

## LECTURE 9

Date of Lecture: Oct 21, 2021

The symbol  $\mathcal{Ab}$  will denote the category of abelian groups and  $\mathcal{Sch}$  the category of schemes. If  $X$  is a topological space,  $\mathcal{Psh}_X$  and  $\mathcal{Sh}_X$  denote the category of presheaves and the category of sheaves respectively on  $X$ . By a ring we mean a commutative ring with identity. The symbol  $\hat{\boxtimes}$  is for flagging a cautionary comment or a tricky argument. It occurs in the margins and is Knuth's version of Bourbaki's "dangerous bend symbol".

### 1. Ring homomorphisms and scheme maps

As in [HW 3](#) we will often use the notation  $\Gamma(U, F)$  for  $F(U)$ , where  $F$  is a presheaf on a topological space  $X$  and  $U$  an open subset of  $X$ .

**1.1. The open set  $D(f)$ .** Let  $X$  be a locally ringed space. For  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  will denote the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  and for any section  $f$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  over an open neighbourhood of  $x$ ,  $f_x$  will denote the image of  $f$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . In other words  $f_x$  is the germ of  $f$  at  $x$ . Let  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Define

$$(1.1.1) \quad D(f) = \{x \in X \mid f_x \notin \mathfrak{m}_x\}.$$

From [Problem 2 of HW 3](#) we know that  $D(f)$  is open in  $X$ . Indeed, if  $x \in D(f)$ , then according to *loc.cit.* there is an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  on which  $f|_U$  is invertible, whence  $U \subset D(f)$ , proving that  $D(f)$  is open, and that  $f$  is invertible on  $D(f)$ , since two local inverses have to agree on the intersection of their domains. In fact from this argument,  $D(f)$  is the maximal open set  $U$  such that  $f|_U$  is invertible.

We point out that if  $X$  is the spectrum of a ring  $A$  then  $D(f)$  is precisely what we denoted as  $X_f$  in [§2.2 of Lecture 2](#), i.e  $D(f) = \text{Spec} A_f$ .

In the proposition that follows  $\mathcal{Lrs}$  is the category of locally ringed spaces, and  $\mathcal{Rng}$  the category of rings (always commutative with multiplicative identity).

**Theorem 1.1.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be locally ringed spaces with  $Y$  an affine scheme. Then the map  $(\psi, \psi^\#) \mapsto \Gamma(Y, \psi^\#)$  gives us a bijection*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Lrs}}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Rng}}(\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y), \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $A = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ ,  $B = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , and let  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  be a ring map. Define a set theoretic map  $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$  as follows. For  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  be the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the inverse image of  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  under the composite map  $A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  and  $y$  the point in  $Y$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Set  $\psi(x) = y$ . We claim  $\psi$  is continuous. Indeed take a basic open subset of the form  $D(f)$  in  $Y$ , where  $f \in A$ . It is clear that  $\psi^{-1}(D(f)) = D(\phi(f))$ . Thus  $\psi$  is continuous. Next we define  $\psi^\#: \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \psi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $f \in A$ . Consider the composite

$$A \xrightarrow{\phi} B = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} \Gamma(D(\phi(f)), \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Since  $\phi(f)|_{D(\phi(f))}$  is invertible, it follows that the image of  $f$  under the above composite is invertible. By the universal property of localisation we therefore get a map of rings  $\psi_f^\#: A_f \rightarrow \Gamma(D(\phi(f)), \mathcal{O}_X)$  such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B \xlongequal{\quad} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \text{localisation} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{restriction} \\ A_f & \xrightarrow{\psi_f^\#} & \Gamma(D(\phi(f)), \mathcal{O}_X) \end{array}$$

This can be expanded to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) & \xlongequal{\quad} & A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B \xlongequal{\quad} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \text{restriction} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{localisation} & & \downarrow \text{restriction} \\ \Gamma(D(f), \mathcal{O}_Y) & \xlongequal{\quad} & A_f & \xrightarrow{\psi_f^\#} & \Gamma(D(\phi(f)), \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ \Gamma(D(f), \mathcal{O}_Y) & \xrightarrow{\psi_f^\#} & & & \Gamma(D(f), \psi_* \mathcal{O}_X) \end{array}$$

One checks that the arrow in the bottom row, as  $f$  is allowed to vary in  $A$ , gives us a map of  $\mathcal{B}$ -sheaves for the standard basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $Y = \text{Spec } A$ . This gives us a map of sheaves

$$\psi^\#: \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \psi_* \mathcal{O}_X.$$

The map  $\psi^\#$  is clearly a map of sheaves of rings. From the definition of  $\psi^\#$  it is clear that  $\Gamma(Y, \psi^\#) = \phi$ . Using [Problem 1 of HW 3](#) one checks that the map  $\phi \mapsto (\psi, \psi^\#)$  is the inverse of the map  $(\psi, \psi^\#) \mapsto \Gamma(Y, \psi^\#)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{A}ff$  be the full subcategory of  $\text{Sch}$  whose objects are affine schemes.

The theorem gives another proof of the following result (as an immediate corollary).

**Corollary 1.1.3.** *The contravariant functor  $X \mapsto \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  on affine schemes is an anti-equivalence of categories between  $\mathcal{A}ff$  and  $\mathfrak{R}ng$ .*

A second corollary is the following.

**Corollary 1.1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme,  $X_\Gamma = \text{Spec}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$  and  $X \rightarrow X_\Gamma$  the map arising from the theorem via the identity map on  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(X_\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma})$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map of schemes with  $Y$  an affine scheme, then there is a unique map  $f_\Gamma: X_\Gamma \rightarrow Y$  such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow f & \\ X_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{f_\Gamma} & Y \end{array}$$

2

*Proof.* The theorem tells us that there are bijections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}}(X, Y) &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Aff}}(\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y), \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Aff}}(\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y), \Gamma(X_\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma})) \\ &\xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}}(X_\Gamma, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus there is a map  $f_\Gamma: X_\Gamma \rightarrow Y$  corresponding to  $f$  under the above bijection. The bijections are functorial in  $Y \in \mathfrak{Aff}$ . Setting  $Y = X_\Gamma$  and using the standard Yoneda argument, one sees that diagram in the statement of this corollary commutes.  $\square$

## 2. $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and quasi-coherent sheaves on affine schemes

**2.1.  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras.** Let  $X$  be a ringed space. A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is called a  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module if  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is a  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module for every open set  $U$  in  $X$  and if each an inclusion of open sets  $U \subset V$  the restriction map  $\mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$  is a  $\mathcal{O}_X(V)$ -module map. Here  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is regarded as an  $\mathcal{O}_X(V)$ -module via the ring homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_X(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ .  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules form a category with morphisms being sheaf maps which over every open set  $U$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module maps.

A sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$  is called an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra if there is a map of sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . In greater detail, this is a sheaf map such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)$  is a ring homomorphism for each open  $U$ . Note that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra then  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is also a ringed space, and an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module is necessarily an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

**2.2. Quasi-coherent sheaves on affine schemes.** Let  $X$  be an affine scheme and  $A = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{D(f)\}$  be the standard basis for the topology on  $X$ . Suppose  $M$  is an  $A$ -module. Then the assignment  $D(f) \mapsto M_f$  gives a  $\mathcal{B}$ -presheaf with restriction maps being (further) localisation maps. In fact this pre-sheaf is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sheaf as is easily checked and we get a sheaf  $\widetilde{M}$  on  $X$ . The proof that  $D(f) \mapsto M_f$  gives a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sheaf is, *mutatis mutandis*, the proof we gave earlier in the course that  $D(f) \mapsto A_f$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sheaf. Details are left to you. Since  $M_f$  is an  $A_f$ -module and this module structure is compatible with further localisations,  $\widetilde{M}$  is actually an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. A *quasi-coherent* sheaf on  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module to  $\widetilde{M}$  for some  $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_A$ . It is clear that quasi-coherent sheaves on affine schemes form a subcategory of the category  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. In fact it forms a full subcategory of  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ . There are many notations used in the literature for this subcategory. In these lectures we will use the notation  $X_{qc}$  for this subcategory. In the tutorials a different notation was probably used. Note that  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \in X_{qc}$  and  $M \mapsto \widetilde{M}$ ,  $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_A$ , are pseudo-inverse functors giving an equivalence of categories between  $X_{qc}$  and  $\mathrm{Mod}_A$ .

## 3. $\mathcal{Sh}_X$ is an abelian category

Throughout this section  $X$  is a topological space.

**3.1. Stalks of the sheafification.** Suppose  $F \in \mathcal{Psh}_X$  and  $\mathcal{F} = F^+$  the sheafification of  $F$ . Let  $x \in X$ ,  $U$  an open neighbourhood of  $x$  and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ . Then sigma is a continuous section of  $\pi = \pi_F: \mathcal{E}(F) \rightarrow X$  over  $U$ , where  $\mathcal{E}(F)$  is the étale space associated to  $F$  and  $\pi$  is the natural projection. In other words  $\sigma: U \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(F)$  is a continuous map such that  $\pi \circ \sigma = \mathbf{1}_U$ . We claim that there is an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  in  $U$  such that there exists  $s \in F(V)$  such that  $\sigma(y) = s_y$  for  $y \in V$ , where  $s_y$  denotes the image (i.e. the germ) of  $s$  in  $F_y$ . To see this suppose  $\sigma(x) = \xi$ .

Then there exists an open set  $U'$ , which may be assumed to lie in  $U$ , with  $x \in U'$  and  $s' \in F(U')$  such that  $s'_x = \xi$ . Let  $W(s', U)$  be the open subset  $\{s'_y \mid y \in U'\}$  of  $\mathcal{E}(F)$  (see (2.1.2) of Lecture 1). Note that  $\xi \in W(s', U')$ . Let  $V = \sigma^{-1}(W(s', U'))$ . Then  $x \in V \subset U' \subset U$ . Set  $s = s'|_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ . Then  $\sigma(y) = s_y$  for  $y \in V$ , as claimed.

Allowing  $\sigma$  to vary in  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ , the association  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(x)$  gives us an map of abelian groups  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow F_x$ . This behaves well with restrictions to smaller open neighbourhoods of  $x$ , whence by the universal property of direct limits we get a well defined map

$$(3.1.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow F_x.$$

It is clear this map is surjective, since  $\xi \in F_x$  is represented by some  $s \in F(U)$  for some neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ , and if  $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  is the image of  $s$  under  $\theta_F(U)$ , then  $\sigma_x$  maps to  $\xi$  under (3.1.1). We now show that (3.1.1) is injective. To that end, suppose  $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_x$  maps to zero under (3.1.1). Now  $\zeta$  is the germ of some section  $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  where  $U$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$ . From the description of (3.1.1),  $\sigma(x) = 0$ . From the discussion in the first paragraph of this subsection, by shrinking  $U$  around  $x$  if necessary, we may assume there exists  $s \in F(U)$  such that  $\sigma(y) = s_y$  for  $y \in U$ . Since  $s_x = \sigma(x) = 0$ , there is an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  in  $U$  such that  $s|_V = 0$ . Then  $s_y = 0$  for  $y \in V$ , whence  $\sigma|_V = 0$ . It follows that the germ of  $\sigma$  at  $x$  is zero, i.e.  $\zeta = 0$ . This proves that (3.1.1) is an isomorphism. There is an easy description of the inverse of (3.1.1). Let  $\theta = \theta_F: F \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be the sheafification map in (2.2.2) of Lecture 1. Then we have a map of stalks  $\theta_x: F_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$ . One checks easily that  $\theta_x$  is the inverse of (3.1.1). From now on we make the identification

$$(3.1.2) \quad F_x = \mathcal{F}_x$$

for every  $x \in X$ .

One important consequence of (3.1.2) is the following:

**Theorem 3.1.3.** *Let  $\varphi: F \rightarrow G$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{Psh}_X$ . The map  $\varphi^+: F^+ \rightarrow G^+$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\varphi_x^+: F_x \rightarrow G_x$  is an isomorphism for every  $x \in X$ . In particular, a map of sheaves  $\psi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\psi_x$  is an isomorphism for every  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the map on étale spaces  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi): \mathcal{E}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$ . It is easy to see that it is a continuous map. Since a basic open set  $W(s, U) \subset \mathcal{E}(F)$  maps to  $W(\varphi(s), U)$  under  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ , it is clear that  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  is also an open map. Thus if it is bijective it is a homeomorphism. Since  $\varphi^+(U)$ , for an open set  $U$  in  $X$ , is the map  $\sigma \mapsto \mathcal{E}(\varphi) \circ \sigma$ , we are done.  $\square$

**3.2. Kernels, cokernels, images, and coimages.** Let  $\varphi: F \rightarrow G$  be a map of pre-sheaves on  $X$ . For an open set  $U$  in  $X$ , let  $K_p(U)$  and  $C_p(U)$  be defined by

$$(3.2.1) \quad K_p(U) = \ker \varphi, \quad C_p(U) = \operatorname{coker} \varphi$$

If  $V \subset U$ , then the natural map  $K_p(U) \rightarrow F(V)$  given by the composite  $K_p(U) \hookrightarrow F(U) \xrightarrow{\rho_V^U} F(V)$  must factor through  $K_p(V)$  by the universal property of the kernel of  $F(V) \rightarrow G(V)$  since the composite  $K_p(U) \rightarrow F(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi(V)} G(V)$  is zero (why?). It is easy to check (again use universal properties of kernels) with this map as the restriction map, that  $U \mapsto K_p(U)$  is a presheaf on  $X$  and by construction a sub-presheaf of  $F$ . Similarly,  $C_p$  is a presheaf on  $X$  (use, repeatedly, the universal

property of cokernels), and we have a map of presheaves  $\pi_p: G \rightarrow C_p$  which for every open  $U$  gives a surjective map of groups. In particular it is an epimorphism in the category of presheaves (i.e. it can always be cancelled from the right: if  $\alpha \circ \pi_p = \beta \circ \pi_p$  then  $\alpha = \beta$ ). Similarly,  $K_p \rightarrow F$  is a monomorphism, i.e. it can be cancelled from the left.

It is easy to see that the inclusion  $K_p \hookrightarrow F$  has the universal property of kernels, namely, if  $\psi: H \rightarrow F$  is a map in  $\mathcal{Psh}$  such that  $\varphi \circ \psi = 0$  then  $\psi$  factors uniquely as  $H \rightarrow K_p \hookrightarrow F$ . Similarly  $\pi_p: G \rightarrow C_p$  has the universal property of cokernels, namely, if  $\psi: G \rightarrow H$  is a map such that  $\psi \circ \varphi = 0$ , then there is a unique map  $C_p \rightarrow H$  such that  $\psi$  factors uniquely as  $G \xrightarrow{\pi_p} C_p \rightarrow H$ .

The presheaf  $K_p$  is called the *presheaf kernel* of  $\varphi$ . More precisely the monomorphism  $K_p \hookrightarrow F$  is called the presheaf cokernel of  $\varphi$ . This kernel will often be denoted  $\ker_p(\varphi)$  or simply  $\ker(\varphi)$ . Similarly  $C_p$ , or more precisely  $\pi_p$ , is called the *presheaf cokernel* of  $\varphi$  and will often be denoted  $\text{coker}_p(\varphi)$ .

Thus kernels and cokernels exist in  $\mathcal{Psh}_X$ . This raises the question: Is  $\mathcal{Psh}_X$  an abelian category?<sup>1</sup> It is, and we formally state the result below, omitting the straightforward proof.

**Lemma 3.2.2.**  *$\mathcal{Psh}_X$  is an abelian category.*

Next suppose we have a map of sheaves  $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . It is easy to check that  $\ker_p \varphi$  is a sheaf, since the glueing conditions defining a sheaf structure are inherited by  $\ker_p \varphi$  from the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover  $\ker_p(\varphi)$  has the universal property of kernels in the category  $\mathcal{Sh}_X$ . We can therefore drop the suffix “ $p$ ” and simply write  $\ker \varphi$ . However,  $C_p = \text{coker}_p(\varphi)$  need not be a sheaf (even though  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are sheaves!).



We set  $C_s = C_p^+$ . The map  $\pi_s: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow C_s$  which is the composite  $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\pi_p} C_p \rightarrow C_s$ , has the universal property of cokernels in the category  $\mathcal{Sh}_X$ . Indeed if  $\psi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a map of sheaves such that  $\psi \circ \varphi = 0$  we have, since  $C_p$  has universal property of cokernels in  $\mathcal{Psh}_X$ , a presheaf map  $\psi_p: C_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\psi = \psi_p \circ \pi_p$ . Now the universal property of sheafifications, we see that we have unique map  $\psi_s: C_s \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\psi_p$  is the composite  $C_p \rightarrow C_s \xrightarrow{\psi_s} \mathcal{H}$ . It follows that  $\psi = \psi_s \circ \pi_s$ , proving that  $\pi_s: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow C_s$  is the cokernel of  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{Sh}_X$ . We denote it  $\text{coker}_s(\varphi)$ .

As is standard for additive categories, we define the *coimage*  $\mathbf{coim}(\varphi)$  and the *image*  $\mathbf{im}(\varphi)$  of  $\varphi$  by the formulas:

$$(3.2.3) \quad \mathbf{coim}(\varphi) = \text{coker}(\ker(\varphi)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{im}(\varphi) = \ker(\text{coker}(\varphi))$$

The universal property of kernels and cokernels give us a canonical map (and this is true in any additive category)  $\mathbf{coim}(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbf{im}(\varphi)$  such that the diagram

$$(3.2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{coim}(\varphi) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{im}(\varphi) \end{array}$$

commutes. In greater detail,<sup>2</sup> since the composite  $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{coker} \varphi$  is zero, so by the universal property of kernels, we have a natural map  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{im}(\varphi)$  such that  $\varphi$  is the composite  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{im}(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $\mathbf{im}(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a monomorphism

<sup>1</sup>See [https://www.cmi.ac.in/~pramath/GradAlgi\\_2015/Notes/notes4.pdf](https://www.cmi.ac.in/~pramath/GradAlgi_2015/Notes/notes4.pdf) for definitions.

<sup>2</sup>Skip these details on a first reading if you are uncomfortable with the abstractions.

(being a kernel), it follows that the composite  $\ker(\varphi) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{im}(\varphi)$  is zero since this composite followed by the monomorphism  $\mathbf{im}(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is zero, and we can cancel monomorphisms from the left. By the universal property of cokernels, we then have a unique map  $\mathbf{coim}(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbf{im}(\varphi)$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{im}(\varphi)$  factors as  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{coim}(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbf{im}(\varphi)$ .

Using (3.1.2) we see that the stalks of the map  $\mathbf{coim}(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbf{im}(\varphi)$  are isomorphisms, i.e.  $\mathbf{coim}(\varphi)_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{im}(\varphi)_x$  for every  $x \in X$  where the arrow is the stalk of the arrow on the bottom row of (3.2.4). By Theorem 3.1.3 we conclude that this arrow is an isomorphism:

$$(3.2.5) \quad \mathbf{coim}(\varphi) \xrightarrow[\text{via (3.2.4)}]{\sim} \mathbf{im}(\varphi).$$

We have the following theorem

**Theorem 3.2.6.**  *$\mathcal{S}h_X$  is an abelian category.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\mathcal{S}h_X$  is an additive category. The only non-trivial matter to be settled is that the natural maps from coimages to images are isomorphism, and that we have established.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [SP] The Stacks project authors, *The Stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2021.