

DIRECT IMAGES OF QUASI-COHERENT SHEAVES - BASIC

The symbol $\mathcal{A}b$ will denote the category of abelian groups and Sch the category of schemes. If X is a topological space, $\mathcal{P}sh_X$ and $\mathcal{S}h_X$ denote the category of presheaves and the category of sheaves respectively on X . By a ring we mean a commutative ring with identity. For a ring A , Mod_A denotes the category of A -modules. For a sheaf of rings \mathcal{A} on a topological space, $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}$ will denote the category of \mathcal{A} -modules.

The symbol $\underbrace{\curvearrowright}_{\perp}$ is for flagging a cautionary comment or a tricky argument. It occurs in the margins and is Knuth's version of Bourbaki's "dangerous bend symbol".

1. Separated and quasi-compact maps

For any continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces, the right derived functors $R^i f_*: \mathcal{S}h_X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}h_Y$ are called the *higher direct images* of f . This includes the case $i = 0$, i.e. we regard $f_* = R^0 f_*$ also as a higher direct image. Let me remind you that if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}h_X$, then $R^i f_* \mathcal{F} = H^i(f_* \mathcal{I}^\bullet)$ where \mathcal{I}^\bullet is a bounded below injective resolution of \mathcal{F} .

1.1. Higher direct images of flasque sheaves. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be as above. If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of flasque sheaves on X , it is clear (by testing on open subsets of Y) that $0 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{G} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Since injectives are flasque, it then follows (from the formula for $R^i f_*$ given above and using classical injective resolutions), that $R^i f_* \mathcal{F} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$ if \mathcal{F} is flasque. Thus flasque sheaves are f_* -acyclic, and hence can be used to compute higher direct images.

If X and Y are ringed spaces, and $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, then it is easy to see that $R^i f_* \mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}$. Indeed, we can take a bounded below resolution $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet$ by injectives in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, and this will be a flasque resolution. The rest is straightforward, since this observation implies that $R^i f_* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(f_* \mathcal{I}^\bullet)$.

1.2. Higher direct images of quasi-coherent sheaves. Recall that a map of schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *quasi-compact* if $f^{-1}(V)$ is quasi-compact for every quasi-compact open subset V of Y .

Theorem 1.2.1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be quasi-compact and separated map and \mathcal{F} a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module.*

- (a) $R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$ is quasi-coherent for every $i \geq 0$.
- (b) If Y is affine, say $Y = \text{Spec } A$, and if for each $i \geq 0$, M_i is the A -module $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$, then we have a canonical isomorphism $R^i f_* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{M}_i$ for every $i \geq 0$

Proof. The basic idea is straightforward. Part (a) is local on the base, and so we assume, without loss of generality, that $Y = \text{Spec } A$. In slightly greater detail, the restriction of a flasque sheaf to an open subset is also flasque, whence higher direct images behave well with respect to restrictions to open subsets of the base, i.e.

$R^i(f_V)_*(\mathcal{F}|_{f^{-1}(V)}) = R^i f_* \mathcal{F}|_V$ for every open set V in Y , where $f_V: f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is the obvious map induced by f .¹

We begin with two observations. Since Y is affine, it is quasi-compact and separated. Therefore, since f is quasi-compact and separated, X is quasi-compact and separated. Since X is quasi-compact it has a finite cover $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_0, \dots, U_d\}$ by affine open subschemes. With $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$ as usual denoting the sheaf Čech complex, we will show

- (i) The standard resolution $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is an f_* -acyclic resolution of \mathcal{F} , so that $R^i f_* \mathcal{F} \cong H^i(\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}))$.
- (ii) The \mathcal{O}_Y -modules $f_* \mathcal{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$, $0 \leq p \leq d$, are all quasi-coherent. This would prove that $H^i(\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}))$, and hence by (i), $R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$ is quasi-coherent.

To that end we introduce some notations. For $0 \leq p \leq d$, we write \mathbf{i} for $(i_0, \dots, i_p) \in \mathbf{Z}^p$, with $0 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_p \leq d$, and for such \mathbf{i} , we set $U_{\mathbf{i}} = U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_p}$. Since X is separated, by Proposition 2.1.4 of Lecture 13, $U_{\mathbf{i}}$ is an affine open set. Let $j^{\mathbf{i}}: U_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. Then

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_p \leq d} j_*^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\mathbf{i}}}).$$

Now $f_* j_*^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\mathbf{i}}}) = (f \circ j^{\mathbf{i}})_*(\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\mathbf{i}}})$, and $f \circ j^{\mathbf{i}}: U_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow Y$ is an affine map, being a map between affine schemes. It follows that $(f \circ j^{\mathbf{i}})_*(\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\mathbf{i}}})$ is quasi-coherent by item 4 of § 3.1.1 of Lecture 13. Thus $f_* \mathcal{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is quasi-coherent. This proves (ii).

We now prove (i). If $j: U \rightarrow X$ is an open immersion, with U affine, then we have seen earlier that in this case j is affine, since $j^{-1}(V) = U \cap V$ is affine for every affine open subscheme V of the separated scheme X (see Proposition 2.1.4 of Lecture 13). Let \mathcal{G} be quasi-coherent on U . Since j is affine, $j_* \mathcal{G}$ is quasi-coherent on X (see item 4 of § 3.1.1 of Lecture 13). Moreover, from Theorem 3.1.2 of Lecture 13 we see that $j_*: \text{Qcoh}(U) \rightarrow \text{Qcoh}(X)$ is exact and $R^n j_* \mathcal{G} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ (since, by our choice, $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Qcoh}(U)$). Now suppose $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\bullet$ is a classical resolution of \mathcal{G} by injective objects in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_U}$. From the above observations, $j_* \mathcal{S}^\bullet$ is a flasque resolution of $j_* \mathcal{G}$. It follows that $R^n f_*(j_* \mathcal{G}) \cong H^n(f_* j_* \mathcal{S}^\bullet) = H^n((f \circ j)_* \mathcal{S}^\bullet) = R^n(f \circ j)_* \mathcal{G}$. Now $f \circ j: U \rightarrow Y$ is a map of affine schemes, and hence is an affine. One more application of Theorem 3.1.2 of Lecture 13 gives that $R^n(f \circ j)_* \mathcal{G} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, i.e., from the string of isomorphisms we just wrote, $R^n f_*(j_* \mathcal{G}) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$. In other words $j_* \mathcal{G}$ is f_* -acyclic. In particular, the summand $j_*^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\mathbf{i}}})$ in the direct sum decomposition of $\mathcal{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$ in (*) is f_* -acyclic. This proves (i). We have therefore proved (a) of the Theorem.

For (b) we use the cover \mathfrak{U} above and continue to use the notations we have introduced above. Since Y is affine, $\Gamma(Y, -): \text{Qcoh}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A$ and $\widetilde{(-)}: \text{Mod}_A \rightarrow \text{Qcoh}(Y)$ are pseudo-inverses to each other, and both are exact functors. It is therefore enough to show that $\Gamma(Y, R^i f_* \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_i$. This follows from the following

¹The statement about the higher direct image being well-behaved with respect to restrictions to open subsets on the base is a topological statement and not a scheme-theoretic one; so just for that statement X and Y are topological spaces and f a continuous map.

sequence of isomorphisms (explanations after the displayed sequence of isomorphisms):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma(Y, R^i f_* \mathcal{F}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(Y, H^i(\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))) \\
 &= H^i(C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(X, \mathcal{F}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism is from the statement in (i). The second isomorphism follows from the fact that $\Gamma(Y, -)$ is exact, whence commutes with cohomology. The last isomorphism follows from Theorem 3.2.4 of of Lecture 13, since X is separated. \square

REFERENCES

- [AM] M.F. Atiyah and I.G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1969.
- [C] H. Cartan, *Variétés analytiques complexes et cohomologie*, Colloque tenu à Bruxelles, 1953, 41-55.
- [G] R. Godement, *Topologie Algébrique et Théorie des Faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris 1958.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, 1977.
- [K] G.R Kempf, *Some elementary proofs of basic theorems in the cohomology of quasi-coherent sheaves*, Rocky Mountain Jour. Math., vol 10, no. 3, Summer 1980, 637–645.
- [S1] J-P. Serre, *Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique*, Annales de l’Institut Fourier, **6**, 1956, 1–42.
- [S2] ———, *Sur la cohomologie des variétés algébriques*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, **36**, 1957, 1–16.
- [SP] The Stacks project authors, *The Stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2021.