NPTEL MOOC PROGRAMMING, DATA STRUCTURES AND ALGORITHMS IN PYTHON

Week 1, Lecture 2

Madhavan Mukund, Chennai Mathematical Institute http://www.cmi.ac.in/~madhavan

An algorithm for gcd(m,n)

- * Use fm, fn for list of factors of m, n, respectively
- * For each i from 1 to m, add i to fm if i divides m
- * For each j from 1 to n, add j to fn if j divides n
- * Use cf for list of common factors
- * For each f in fm, add f to cf if f also appears in fn
- Return largest (rightmost) value in cf

Can we do better?

- We scan from 1 to m to compute fm and again from 1 to n to compute fn
- * Why not a single scan from 1 to max(m,n)?
 - * For each i in 1 to max(m,n), add i to fm if i divides m and add i to fn if i divides n

Even better?

- * Why compute two lists and then compare them to compute common factors cf? Do it in one shot.
 - * For each i in 1 to max(m,n), if i divides m and i also divides n, then add i to cf
- * Actually, any common factor must be less than min(m,n)
 - * For each i in 1 to min(m,n), if i divides m and i also divides n, then add i to cf

A shorter Python program

```
def gcd(m,n):
```

```
cf = []
for i in range(1,min(m,n)+1):
    if (m%i) == 0 and (n%i) == 0:
        cf.append(i)
```

return(cf[-1])

Do we need lists at all?

- * We only need the largest common factor
- * 1 will always be a common factor
- Each time we find a larger common factor, discard the previous one
- Remember the largest common factor seen so far and return it
 - * mrcf most recent common factor

No lists!

def gcd(m,n):

```
for i in range(1,min(m,n)+1):
    if (m%i) == 0 and (n%i) == 0:
    mrcf = i
```

return(mrcf)

Scan backwards?

- To find the largest common factor, start at the end and work backwards
- * Let i run from min(m,n) to 1
- * First common factor that we find will be gcd!

```
No lists!
```

```
def gcd(m,n):
```

```
i = min(m,n)
```

```
while i > 0:
    if (m%i) == 0 and (n%i) == 0:
        return(i)
    else:
        i = i-1
```

A new kind of repetition

while condition:
 step 1
 step 2
 ...
 step k

- * Don't know in advance how many times we will repeat the steps
- Should be careful to ensure the loop terminates eventually the condition should become false!

Summary

- * With a little thought, we have dramatically simplified our naive algorithm
- * Though the newer versions are simpler, they still take time proportional to the values m and n
- * A much more efficient approach is possible