

## HW 4

Due on March 10, 2020 (via moodle by 11:59 pm).

For a commutative ring  $A$ ,  $\text{Mod}_A$  denotes the category of  $A$ -modules.

For a topological space  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{Psh}(X)$  will denote the category of pre-sheaves on  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{Sh}(X)$  the category of sheaves on  $X$ .

For any scheme  $Z$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(Z)$  will denote the category of  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules,  $Z_{qc}$  the category of quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules, and  $Z_c$  the category of coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules.

The category of abelian groups will be denoted by the symbol  $\mathcal{Ab}$ .

In the problems that follow, for simplicity we assume all schemes/rings mentioned are noetherian, unless we specifically say something otherwise. Rings mean commutative rings with multiplicative identity 1, and modules are unital (i.e.  $1 \cdot x = x$  for elements  $x$  in the module).

**The Grothendieck complex.** Let  $A$  be a ring,  $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A = T$  a proper map, and  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  a finite affine open cover of  $X$ , and  $P^\bullet$  a bounded complex of projective  $A$ -modules, with  $P^m = 0$  for  $m < 0$ , such that we have a quasi-isomorphism  $\varphi: P^\bullet \rightarrow \check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ . Proposition 12.2 on p.282 of Hartshorne's *Algebraic Geometry* together with of [Problem 3 of HW 3](#) guarantees the existence of such a complex. The complex  $P^\bullet$  is called the *Grothendieck complex* of  $\mathcal{F}$ . As is often the case in informal mathematics, the use of the definite article "the" is of course problematic. Recall that  $\varphi \otimes_A M$  is then a quasi-isomorphism for every  $A$ -module  $M$ , whence  $H^i(P^\bullet \otimes_A M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A M)$  (see conventions below) for all  $i$ .

Our conventions are as follows. We write  $X_t$  for the fibre of  $f$  over a point  $t \in T$ , i.e.  $X_t = X \times_T \text{Spec } k(t)$ . The right side is often denoted in the literature by the symbol  $X \otimes_A k(t)$  and we might indulge in that abuse of notation. For  $t$  as above, we write  $\mathcal{F}_t$  for the pull back of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $X_t$  under the natural map  $X_t \rightarrow X$ . We might also write it as  $\mathcal{F}|_{X_t}$ . As in earlier homework assignments,  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_A M$  is short hand for  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^* \widetilde{M}$  for any  $A$ -module  $M$ . If  $T' \rightarrow T$  is a map of schemes, we write  $X_{T'}$  for  $X \times_{\text{Spec } A} T'$ . The latter scheme will often be written as  $X \times_A T'$ . The pull back of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $X_{T'}$  will be denoted  $\mathcal{F}_{T'}$ . If  $T' = \text{Spec } B$  then we often write  $X_B$  or  $X \otimes_A B$  for  $X_{T'}$ . In this case we often write  $\mathcal{F}_B$  for  $\mathcal{F}_{T'}$ .

1. For an  $A$ -algebra  $B$ , show that  $H^i(P^\bullet \otimes_A B) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(X_B, \mathcal{F}_B)$ , for all  $i$ . Conclude in particular that  $H^i(P^\bullet \otimes_A k(t)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(X_t, \mathcal{F}_t)$  for all  $i$ .
2. Suppose  $n$  is a non-negative integer such that  $H^i(X_t, \mathcal{F}_t) = 0$  for all  $i > n$ . Show that we may choose our Grothendieck complex  $P^\bullet$  so that  $P^m = 0$  for  $m > n$ .  
**Hint:** Use a technique demonstrated in [Lecture 15](#) to show that  $H^m(P^\bullet) = 0$  for  $m > n$ , and then use this to modify  $P^\bullet$  via a descending induction. **Remark:** Suppose  $n$  is a non-negative integer such that  $\dim X_t \leq n$  for all  $t \in T$ .<sup>1</sup> Then the problem above shows, via Theorem 2.7 on p.208 of Hartshorne's *Algebraic*

---

<sup>1</sup>Here the dimension of a scheme is its dimension as a noetherian topological space (see the definition at the bottom of page 5 of Hartshorne's *Algebraic Geometry*).

*Geometry*, that we can choose  $P^\bullet$  to be such that  $P^m = 0$  for  $m > n$ .

3. Suppose  $T$  is connected.

- (a) Show that the Euler characteristic  $\chi(X_t, \mathcal{F}_t)$  does not depend upon  $t \in T$ . Recall that the Euler characteristic of any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X_t$  is the alternating sum  $\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i h^i(\mathcal{G})$  where  $h^i(\mathcal{G}) = \dim_{k(t)} H^i(X_t, \mathcal{G})$ .
- (b) Suppose  $k$  is a field and  $C$  a complete smooth geometrically connected curve over  $k$  (a sufficient condition for this is that  $X$  has a  $k$ -rational point). Let  $X = C_T = C \times_k T$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a line bundle on  $X$ , show that  $\deg \mathcal{F}_t$  does not depend upon  $t \in T$ .

**The Jacobian variety of a curve.** Here are some definitions and notations. Suppose  $S$  is a scheme. We write  $\text{Sch}/_S$  for the category of  $S$ -schemes. If  $S = \text{Spec } A$ , we will often write  $\text{Sch}/_B$  for  $\text{Sch}/_S$ .

For a scheme  $U$ ,  $\text{Pic}(U)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles (i.e. invertible sheaves) on  $U$ . It is clear that  $\text{Pic}$  is a contravariant functor on the category of schemes  $\text{Sch}/_{\mathbf{Z}}$ . In fact it is a group functor, since isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $U$  form a group under tensor product.

Let  $S$  be a scheme and  $X$  an  $S$ -scheme. As above, let  $\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{b}$  denote the category of abelian groups. The *absolute Picard functor* on  $X$  is the functor  $\text{Pic}_X: \text{Sch}/_S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{b}$  given by

$$\text{Pic}_X(T) = \text{Pic}(X \times_S T) = \text{Pic}(X_T).$$

The *relative Picard functor* on  $X$  is the functor  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/S}: \text{Sch}/_S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{b}$  given by

$$\mathcal{P}ic_{X/S}(T) = \frac{\text{Pic}(X \times_S T)}{\text{Pic}(T)} = \frac{\text{Pic}_X(T)}{\text{Pic}(T)} \left( = \frac{\text{Pic}(X_T)}{\text{Pic}(T)} \right).$$

If  $S = \text{Spec } B$  then we may write  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/B}$  for  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/S}$ .

4. Let  $X$  be a smooth complete curve over a field  $k$  with a  $k$ -rational point  $D_0$  on it.

- (a) For  $T \in \text{Sch}/_k$  and a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X_T$ , let  $[\mathcal{L}]$  denote the image of  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}(T)$ . Show that if  $[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{M}]$  then  $\deg \mathcal{L}_t = \deg \mathcal{M}_t$  for all  $t \in T$ .
- (b) For  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , let  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}^n$  be the functor of  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}$  given by

$$\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}^n(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{[\mathcal{L}] \in \mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}(T) \mid \deg \mathcal{L}_t = n, t \in T\}.$$

Show that for  $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}^m$ . (**Remark:** If we regard  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}$  as functor taking values in **(Sets)** rather than  $\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{b}$ , for example by applying the forgetful functor, that  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}^n$  is a subfunctor of  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}$ .)

- (c) Show that  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}^0$  takes values in  $\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{b}$  and is a subfunctor of  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}$ .
- (d) Suppose the genus of  $X$  is 1. In Lecture 15, we essentially proved that  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}^1$  is representable by  $(X, [\mathcal{O}_{X \times_k X}(\Delta)])$  where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal in  $X \times_k X$ . This means, by part (b),  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}^0$  is representable by  $X$  and a suitable element of  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/k}^0(X)$ . In particular  $X$  is a group variety, whence

an abelian variety. Show that  $3D_0$  gives an embedding of  $X$  into the projective plane and that three points in  $X(k)$  are collinear if and only if their sum is 0.