Suppose X and Y are independent rendom variables on (S,P), and a, I GR constants, then for i, j GR P(X-a=i, Y-b=i) = P(X=a+i, Y=b+i)= P(X = a+i)P(Y= b+j) (since X, Y are independent) = P(X-a=i) P(Y-b=j).We have therefore proved the following: Lemma: If X and Y are independent random naniables, Itrem X-a and Y-b are also independent for any real constants a and b. <u>Frample</u>: Inprove a total of nor lattery, tickets are sold in a town and the tickets are labelled {0,1,2,...,n}. Assume that every number has an equal chance of being drawn. The expected winning ticket number =  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i \cdot \frac{1}{n+i}$ = <u>|</u> Ži i n+1 ū=0 = 1 n(n+1)he will use this result to illustrate the notion of reviewce. The Variance of a random variable Consider the following two situations: (a) & small town conducts a lottery and selle 10,001 tickete. The Tickete are labelled ED,1,...,19,000J. A box contains duplicates of every ticket sold and only these.

The lottery is conducted by drawing a ticket from the box with every Ticket having the same chance of being, dormon. For a ticket t in the box, let X(t) be its number. From the example we just did

$$\frac{6}{2} = \frac{10,000}{2} = 5000$$

(b) Inppose a foir coin is toseed 10,000 (fair means  

$$p=\pm$$
) and Y is the random nariable which  
counts the number of heads. We some in the  
last lettere (with n= 10,000 and p=1/2) that  
EY= 10,000 ( $\pm$ ) = 5000.

In each case the image of the random variable is {0,1, ..., 100002 and so in early Care there roudon variables make § 0,1, ..., 10000} into the sample space of a probability distributions. The expected value of the random variables is the same, namely 5000. None suppose after 10,000 com tosses, you are told that on at least 7,500 occasions the coin twined up heads. What would your reaction be? On the other hand, after the drawing of the winning ticket in the lottery, it was annonined (to prolong the suspence) that the winning ticket number was at least 7,50D. What would your reaction be?

Chances are you were shocked by the first statement but took the second one in your stride. Nevertheless 7500 is equally from the expected value of X as it is from the expected value of Y - after all the expected value of both is 5000 and the ret of outcomes of X as well as that for Y is 20,1,..., y.

The standard deviation of X, denoted ox, in the square most of var (X), i.e.  $T_{\chi} = \sqrt{ran(\chi)}$ Here are some basic properties of variance and standard divisitions. 1. Let X be a random variable and CER a constant. Then (a) var  $(CX) = C^2 var(X)$ (1)  $\sigma_{cx} = 1 c \sigma_{x}$ If XY are random variables then var (X+Y) 2. need not equal var (X) + var (Y). Hower if X and Y are independent random variables with E(X)= u and E(Y) = 2 (say), then  $v_{x}(X+Y) = E(X+Y)^2 - (\mu+\nu)^2$  $= E(X^{2}) + E(Y^{2}) + 2E(XY) - \mu^{2} - \nu^{2} - 2\mu^{2}$  $= E(X^{2}) + E(Y^{2}) + 2E(X)E(Y) - y^{2} - 2y^{2} - 2y^{2}$ ( since 'x and Y are indep.)  $= E(X^{2}) + E(Y^{2}) - \mu^{2} - y^{2}$ = var(X) + var(Y).The same argument grins us: Theorem: If X1,5..., Xn are independent random variables,  $var(X_1 + ... + X_n) = var(X_1) + ... + var(X_n).$ This gries us the following result about Rinomial random variables:

Let n= E(x). Then et  $\mu = E(X)$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X(s) - \mu)^2 P(s) = var(X) = \sigma_X^2 = 0$ , ses Since (X(s)-u)<sup>2</sup> P(s) = 0 V sES, the above means that (X(s)-u)<sup>2</sup> P(s)=0 V sES. This means that X(s) = je & s such that P(s) > 0. If P(s)=0, the ontcome & is not going to occur in any experiment (since S is a finite set). Thus X(s)= n for every ontrome & which could occur. In other words we have: Lonne: Let X be a random variable with mean ju such that  $\sigma_{\chi} = 0$ . Then  $P(X=\mu)=l$ The following famous inequality will help us analyse why it is very surprising if we have 7,500 or more heads in 10,000 tosses of a fair coin but that is not too surprising if a lottery number drawn at random forom {0,1,..., 10,000} is larger than 7,500. Theorem ( Chebyesher's inequality ): Let X be a random variable on a probability space (S, P), and let  $\mu = E(X)$ . Then, for every k>0, we have  $P\left(|X-\mu| \leq k \sigma_{X}\right) \geq |-L|$   $k^{2}$ Proof: If  $\sigma_X = 0$  then we have seen that  $P(X = \mu) = 1$ . Clearly  $(X=\mu) = (|X-\mu| \leq k \cdot \sigma_X)$  if  $\sigma_X = 0$ , and therefore the theorem is trivially true. We will now consider the case where the standard deviation does not varish.

Luppone 
$$\sigma_X \neq 0$$
. Then  $\sigma_X > 0$ .  
Let  

$$A = \{ s \in S \} | X-\mu| > 4\sigma_X \}$$
and  

$$B = \{ s \in S \} | X-\mu| \leq k \sigma_X \}.$$
Then A and B are digital and  

$$S = A \cup B.$$
Thus  

$$P(A) = L - P(B).$$
We have to find a lower bound for P(B). This  
amounts to finding on upper bound for P(B). This  
amounts to finding on upper bound for P(B). This  
amounts to finding on upper bound for P(A) and  
Utat is what we now proseed to do.  
We have:  

$$Vor(X) = E(X-\mu)^2$$

$$= \sum_{a \in S} (X(a)-\mu)^2 P(a)$$

$$= \sum_{a \in S} (X(a)-\mu)^2 P(a)$$

$$= k^2 \sigma_X^2 \cdot P(a) \qquad (since |X(a)-\mu|^{-p} \sigma_X)$$

$$= k^2 \sigma_X^2 \sum_{a \in A} P(a)$$

$$= k^2 \sigma_X^2 P(A).$$
So other words,  

$$\sigma_X^2 \geq k \overline{\sigma_X} = P(A) \qquad (for vor(A)=\sigma_X^2)$$
Lince we advanced  $\sigma_X > 0$ , so this above gives  

$$1 \geq k^2 P(A), ie.$$

$$P(A) \leq \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

This means  $1 - P(B) \leq \frac{1}{k^2}$ which in them gives P(B) > 1-1 + k2 This is what we had to prove. q.e.d. A return to the discussion on lottery tickets vs. fair coin toses The probability that a lottery ticked has value greater than or equal to 7,500 is  $\frac{2,500}{10,001} \approx \frac{1}{4}$ and so the fact that the winning number is greater than or equal to 3500 is not too surprising (certainly nothing to be thorsed about). As for tossing a fair roin 10,000 is concerned, we know that the number of successes follows a Biominal distribution with parameters (n,p) where n = 10,000 and p = 1. It follows that if Y is a Binomial random variable with parameters (n,p) as above, then E(Y) = 5000 and  $\sigma_y = 50$ By Chebyesher's inequality we therefore have  $P(|Y-5000| \leq 50k) \leq 1-L$ for every k=0. For k=50, the above translates to  $P(1Y-5000) \leq 2500) \leq 1-1 = \frac{2499}{2500} \approx 0.9996$ . This means the chances of there being 7,500 or more heads in 10,000 tosses is very very slim. A good reason to be shocked if that indeed does happen.