

HW 3

Due date: Oct 25, 2021

As always, “map” is used for “morphism”. In particular a “map of complexes” is either chain map or a co-chain map, depending on whether the complexes in question are chain complexes or co-chain complexes. For problems involving an abelian category \mathcal{A} , you may, if you feel like, assume $\mathcal{A} = \text{Mod}_A$, the category of modules of a ring A .

The symbols \mathcal{Psh}_X and \mathcal{Sh}_X are as in the lectures.

The functor Γ . Let X be a topological space. The association $F \mapsto F(X)$, as F varies in \mathcal{Psh}_X , gives us a functor, the so called *global sections functor*, from \mathcal{Psh}_X to \mathcal{Ab} . This functor is denoted $\Gamma(X, -)$. Thus $\Gamma(X, F) = F(X)$ for $F \in \mathcal{Psh}_X$. For an open subset U of X , the convention is to use the short hand $\Gamma(U, F)$ instead of $\Gamma(U, F|_U)$ for a presheaf F on X . We will follow that convention.

- Let $(f, f^\#): (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ be a morphism in the category of *locally ringed spaces*, and suppose Y is an affine scheme,¹ say $Y = \text{Spec } A$. Let $x \in X$, and consider the map of rings $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ given by the composite

$$A = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(Y, f^\#)} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$$

Let \mathfrak{m}_x be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and \mathfrak{p} the prime ideal in A which is the inverse image of \mathfrak{m}_x . Let $y \in Y$ be the point corresponding to \mathfrak{p} . Show that $f(x) = y$. [**Note:** We are not assuming X is a scheme. It is merely a locally ringed space.]

- Let X be a locally ringed space and let $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. For $x \in X$, let \mathfrak{m}_x be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Fix $x \in X$. Show that if the image of f in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ does not lie in \mathfrak{m}_x then there is an open neighbourhood U of x such that $f|_U$ is invertible in $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Direct Limits. For a quick recall of the definitions and the existence of direct limits, look up [these notes](#).

- Let A be a ring, $t \in A$ an element, and Q be an A -module. Let $(Q_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the directed system with $Q_n = Q$ for all n and with transition maps $\mu_{m,n}$ given by $x \mapsto t^{n-m}x$ for $m \leq n$. Show that

$$\varinjlim_n Q_n = Q_t$$

where Q_t is the localisation of Q at the multiplicative system $\{1, t, t^2, \dots, t^n, \dots\}$. [**Hint:** Let $\nu_n: Q_n \rightarrow Q_t$ be the map $x \mapsto x/t^n$. Check that $\nu_n \circ \mu_{m,n} = \nu_m$ for $m \leq n$. Show that the resulting map $\nu: \varinjlim_n Q_n \rightarrow Q_t$ is an isomorphism.]

¹i.e. (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) is an affine scheme.

Koszul and Čech. In this subsection A is a ring, $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d)$ a d -tuple of elements in A , I the ideal $\langle t_1, \dots, t_d \rangle$ generated by the t_i , X the affine scheme $X = \text{Spec } A$, Z the closed subset $V(I)$ of X , $U = X \setminus Z$.

For $f \in A$, we use the more standard notation $D(f)$ for the open set X_f we defined in §2.2 of [Lecture 2](#).

Let U_i be the open subscheme $U_i = \text{Spec } A_{t_i} = D(t_i)$ of X , $i = 1, \dots, d$ and \mathfrak{U} the family of open sets $\{U_i\}_{i=1, \dots, d}$. Note that \mathfrak{U} is an open cover of U .

Fix an A -module M . Set

$$K_\infty^\bullet = K_\infty^\bullet(\mathbf{t}, M) := \varinjlim_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^d} K^\bullet(\mathbf{t}^\nu, M),$$

where $(K^\bullet(\mathbf{t}^\nu, M))_\nu$ is the direct system defined in (3.1.2) of [these supplementary notes](#) on complexes. The p^{th} differential of this complex will be denoted d_∞^p .

4. Let $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \widetilde{M}|_U)$ where \widetilde{M} is the sheaf on X defined by the \mathcal{B} -sheaf $\Gamma(D(f), \widetilde{M}) = M_f$ for $f \in A$, and $\mathcal{B} = \{D(g)\}_{g \in A}$ the standard base for the topology on X . Show that
 - (a) Show that $C^p = K_\infty^{p+1}$, $p \geq 0$.
 - (b) Show that $d_C^p = d_\infty^{p+1}$, $p \geq 0$.
 - (c) Show that if \mathbf{t} is an M -sequence then $\text{HP}(K_\infty^\bullet) = 0$ for $p \neq d$. [**Hint:** Use the fact that direct limits preserve exactness and hence commute with cohomology.]

Hint: Use Problem 3.

5. Let $R = A[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ be the polynomial ring in $n + 1$ variables over A . Let $\mathbb{A}_A^{n+1} = \text{Spec } R$, J the ideal generated by T_0, \dots, T_n , V the complement of $V(J)$ in \mathbb{A}_A^{n+1} , and $V_i = D(T_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, n$. Let $\mathfrak{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=0}^n$ be the cover of V given by the V_i . Show that
 - (a) $\check{H}^i(\mathfrak{V}, \mathcal{O}_V) = 0$ for $i \neq 0, n$.
 - (b) $\check{H}^0(\mathfrak{V}, \mathcal{O}_V) = R$.
 - (c) $\check{H}^n(\mathfrak{V}, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is the R -module P of *inverse polynomials* in T_0, \dots, T_n , i.e. as an A -module P is the direct sum of the free rank one A -modules $A_\nu = A \cdot T_0^{\nu_0} \dots T_n^{\nu_n}$ with each $\nu_i < 0$ and the R -module structure is given as follows: For a monomial $\mathbf{T}^\mu := T_0^{\mu_0} \dots T_n^{\mu_n}$ in $A[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ and an A -basis element $\mathbf{T}^\nu := T_0^{\nu_0} \dots T_n^{\nu_n}$ of P (in the just mentioned direct sum decomposition of P) we have

$$\mathbf{T}^\mu \cdot \mathbf{T}^\nu = \begin{cases} T_0^{\mu_0 + \nu_0} \dots T_n^{\mu_n + \nu_n} & \text{if } \mu_i + \nu_i < 0 \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

When you solve Problem 5 (and it is not difficult), you would have essentially proved the main result of this course, namely the so-called *Cohomology of Projective Space* which is in Chapter III, p. 225 of Hartshorne. This is a short cut to that result.