

RAMIFICATION THEORY AUG-NOV 2018: PROBLEM SETS

MANOJ KUMMINI

1. 2018-08-21

1.1. (5 marks) A *free presentation* of M is an exact sequence $F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with F_0 and F_1 free R -modules; a free presentation is said to be a *finite free presentation* if F_1 and F_0 are of finite rank. M is *finitely presented* if it has a finite free presentation. Let M and N be finitely presented R -modules. Show that $M \otimes_R N$ is finitely presented.

1.2. (5 marks) Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring map. Let M and N be R -modules, with generating sets $\{x_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{y_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ respectively.

(a) Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a map of R -modules. Write $\phi(x_\lambda) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} r_{i,\lambda} y_i$, where the $r_{i,\lambda}$ are elements (not necessarily uniquely determined) of R . Show that $f^*(\phi)(1 \otimes_R x_\lambda) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} f(r_{i,\lambda})(1 \otimes_R y_i)$.

(b) Let $G \xrightarrow{\phi} F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a free presentation of M . Show that $f^*G \xrightarrow{f^*(\phi)} f^*F \rightarrow f^*M \rightarrow 0$ is a free presentation of f^*M . In particular if M is finitely generated as an R -module then so is f^*M as an S -module. Similarly if M is finitely presented as an R -module then so is f^*M as an S -module.

(c) Suppose that M is finitely presented with a finite free presentation $G \xrightarrow{\phi} F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Let $m = \text{rk}_R G$ and $n = \text{rk}_R F$. Let $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ and $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ be bases for G and F respectively, and $A = [r_{ij}]$ the matrix of ϕ with respect to this pair of bases. Show that $S^m \xrightarrow{f(A)} S^n \rightarrow f^*M \rightarrow 0$ is a finite free presentation of f^*M , where $f(A)$ is the matrix $[f(r_{ij})]$.

1.3. (5 marks) Verify the assertions about tensor product of algebras made in the review section on tensor products.

1.4. (15 marks) Let M and N be R -modules and let $M^* := \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$. There is a natural R -module morphism $\tau_{M,N} : M^* \otimes_R N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$, $f \otimes y \mapsto [x \mapsto f(x)y]$. Show that this is neither injective nor surjective in general by using the following example: $R = \mathbb{Z}/(4)$, $I = 2R$, $M = N = R/I$. However, prove the following to see some useful situations where it is injective or bijective.

(a) $\tau_{M,R}$ and $\tau_{R,N}$ are bijective.

(b) For finitely generated M , $\tau_{M,N}$ commutes with localization, i.e., for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$,

$$\tau_{M,N} \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \tau_{M_{\mathfrak{p}},N_{\mathfrak{p}}}$$

where in the right side, we consider them as $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules.

(c) Let $N_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ be R -modules, and $N = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda$. If τ_{M,N_λ} is injective for every λ , then $\tau_{M,N}$ is injective. Show that if Λ is a finite set and τ_{M,N_λ} is bijective for every λ , then $\tau_{M,N}$ is bijective.

(d) If N is projective, $\tau_{M,N}$ is injective. If N is finitely generated projective, then $\tau_{M,N}$ is an isomorphism.

(e) Let M_1, \dots, M_n be R -modules and $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$. Show that if each $\tau_{M_i,N}$ is bijective, then $\tau_{M,N}$ is bijective.

(f) If M is finitely generated projective, then $\tau_{M,N}$ is bijective.

(One can do this without localization; see [Bou98, Chapter II, §4, No. 2].)

2. DUE 2018-09-14 IN CLASS

2.1. (5 marks) Let

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} M_2 \xrightarrow{\beta} M_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of R -modules. Let P_1 and P_3 be projective modules with surjective maps $P_1 \xrightarrow{\epsilon_1} M_1$ and $P_3 \xrightarrow{\epsilon_3} M_3$. Show that there is a map $\epsilon'_3 : P_3 \longrightarrow M_2$ such that $\beta\epsilon'_3 = \epsilon_3$. Show that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & M_2 \\
 & \nearrow^{\alpha\epsilon_1} & \\
 P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_1 \oplus P_3 \\
 & \nearrow^{\epsilon'_3} & \\
 & P_3 &
 \end{array}$$

(Label all the unlabelled arrows.) Now show that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \epsilon_1 & & \downarrow \epsilon_2 & & \downarrow \epsilon_3 & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & M_2 & \longrightarrow & M_3 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

where the rows are exact, P_2 is projective and ϵ_2 is surjective.

2.2. (10 marks) Let F_\bullet be a flat resolution of M . Show that for every module N , $\text{Tor}_i(M, N) \simeq H_i(F_\bullet \otimes_R N)$.

2.3. (5 marks) Let $F \subseteq K$ be an algebraic extension of fields. An element $a \in K$ is said to be *separable* (over F) if its minimal polynomial $f[x]$ is separable, i.e., $(f, f') = F[x]$. K/F is said to be *purely inseparable* if for every $a \in K \setminus F$, a is not separable over F . Suppose that $F \neq K$. Show that the following are equivalent: K/F is purely inseparable; $\text{char } F = p > 0$ and for every $a \in K$, its minimal polynomial over F is of the form $x^{p^e} - b$ for some $b \in F$.

2.4. (5 marks) Let $a \in \overline{F}$, an algebraic closure of F . Show that if a is the only root (in \overline{F}) of its minimal polynomial over F , then $a \in F$ or $\text{char } F = p > 0$ and the minimal polynomial of a over F is of the form $x^{p^e} - b$ for some $b \in F$.

2.5. (5 marks) Let K/F be a normal extension, and $G = \text{Aut}_F(K)$. Show that K^G/F is purely inseparable.

2.6. (5 marks) Say that a morphism $R \longrightarrow S$ has the *going down property* if for every $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \in \text{Spec } R$ and for every $\mathfrak{q}_2 \in \text{Spec } S$ lying over \mathfrak{p}_2 , there exists $\mathfrak{q}_1 \in \text{Spec } S, \mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$ lying over \mathfrak{p}_1 . Show that $R \longrightarrow S$ has the going down property if and only if the induced map $\text{Spec } S_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow \text{Spec } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is surjective for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ and for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } S$ lying over \mathfrak{p} .

2.7. (5 marks) Show that if $R \longrightarrow S$ is faithfully flat (i.e., S is a flat R -module and for every non-zero R -module M , $S \otimes_R M$ is non-zero) then the map $\text{Spec } S \longrightarrow \text{Spec } R$ is surjective. Show that the conclusion does not hold for arbitrary flat maps.

2.8. (5 marks) Flat maps have the going down property.

2.9. (10 marks) Let $R := \mathbb{k}[t^2 - 1, t(t^2 - 1), z] \subseteq \mathbb{k}[t, z] =: S$. Show that S is the normalization of R (i.e., the integral closure in field of fractions). Show that this map does not have the going down property as follows: Consider this map as the map $\mathbb{k}^2 \longrightarrow \text{Spec } R$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Spec } R$ be the prime ideal corresponding to the image of the line $(t = z)$ inside \mathbb{k}^2 . Show that the image of $(-1, 1) \in \mathbb{k}^2$ is defined by a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_2 \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1$. There is no height one prime ideal \mathfrak{q}_1 that contracts to \mathfrak{p}_1 and is inside $(t + 1, z - 1)$.

- 2.10. (5 marks) Let L/K be a purely inseparable extension of fields, R normal domain with fraction field K and S its integral closure of R in L . Show that for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$, there exists a unique $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } S$ lying over \mathfrak{p} .
- 2.11. (5 marks) Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ where x, y are variables. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ act on $\mathbb{C}(x, y)$ with $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ sending $x \mapsto \exp \frac{2\pi i}{n} x, y \mapsto \exp \frac{2\pi i}{n} y$. Let $R = S \cap \mathbb{C}(x, y)^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$. Find the orbits of this action on $\mathbb{C}^2 = \max \text{Spec } S$. Determine $(x-1, y-1) \cap R$ and the primes of S lying over it.
- 2.12. Read [Lan02, Chapter VI, Section 5] about trace.
- 2.13. Read [HS06, Section 3.1] (available online at Swanson's home-page) about separable extensions and integral closure.

3. 2018-10-08 IN CLASS

- 3.1. (5 marks) Let $\mu : R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} R \rightarrow R$ be the map given by $\mu(a \otimes b) = ab$. Show that $\ker \mu$ is the $R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} R$ -ideal generated by $\{a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a \mid a \in R\}$.
- 3.2. (15 marks) Let $d \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{k}}(R, M)$. Show the following:
- $d(1) = 0$; for every $a \in \mathbb{k}, da = 0$,
 - Show that $\ker d$ is a subring A of R and that $d \in \text{Der}_A(R, M)$.
 - Show that $d(x^n) = nx^{n-1}dx$. Suppose that $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = n > 0$. Then $r^n \in \ker d$ for every $r \in R$.
 - Suppose that $M = R$ and that \mathbb{k} is of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Show that $d^p := \underbrace{d \circ d \circ \cdots \circ d}_{p \text{ times}} \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{k}}(R)$.
 - Show that if s is invertible in R , then $dr s^{-1} = s^{-2}(sdr - rds)$.
 - Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{k}$ be a multiplicatively closed set such the map $\mathbb{k} \rightarrow R$ factors through the map $\mathbb{k} \rightarrow W^{-1}\mathbb{k}$. Show that $d \in \text{Der}_{W^{-1}\mathbb{k}}(R, M)$.
- 3.3. (5 marks) Show that the maps i and π between R and $R \rtimes M$ defined in class give isomorphisms between $\text{Spec } R$ and $\text{Spec}(R \rtimes M)$.
- 3.4. (5 marks) Write $\mathcal{H} = \{h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}\text{-alg}}(R, R \rtimes M) \mid \pi \circ h = \text{id}_R\}$. Show that the map $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{k}}(R, M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, f \mapsto \hat{f}$ is a bijective correspondence.
- 3.5. (5 marks) Show that if R is generated as a \mathbb{k} -algebra by a subset $A \subseteq R$, then $\Omega_{R/\mathbb{k}}$ is generated by $\{dr \mid r \in A\}$ as an R -module.
- 3.6. (5 marks) Let \mathbb{k} be a field of characteristic $p > 0, R = \mathbb{k}[x^p]$ and $S = \mathbb{k}[x]$. Determine the modules and maps in the first fundamental exact sequence for $\mathbb{k} \rightarrow R \rightarrow S$.
- 3.7. (10 points) Determine $\Omega_{(R \rtimes M)/R}$ for the map $\iota : R \rightarrow R \rtimes M, r \mapsto (r, 0)$ and for the map $\tilde{d} : R \rightarrow R \rtimes M, r \mapsto (r, dr)$ where $d : R \rightarrow M$ is a derivation.
- 3.8. (10 points) Prove the following statement using [Mat89, Theorem 26.5] or [Eis95, Theorem 16.14]: Let L/\mathbb{k} be an extension of fields, finitely generated if $\text{char } \mathbb{k} > 0$; if $\Omega_{L/\mathbb{k}} = 0$, then L/\mathbb{k} is algebraic and separable.
- 3.9. (5 marks) Let S/R be an unramified extension. Show the following:
- For every R -ideal $I, R/I \rightarrow S/IS$ is unramified.
 - For every multiplicatively closed $U \subseteq R, U^{-1}R \rightarrow U^{-1}S$ is unramified.
- 3.10. (5 marks) Let S be an R -algebra. Show that S/R is unramified if and only if for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R, S \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is a separable $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -algebra.

REFERENCES

- [Bou98] N. Bourbaki. *Algebra I. Chapters 1–3*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1989 English translation [MR0979982 (90d:00002)]. [1](#)
- [Eis95] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra, with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. [3](#)
- [HS06] C. Huneke and I. Swanson. *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, volume 336 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. [3](#)
- [Lan02] S. Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002. [3](#)
- [Mat89] H. Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*, volume 8 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1989. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. [3](#)

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SIRUSERI, TAMILNADU 603103. INDIA

E-mail address: mkummini@cmi.ac.in