## Graduate Analysis - I Semester 1, 2018-19 Final Exam

- (1) (a) (3 marks) Let H be a non-zero Hilbert space and call an orthonormal set  $\{u_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$  in H a *complete* orthonormal set if it is a maximal orthonormal set. Give two other equivalent conditions for  $\{u_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$  to be complete.
  - (b) (3 marks) State Tonelli's theorem for **complete** measure spaces.
  - (c) (4 marks) Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff Space. Define  $C_0(X)$  as a normed linear space. State the Riesz Representation Theorem for bounded functionals on  $C_0(X)$ .

Solution: These are in the notes for the course.

- (2) For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  let  $L^p = L^p([0, 1], m)$  where m is the Lebesgue measure and let  $J: L^1 \hookrightarrow (L^\infty)^*$  be the canonical embedding of a normed linear space into its double dual. Let  $\lambda: C[0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$  be the map  $f \mapsto f(1/2)$ .
  - (a) (3 marks) Prove there exists  $\Lambda \in (L^{\infty})^*$ , with  $\|\Lambda\| = 1$ , such that  $\Lambda|_{C[0,1]} = \lambda$ .

**Solution:** Since  $|\lambda(f)| = |f(1/2)| \le ||f||_{\infty}$  for  $f \in C[0,1]$ , therefore  $||\lambda|| \le 1$ . Taking f = 1, we see that  $||\lambda|| = 1$ . The required  $\Lambda \in (L^{\infty})^*$  is now obtained by applying the Hahn-Banach theorem.

(b) (7 marks) Show that if  $\Lambda$  is as in (a), then  $\Lambda \notin J(L^1)$ .

**Solution:** For  $h \in L^{\infty}$ , let  $\Phi_h$  be symbol we use when we regard h as an element of  $(L^1)^*$  under the natural identification of  $L^{\infty}$  with  $(L^1)^*$ . This means that for  $g \in L^1$ ,  $\Phi_h(g) = \int_0^1 hg \, dm$ .

Suppose  $\Lambda \in J(L^1)$ , say  $\Lambda = J(g_{\Lambda})$ . Then  $g_{\Lambda} \in L^1$  and for  $h \in L^{\infty}$ ,  $\Lambda(h) = \Phi_h(g_{\Lambda}) = \int_0^1 hg_{\Lambda} dm$ . In particular we have

$$\lambda(f) = \int_0^1 fg_\Lambda \, dm, \qquad (f \in C[0,1]).$$

On the other hand

$$\lambda(f) = f(1/2) = \int_0^1 f \, d\delta_{1/2}, \qquad (f \in C[0,1]),$$

where  $\delta_{1/2}$  is the Dirac measure at 1/2. The measure represented by  $g_{\Lambda} dm$  as well as the measure  $\delta_{1/2}$  are regular measures, and hence by the Riesz Representation Theorem, they are equal since both represent  $\lambda$ . This means  $\delta_{1/2}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to m, which is a contradiction. (3) Let X be compact Hausdorff. Show that if C(X) is reflexive then X is finite. (The converse is obvious. Please do not waste your time proving it.)

**Solution:** Choose  $x_0 \in X$ . Identify  $C(X)^*$  with regular complex measures on X via the Riesz Representation Theorem. Define  $\Lambda: C(X)^* \to \mathbb{C}$  by the formula

$$\Lambda(\mu) = \mu(\{x_0\})$$

for  $\mu$  a regular complex measure on X. Since  $|\mu(\{x_0\})| \leq |\mu|(X) = ||\mu||$ , therefore  $\Lambda$  is bounded. Now C(X) is reflexive and hence there exists a continuous function f on X such that

$$\Lambda(\mu) = \int_X f \, d\mu \qquad (\mu \in C(X)^*).$$

For  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\chi_{\{x_0\}}(x) = \delta_x(\{x_0\}) = \Lambda(\delta_x) = \int_X f \, d\delta_x = f(x)$$

Thus  $\chi_{\{x_0\}} = f$  and hence  $\chi_{\{x_0\}}$  is continuous. Now

$$X \smallsetminus \{x_0\} = \chi_{\{x_0\}}^{-1}(0)$$

and hence  $X \setminus \{x_0\}$  is a closed set. Thus  $\{x_0\}$  is open, i.e. X is discrete. Since X is compact, this forces X to be finite.

(4) Use the Riesz Representation Theorem for  $C_0(X)^*$ , for X a locally compact Hausdorff space, to show that there is an isomorphism from  $\ell^1$  onto  $c_0^*$  which preserves norms, and give the isomorphism. Here  $c_0$  is the space of complex sequences  $\{s_n\}$  with supremum norm such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$ .

**Solution:** We can identify  $c_0$  with  $C_0(\mathbb{N})$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is given the discrete topology. By the Riesz Representation Theorem  $c_0^*$  can be identified isometrically with the space of complex measures on  $\mathbb{N}$  (complex measures on  $\mathbb{N}$  are obviously regular). The norm of a complex measure  $\mu$  is its total variation  $|\mu|(\mathbb{N})$ . Thus, given  $\Lambda \in c_0^*$ , there exists a unique complex measure  $\mu_{\Lambda}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Lambda(s) = \int_{\mathbb{N}} s \, d\mu_{\Lambda}$  for  $s \in c_0$ , and in this case  $||\Lambda|| = |\mu_{\Lambda}|(\mathbb{N})$ . Conversely, given a complex measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ , there is a unique  $\Lambda \in c_0^*$  such that  $\mu = \mu_{\Lambda}$ .

Given  $f = \{f_n\} \in \ell^1$ , we have the complex measure  $\mu = \mu^f$  defined by  $d\mu = f d\#$ , where **#** is the counting measure on N. Moreover, from a theorem done in class,

(\*) 
$$\|\mu^f\| = |\mu^f|(\mathbb{N}) = \int_{\mathbb{N}} |f| \, d\mathbf{\#} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n| = \|f\|_1.$$

From our earlier discussion, it follows that if

$$\Lambda_f\colon c_0\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is the functional

$$\Lambda_f(s) = \int_{\mathbb{N}} s \, d\mu^f = \int_{\mathbb{N}} sf \, d\mathbf{\#} = \sum_n s_n f_n \quad (s = \{s_n\} \in c_0),$$

then

$$\|\Lambda_f\| = \|f\|_1.$$

Now every complex measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  is necessarily absolutely continuous with respect to the counting measure #, for the only subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  with zero # measure is the empty set. Thus if  $\mu$  is a complex measure on  $\mathbb{N}$  it must be of the form  $d\mu = f d\#$  for a unique  $f \in L^1(\#) = \ell^1$ . In other words  $\mu = \mu^f$  for a unique  $f \in \ell^1$  and in this case  $\|\mu\| = \|f\|_1$ .

It follows that  $f \mapsto \Lambda_f$  gives us an isometric isomorphism from  $\ell^1$  to  $c_0^*$ .

(5) Show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ .

**Solution:** Let f(x) = x on  $[\pi, \pi]$ . Then  $f \in L^2(T)$ . Work out  $||f||_2^2 = 1/(2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^2 dx$ and the Fourier coefficients  $\widehat{f}(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and then use the Parseval identity

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2$$

to get the answer. Details are left to you.

(6) For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathscr{B}_n$ ,  $\mathscr{L}_n$  and  $m_n$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra, and the Lebesgue measure respectively on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let r + s = k,  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $\mathscr{B}_r \times \mathscr{B}_s = \mathscr{B}_k$ , that  $\mathscr{B}_k = \mathscr{B}_r \times \mathscr{B}_s \subset \mathscr{L}_r \times \mathscr{L}_s$  and that  $m_r \times m_s = m_k$  on  $\mathscr{L}_r \times \mathscr{L}_s$ . Show that  $\mathscr{L}_r \times \mathscr{L}_s \subset \mathscr{L}_k$  and that  $\mathscr{L}_r \times \mathscr{L}_s \neq \mathscr{L}_k$ 

Solution: This was done in class using monotone classes.

**Fourier Integrals.** In the remaining problems  $\mathscr{L}$  will be the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$  and m will denote the measure on  $\mathscr{L}$  given by

$$m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$
 (Lebesgue measure).

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L^p$  will denote  $L^p(m)$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  will denote the norm on  $L^p$ . For  $f \in L^1$ ,  $\widehat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of f.

In what follows, you may use the following easily established fact (please do work it out in your spare time after the exam). For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $h_n = \chi_{[-n,n]} * \chi_{[-1,1]}$ . Then  $h_n$  is continuous and piecewise linear, which is zero in  $(-\infty, -n-1] \cup [n+1,\infty)$ , is the constant  $\sqrt{2/\pi}$  in [-n+1, n-1], and is obvious linear interpolation of these in the [-n-1, -n+1] and [n-1, n+1]. In other words,  $||h_n||_{\infty} = \sqrt{2/\pi}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For the record:

$$h_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & |t| \ge n+1\\ \frac{n+1+t}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, & -n-1 < t < -n+1\\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}, & 1-n \le t \le n-1\\ \frac{n+1-t}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, & n-1 < t < n+1 \end{cases}$$

Here is the graph of  $(\sqrt{2\pi})h_4$ :



You don't have to prove any of the above. This is more to get you comfortable with  $h_n$  and use it to prove what is asked in the following pages. You will only need to know that  $h_n \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , that  $h_n = \chi_{[-n,n]} * \chi_{[-1,1]}$ , and that  $||h_n||_{\infty} = \sqrt{2/\pi}$ .

(7) Prove that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(nx)}{x^2} \, dx = n\pi$$

by showing that  $\widehat{\chi_{[-n,n]}}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sin(nt)}{t}$  and then using Fourier theory. (Other methods will not fetch you marks.)

Solution: Note that  $\chi_{[-n,n]} \in L^1 \cap L^2$ . Hence  $\widehat{\chi_{[-n,n]}}$  exists and is in  $L^2$  and (\*)  $\|\chi_{[-n,n]}\|_2^2 = \|\widehat{\chi_{[-n,n]}}\|_2^2$ .

4

We have

$$\sqrt{2\pi}\widehat{\chi_{[-n,n]}}(t) = \int_{-n}^{n} e^{-itx} dx = \left[\frac{e^{-itx}}{-it}\right]_{x=-n}^{n}$$
$$= \frac{e^{itn} - e^{-itn}}{it}$$
$$= \frac{2\sin(nt)}{t}$$

It follows that

$$\widehat{\chi_{[-n,n]}}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sin(nt)}{t}.$$

The above together with (\*) then implies

$$\|\chi_{[-n,n]}\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^{2}(nx)}{x^{2}} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^{2}(nx)}{x^{2}} dx$$

On the other hand

$$\|\chi_{[-n,n]}\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[-n,n]}^{2}(x) \, dx$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} n.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(nx)}{x^2} \, dx = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} n.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(nx)}{x^2} \, dx = n\pi$$

as required.

(8) (a) (3 marks) Let  $f_n(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sin(nx)\sin(x)}{x^2}$ . Show that  $\widehat{f_n} = h_n$  where  $h_n$  has been defined two pages earlier.

Solution: Since  $h_n = \chi_{[-n,n]} * \chi_{[-1,1]}$  we have

$$\widehat{h_n}(t) = \widehat{\chi_{[-n,n]}}(t) \cdot \widehat{\chi_{[-1,1]}}(t)$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sin(nt)\sin(t)}{t^2}$$
$$= f_n(t)$$

Since  $\widehat{\chi_{[-n,n]}}$  and  $\widehat{\chi_{[-1,1]}}$  are in  $L^2$ , their product, namely  $\widehat{h_n} = f_n$ , is in  $L^1$ . Since  $\widehat{h_n} \in L^1$ , Fourier inversion applies and we have:

$$h_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(t) e^{itx} dt = \widehat{g_n}(x)$$

where  $g_n(t) = f_n(-t)$ . Now  $f_n(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sin(nt)\sin(t)}{t^2} = f_n(-t) = g_n(t)$ . This proves that  $\widehat{f_n} = h_n$ .

(b) (7 marks) Show that the Fourier transform  $\Phi: L^1 \to C_0(\mathbb{R}), \ \Phi(f) = \hat{f}$ , is not an onto map by showing that if  $f_n$  is as in part (a), then  $||f_n||_1 \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Why would this prove that  $\Phi$  is not onto? (To show  $||f_n||_1 \to \infty$ as  $n \to \infty$ , you may use the fact that  $\sin(x) \ge x/2$  in [0,1] and the fact that  $\int_0^\infty (|\sin(x)|/x) dx = \infty$ . You don't have to prove these well known results.)

**Solution:** Let us first prove that  $||f_n||_1 \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We have

$$\|f_n\|_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\sin(nx)\sin(x)}{x^2} \right| dx$$
  

$$\geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\sin(nx)\sin(x)}{x^2} \right| dx$$
  

$$\geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 \frac{|\sin(nx)|}{x^2} \frac{x}{2} dx \quad (\text{since } \sin x \ge x/2 \text{ on } [0,1])$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^1 \frac{|\sin(nx)|}{x} dx$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^n \frac{|\sin(x)|}{x} dx.$$

The last quantity  $\longrightarrow \infty$  as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ .

We have seen in class (via the Fourier Inversion theorem) that if the Fourier transform  $\widehat{f}$  of a function f is zero, then f = 0 in  $L^1$ . Therefore  $\Phi$  is one-to-one. The norm of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ . Moreover,

$$|\widehat{f}(t)| \le 1/(2\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)e^{itx}| \, dx = ||f||_1$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \le \|f\|_1.$$

Thus  $\Phi$  is a continuous linear operator. According to the Open Mapping Theorem, if  $\Phi$  is onto there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \ge \delta \|f\|_1$  for every  $f \in L^1$ . In particular

$$\|h_n\|_{\infty} \ge \delta \|f_n\|_1$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This means  $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \geq \delta \|f_n\|_1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , contradicting the fact that  $\|f_n\|_1 \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .