## Programming Language Concepts: Lecture 17

S P Suresh

March 15, 2021

•  $[n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$ 

- $[n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$ 
  - $f^n x = f(f(\cdots(fx)\cdots))$ , where f is applied repeatedly n times

- $\bullet [n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$ 
  - $f^n x = f(f(\cdots(fx)\cdots))$ , where f is applied repeatedly n times
- Successor:  $[succ] = \lambda pf x. f(pf x)$

- $\bullet [n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$ 
  - $f^n x = f(f(\cdots(fx)\cdots))$ , where f is applied repeatedly n times
- Successor:  $[succ] = \lambda pfx.f(pfx)$
- Addition:  $[plus] = \lambda pqfx.pf(qfx)$

- $\bullet [n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$ 
  - $f^n x = f(f(\cdots(fx)\cdots))$ , where f is applied repeatedly n times
- Successor:  $[succ] = \lambda pf x. f(pf x)$
- Addition:  $[plus] = \lambda pqfx.pf(qfx)$
- Multiplication:  $[mult] = \lambda pqf . p(qf)$

- $\bullet \ [n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$ 
  - $f^n x = f(f(\cdots(fx)\cdots))$ , where f is applied repeatedly n times
- Successor:  $[succ] = \lambda pf x. f(pf x)$
- Addition:  $[plus] = \lambda pqfx.pf(qfx)$
- **Multiplication**:  $[mult] = \lambda pqf . p(qf)$
- **Exponentiation**:  $[exp] = \lambda pq.pq$

2/16

• Church numerals encode  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

- Church numerals encode  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- Can we encode computable functions  $f: \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$ ?

- Church numerals encode  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- Can we encode computable functions  $f: \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$ ?
  - Let [f] be the encoding of f

- Church numerals encode  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- Can we encode computable functions  $f: \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$ ?
  - Let [f] be the encoding of f
  - We want  $[f][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [f(n_1,\ldots,n_k)]$

- Church numerals encode  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- Can we encode computable functions  $f: \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$ ?
  - Let [f] be the encoding of f
  - We want  $[f][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [f(n_1,\ldots,n_k)]$
- We need a syntax for computable functions

• Recursive functions [Dedekind, Skolem, Gödel, Kleene]

- Recursive functions [Dedekind, Skolem, Gödel, Kleene]
  - Equivalent to Turing machines

- Recursive functions [Dedekind, Skolem, Gödel, Kleene]
  - Equivalent to Turing machines

#### Definition

 $f:\mathbb{N}^k\to\mathbb{N}$  is obtained by composition from  $g:\mathbb{N}^l\to\mathbb{N}$  and  $h_1,\dots,h_l:\mathbb{N}^k\to\mathbb{N}$  if

$$f(\vec{n}) = g(h_1(\vec{n}), \dots, h_l(\vec{n}))$$

- Recursive functions [Dedekind, Skolem, Gödel, Kleene]
  - Equivalent to Turing machines

#### Definition

 $f:\mathbb{N}^k\to\mathbb{N}$  is obtained by composition from  $g:\mathbb{N}^l\to\mathbb{N}$  and  $h_1,\dots,h_l:\mathbb{N}^k\to\mathbb{N}$  if

$$f(\vec{n}) = g(h_1(\vec{n}), \dots, h_l(\vec{n}))$$

• **Notation:**  $f = g \circ (h_1, h_2, ..., h_l)$ 

#### Definition

 $f: \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{N}$  is obtained by **primitive recursion** from  $g: \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$  and  $h: \mathbb{N}^{k+2} \to \mathbb{N}$  if

$$f(0, \vec{n}) = g(\vec{n})$$
  
$$f(i+1, \vec{n}) = h(i, f(i, \vec{n}), \vec{n})$$

#### Definition

 $f: \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{N}$  is obtained by **primitive recursion** from  $g: \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$  and  $h \cdot \mathbb{N}^{k+2} \to \mathbb{N}$  if

$$f(0, \vec{n}) = g(\vec{n})$$
  
$$f(i+1, \vec{n}) = h(i, f(i, \vec{n}), \vec{n})$$

### Definition

 $f: \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$  is obtained by  $\mu$ -recursion or minimization from  $\varphi: \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{N}$  if

$$f(\vec{n}) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } g(i, \vec{n}) = 0 \text{ and } \forall j < i : g(j, \vec{n}) > 0 \\ \text{undefined otherwise}_{\text{re 17}} & \text{March 15, 2021} \end{cases}$$
March 15, 2021 S/16

#### Definition

The class of **primitive recursive functions** is the smallest class of functions

#### Definition

The class of primitive recursive functions is the smallest class of functions

#### Definition

The class of primitive recursive functions is the smallest class of functions

Zero 
$$Z(n) = 0$$

#### Definition

The class of **primitive recursive functions** is the smallest class of functions

Zero 
$$Z(n) = 0$$
  
Successor  $S(n) = n + 1$ 

#### Definition

The class of primitive recursive functions is the smallest class of functions

Zero 
$$Z(n) = 0$$
  
Successor  $S(n) = n + 1$   
Projection  $\Pi_i^k(n_1, ..., n_k) = n_i$ 

#### Definition

The class of primitive recursive functions is the smallest class of functions

1 containing the initial functions

Zero 
$$Z(n) = 0$$
  
Successor  $S(n) = n + 1$   
Projection  $\Pi_i^k(n_1, ..., n_k) = n_i$ 

2 closed under composition and primitive recursion

#### Definition

The class of primitive recursive functions is the smallest class of functions

1 containing the initial functions

Zero 
$$Z(n) = 0$$
  
Successor  $S(n) = n + 1$   
Projection  $\Pi_i^k(n_1, ..., n_k) = n_i$ 

2 closed under composition and primitive recursion

#### Definition

The class of (partial) recursive functions is the smallest class of functions

#### Definition

The class of primitive recursive functions is the smallest class of functions

1 containing the initial functions

Zero 
$$Z(n) = 0$$
  
Successor  $S(n) = n + 1$   
Projection  $\Pi_i^k(n_1, ..., n_k) = n_i$ 

2 closed under composition and primitive recursion

#### Definition

The class of (partial) recursive functions is the smallest class of functions

#### Definition

The class of primitive recursive functions is the smallest class of functions

1 containing the initial functions

Zero 
$$Z(n) = 0$$
  
Successor  $S(n) = n + 1$   
Projection  $\Pi_i^k(n_1, ..., n_k) = n_i$ 

2 closed under composition and primitive recursion

#### Definition

The class of (partial) recursive functions is the smallest class of functions

- 1 containing the initial functions
- a class Surgesh nday composition PLC 2021; Lecture 17

•  $[n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$ 

- $[n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$
- **Zero**:  $[Z] = \lambda x.[0]$

March 15, 2021

7/16

- $[n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$
- **Zero**:  $[Z] = \lambda x.[0]$
- Successor:  $[succ] = \lambda p f x. f(p f x)$

- $\bullet [n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$
- **Zero**:  $[Z] = \lambda x.[0]$
- Successor:  $[succ] = \lambda p f x \cdot f(p f x)$
- **Projection:**  $\left[\Pi_i^k\right] = \lambda x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k . x_i$

7/16

- $\bullet [n] = \lambda f x. f^n x$
- **Zero**:  $[Z] = \lambda x.[0]$
- Successor:  $[succ] = \lambda p f x \cdot f(p f x)$
- **Projection:**  $\left[ \prod_{i=1}^{k} \right] = \lambda x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k . x_i$
- Composition: If  $f: \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$  is defined by  $f = g \circ (h_1, \dots, h_l)$

$$[f] = \lambda x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \cdot [g] ([h_1] x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k) \cdots ([h_l] x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k)$$

 Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- We need to eliminate recursion

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- We need to eliminate recursion
  - λ-calculus functions are anonymous

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- We need to eliminate recursion
  - λ-calculus functions are anonymous
  - Cannot directly use name of f inside definition of f

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- We need to eliminate recursion
  - λ-calculus functions are anonymous
  - Cannot directly use name of f inside definition of f
- We convert recursion into iteration

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- We need to eliminate recursion
  - λ-calculus functions are anonymous
  - Cannot directly use name of f inside definition of f
- We convert recursion into iteration
- Given l and  $\vec{n}$ , generate a sequence of pairs

$$(0, a_0), (1, a_1), \dots, (l, a_l)$$

where

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- We need to eliminate recursion
  - λ-calculus functions are anonymous
  - Cannot directly use name of f inside definition of f
- We convert recursion into iteration
- Given l and  $\vec{n}$ , generate a sequence of pairs

$$(0, a_0), (1, a_1), \dots, (l, a_l)$$

where

• 
$$a_0 = g(\vec{n})$$

8/16

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- We need to eliminate recursion
  - λ-calculus functions are anonymous
  - Cannot directly use name of f inside definition of f
- We convert recursion into iteration
- Given l and  $\vec{n}$ , generate a sequence of pairs

$$(0, a_0), (1, a_1), \dots, (l, a_l)$$

#### where

- $a_0 = g(\vec{n})$
- $a_{i+1} = h(i, a_i, \vec{n})$

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- We need to eliminate recursion
  - λ-calculus functions are anonymous
  - Cannot directly use name of f inside definition of f
- We convert recursion into iteration
- Given l and  $\vec{n}$ , generate a sequence of pairs

$$(0, a_0), (1, a_1), \dots, (l, a_l)$$

#### where

- $a_0 = g(\vec{n})$
- $a_{i+1} = h(i, a_i, \vec{n})$
- Finally we have  $a_l = f(l, \vec{n})$

 Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- Given l and  $\vec{n}$ , generate a sequence of pairs

$$(0, a_0), (1, a_1), \dots, (l, a_l)$$

where 
$$a_0 = g(\vec{n})$$
 and  $a_{i+1} = h(i, a_i, \vec{n})$ 

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- Given l and  $\vec{n}$ , generate a sequence of pairs

$$(0, a_0), (1, a_1), \dots, (l, a_l)$$

where  $a_0 = g(\vec{n})$  and  $a_{i+1} = h(i, a_i, \vec{n})$ 

$$\begin{array}{lll} t(0) & = & (0,a_0) & = & (0,g(\vec{n})) \\ t(i+1) & = & (i+1,a_{i+1}) & = & (i+1,h(i,a_i,\vec{n})) \\ & & = & (succ(fst(t(i))), \\ & & & h(fst(t(i)),snd(t(i)),\vec{n}) \end{array}$$

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- Given l and  $\vec{n}$ , generate a sequence of pairs

$$(0, a_0), (1, a_1), \dots, (l, a_l)$$

where  $a_0 = g(\vec{n})$  and  $a_{i+1} = h(i, a_i, \vec{n})$ 

• Generate the sequence by the following recursion

$$\begin{array}{lll} t(0) & = & (0,a_0) & = & (0,g(\vec{n})) \\ t(i+1) & = & (i+1,a_{i+1}) & = & (i+1,h(i,a_i,\vec{n})) \\ & & = & (succ(fst(t(i))), \\ & & & h(fst(t(i)),snd(t(i)),\vec{n}) \end{array}$$

• fst and snd return the first and second components of a pair

9/16

- Primitive recursion: Suppose f is defined via primitive recursion from g and h
- Given l and  $\vec{n}$ , generate a sequence of pairs

$$(0, a_0), (1, a_1), \dots, (l, a_l)$$

where  $a_0 = g(\vec{n})$  and  $a_{i+1} = h(i, a_i, \vec{n})$ 

$$\begin{array}{lll} t(0) & = & (0,a_0) & = & (0,g(\vec{n})) \\ t(i+1) & = & (i+1,a_{i+1}) & = & (i+1,h(i,a_i,\vec{n})) \\ & & = & (succ(fst(t(i))), \\ & & & h(fst(t(i)),snd(t(i)),\vec{n}) \end{array}$$

- fst and snd return the first and second components of a pair
- $f(l, \vec{n})$  can be retrieved as snd(t(l))

$$\begin{array}{lll} t(0) & = & (0,a_0) & = & (0,g(\vec{n})) \\ t(i+1) & = & (i+1,a_{i+1}) & = & (i+1,h(i,a_i,\vec{n})) \\ & & = & (succ(fst(t(i))), \\ & & & h(fst(t(i)),snd(t(i)),\vec{n}) \end{array}$$

• Generate the sequence by the following recursion

$$\begin{array}{lll} t(0) & = & (0,a_0) & = & (0,g(\vec{n})) \\ t(i+1) & = & (i+1,a_{i+1}) & = & (i+1,h(i,a_i,\vec{n})) \\ & & = & (succ(fst(t(i))), \\ & & & h(fst(t(i)),snd(t(i)),\vec{n}) \end{array}$$

• We generate the t(i)'s by iteration

```
\begin{array}{lll} t(0) & = & (0,a_0) & = & (0,g(\vec{n})) \\ t(i+1) & = & (i+1,a_{i+1}) & = & (i+1,h(i,a_i,\vec{n})) \\ & & = & (succ(fst(t(i))), \\ & & & h(fst(t(i)),snd(t(i)),\vec{n}) \end{array}
```

- We generate the t(i)'s by iteration
- Define  $Init = (0, g(\vec{n}))$  and Step(t(i)) = t(i+1)

$$\begin{array}{lll} t(0) & = & (0,a_0) & = & (0,g(\vec{n})) \\ t(i+1) & = & (i+1,a_{i+1}) & = & (i+1,h(i,a_i,\vec{n})) \\ & & = & (succ(fst(t(i))), \\ & & & h(fst(t(i)),snd(t(i)),\vec{n}) \end{array}$$

- We generate the t(i)'s by iteration
- Define  $Init = (0, g(\vec{n}))$  and Step(t(i)) = t(i+1)
- So  $t(l) = Step^l(Init) \dots$

$$\begin{array}{lll} t(0) & = & (0,a_0) & = & (0,g(\vec{n})) \\ t(i+1) & = & (i+1,a_{i+1}) & = & (i+1,h(i,a_i,\vec{n})) \\ & & = & (succ(fst(t(i))), \\ & & & h(fst(t(i)),snd(t(i)),\vec{n}) \end{array}$$

- We generate the t(i)'s by iteration
- Define  $Init = (0, g(\vec{n}))$  and Step(t(i)) = t(i+1)
- So  $t(l) = Step^{l}(Init) \dots$
- ...and  $f(l, \vec{n}) = snd(t(l)) = snd(Step^{l}(Init))$

•  $[pair] = \lambda x y z . z x y$ 

- $[pair] = \lambda x y z . z x y$
- $[pair]ab \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} \lambda z.zab$

11/16

- $[pair] = \lambda x y z . z x y$
- $[pair]ab \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} \lambda z.zab$
- $[fst] = \lambda p.p(\lambda x y.x)$

11/16

- $[pair] = \lambda x y z . z x y$
- $[pair]ab \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} \lambda z.zab$
- $[fst] = \lambda p. p(\lambda x y. x)$
- [fst]([pair] ab)  $\stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow}_{\beta} (\lambda p. p(\lambda x y. x))(\lambda z. zab) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z. zab)(\lambda x y. x) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x y. x) ab \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y. a)b \longrightarrow_{\beta} a$

- $[pair] = \lambda x y z . z x y$
- $[pair]ab \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} \lambda z.zab$
- $[fst] = \lambda p. p(\lambda x y. x)$
- [fst]([pair] ab)  $\xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} (\lambda p. p(\lambda x y. x))(\lambda z. zab) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z. zab)(\lambda x y. x) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x y. x) ab \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y. a)b \longrightarrow_{\beta} a$
- $[snd] = \lambda p.(p(\lambda x y. y))$

- $[pair] = \lambda x y z . z x y$
- $[pair]ab \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} \lambda z.zab$
- $[fst] = \lambda p. p(\lambda x y. x)$
- [fst]([pair] ab)  $\xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} (\lambda p. p(\lambda x y. x))(\lambda z. zab) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z. zab)(\lambda x y. x) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x y. x) ab \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y. a)b \longrightarrow_{\beta} a$
- $[snd] = \lambda p.(p(\lambda x y.y))$
- $[snd]([pair]ab) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} (\lambda p. p(\lambda x y. y))(\lambda z. zab) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z. zab)(\lambda x y. y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x y. y)ab \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y. y)b \longrightarrow_{\beta} b$

•  $Init = (0, g(\vec{n}))$ 

- $Init = (0, g(\vec{n}))$
- $Step(t(i)) = (i+1, a_{i+1}) = (succ(fst(t(i))), h(fst(t(i)), snd(t(i)), \vec{n}))$

- $Init = (0, g(\vec{n}))$
- $Step(t(i)) = (i + 1, a_{i+1}) = (succ(fst(t(i))), h(fst(t(i)), snd(t(i)), \vec{n}))$
- $t(l) = Step^{l}(Init)$  and  $f(l, \vec{n}) = snd(t(l))$

- $Init = (0, g(\vec{n}))$
- $Step(t(i)) = (i+1, a_{i+1}) = (succ(fst(t(i))), h(fst(t(i)), snd(t(i)), \vec{n}))$
- $t(l) = Step^{l}(Init)$  and  $f(l, \vec{n}) = snd(t(l))$
- $[Init] = [pair][0]([g]x_1 \dots x_k)$

- $Init = (0, g(\vec{n}))$
- $Step(t(i)) = (i + 1, a_{i+1}) = (succ(fst(t(i))), h(fst(t(i)), snd(t(i)), \vec{n}))$
- $t(l) = Step^{l}(Init)$  and  $f(l, \vec{n}) = snd(t(l))$
- $[Init] = [pair][0]([g]x_1...x_k)$
- $[Step] = \lambda y.[pair]([succ]([fst]y))([h]([fst]y)([snd]y)x_1...x_k)$

- $Init = (0, g(\vec{n}))$
- $Step(t(i)) = (i+1, a_{i+1}) = (succ(fst(t(i))), h(fst(t(i)), snd(t(i)), \vec{n}))$
- $t(l) = Step^{l}(Init)$  and  $f(l, \vec{n}) = snd(t(l))$
- $[Init] = [pair][0]([g]x_1...x_k)$
- $[Step] = \lambda y.[pair]([succ]([fst]y))([h]([fst]y)([snd]y)x_1...x_k)$ 
  - $\vec{n}$  appears "free" in both *Init* and *Step*, so in the encodings we leave the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_h$  free

- $Init = (0, g(\vec{n}))$
- $Step(t(i)) = (i + 1, a_{i+1}) = (succ(fst(t(i))), h(fst(t(i)), snd(t(i)), \vec{n}))$
- $t(l) = Step^{l}(Init)$  and  $f(l, \vec{n}) = snd(t(l))$
- $[Init] = [pair][0]([g]x_1...x_k)$
- $[Step] = \lambda y.[pair]([succ]([fst]y))([h]([fst]y)([snd]y)x_1...x_k)$ 
  - $\vec{n}$  appears "free" in both *Init* and *Step*, so in the encodings we leave the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_h$  free
- $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$ . [snd](x [Step][Init])

•  $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$ . [snd](x [Step][Init])

- $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k . [snd](x [Step][Init])$
- $\bullet \ [f][l][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow}_{\beta} [snd]([l][Step'][Init']) \stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow}_{\beta} [snd]([Step']^l [Init'])$

- $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$ . [snd](x [Step][Init])
- $[f][l][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([l][Step'][Init']) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([Step']^l[Init'])$ 
  - $\bullet \ [\mathit{Init'}] = [\mathit{Init}][x_1 := [n_1], \dots, x_k := [n_k]]$

- $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$ . [snd](x [Step][Init])
- $[f][l][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([l][Step'][Init']) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([Step']^l[Init'])$ 
  - $\bullet \ [\mathit{Init'}] = [\mathit{Init}][x_1 := [n_1], \ldots, x_k := [n_k]]$
  - $[Step'] = [Step][x_1 := [n_1], ..., x_k := [n_k]]$

- $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k . [snd](x [Step][Init])$
- $[f][l][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([l][Step'][Init']) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([Step']^l[Init'])$ 
  - $\bullet \ [\mathit{Init'}] = [\mathit{Init}][x_1 := [n_1], \ldots, x_k := [n_k]]$
  - $[Step'] = [Step][x_1 := [n_1], ..., x_k := [n_k]]$
- Check that  $[Step']([pair][i][f(i,\vec{n})]) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair][i+1][f(i+1,\vec{n})]$

• Check that  $[Step']([pair][i][f(i,\vec{n})]) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair][i+1][f(i+1,\vec{n})]$ 

```
• Check that [Step']([pair][i][f(i,\vec{n})]) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair][i+1][f(i+1,\vec{n})]

• [Step']([pair][i][f(i,\vec{n})])

\xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair] ([succ]([fst])) ([pair][i][f(i,\vec{n})])

([h] ([fst]([pair][i][f(i,\vec{n})]))

([snd]([pair][i][f(i,\vec{n})]))

[n_1] \cdots [n_k])

\xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair] ([succ][i]) ([h][i][f(i,\vec{n})][n_1] \cdots [n_k])

\xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair] [i+1] [h(i,f(i,\vec{n}),\vec{n})]

\xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair] [i+1] [f(i+1,\vec{n})])
```

• Check that  $[Step']^i[Init'] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair] [i] [f(i, \vec{n})]$ 

- Check that  $[Step']^i[Init'] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair] [i] [f(i, \vec{n})]$
- $[Step']^0[Init'] \stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow}_{\beta} [Init'] = [pair] [0] [g(\vec{n})] = [pair] [0] [f(0, \vec{n})]$

- Check that  $[Step']^i[Init'] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair] [i] [f(i, \vec{n})]$
- $[Step']^0[Init'] \stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow}_{\beta} [Init'] = [pair] [0] [g(\vec{n})] = [pair] [0] [f(0, \vec{n})]$

• 
$$[Step']^{i+1}[Init'] = [Step']([Step']^{i}[Init'])$$
  
 $\stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow}_{\beta} [Step']([pair] [i] [f(i,\vec{n})])$  (ind. hyp.)  
 $\stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow}_{\beta} [pair] [i+1] [f(i+1,\vec{n})]$ 

•  $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$ . [snd](x [Step][Init])

- $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k . [snd](x [Step][Init])$
- $\bullet \ [f][l][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([l][Step'][Init']) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([Step']^l[Init']) \\$

- $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$ . [snd](x [Step][Init])
- $[f][l][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([l][Step'][Init']) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([Step']^l[Init'])$
- $[Step']^l[Init'] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair] [l] [f(l, \vec{n})]$

- $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k . [snd](x [Step][Init])$
- $[f][l][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([l][Step'][Init']) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([Step']^l[Init'])$
- $[Step']^l[Init'] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair] [l] [f(l, \vec{n})]$
- So  $[f][l][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([pair] [l] [f(l,\vec{n})]) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [f(l,\vec{n})]$

- $[f] = \lambda x x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$ . [snd](x [Step][Init])
- $[f][l][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([l][Step'][Init']) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([Step']^l[Init'])$
- $[Step']^l[Init'] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [pair] [l] [f(l, \vec{n})]$
- So  $[f][l][n_1]\cdots[n_k] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [snd]([pair] [l] [f(l,\vec{n})]) \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} [f(l,\vec{n})]$
- The expression [PR] encodes the schema of primitive recursion

$$[PR] = \lambda h g x x_1 \cdots x_k. [snd](x(\lambda y. [pair] ([succ]([fst]y)) \\ (h([fst]y)([snd]y)x_1 \dots x_k))) \\ ([pair][0](g x_1 \dots x_k))$$

16/16