

NPTEL MOOC, JAN-FEB 2015
Week 2, Module 6

DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

Merge sort: Analysis

MADHAVAN MUKUND, CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
<http://www.cmi.ac.in/~madhavan>

Merging sorted lists

Combine two sorted lists A and B into C

- * If A is empty, copy B into C
- * If B is empty, copy A into C
- * Otherwise, compare first element of A and B and move the smaller of the two into C
- * Repeat until all elements in A and B have been moved

Merging

```
function Merge(A,m,B,n,C)
    // Merge A[0..m-1], B[0..n-1] into C[0..m+n-1]

    i = 0; j = 0; k = 0;
    // Current positions in A,B,C respectively

    while (k < m+n)
        // Case 1: Move head of A into C
        if (j==n or A[i] <= B[j])
            C[k] = A[i]; i++; k++

        // Case 2: Move head of B into C
        if (i==m or A[i] > B[j])
            C[k] = B[j]; j++; k++
```


Analysis of Merge

How much time does Merge take?

- * Merge A of size m, B of size n into C
- * In each iteration, we add one element to C
 - * At most 7 basic operations per iteration
 - * Size of C is $m+n$
 - * $m+n \leq 2 \max(m,n)$
- * Hence $O(\max(m,n)) = O(n)$ if $m \approx n$

Merge Sort

To sort $A[0..n-1]$ into $B[0..n-1]$

- * If n is 1, nothing to be done
- * Otherwise
 - * Sort $A[0..n/2-1]$ into L (left)
 - * Sort $A[n/2..n-1]$ into R (right)
 - * Merge L and R into B

Analysis of Merge Sort ...

- * $t(n)$: time taken by Merge Sort on input of size n
 - * Assume, for simplicity, that $n = 2^k$
- * $t(n) = 2t(n/2) + n$
 - * Two subproblems of size $n/2$
 - * Merging solutions requires time $O(n/2 + n/2) = O(n)$
- * Solve the recurrence by unwinding

Analysis of Merge Sort ...

Analysis of Merge Sort ...

- * $t(1) = 1$

Analysis of Merge Sort ...

- * $t(1) = 1$
- * $t(n) = 2t(n/2) + n$

Analysis of Merge Sort ...

- * $t(1) = 1$

- * $t(n) = 2t(n/2) + n$

$$= 2 [2t(n/4) + n/2] + n = 2^2 t(n/2^2) + 2n$$

Analysis of Merge Sort ...

- * $t(1) = 1$

- * $t(n) = 2t(n/2) + n$

$$= 2 [2t(n/4) + n/2] + n = 2^2 t(n/2^2) + 2n$$

$$= 2^2 [2t(n/2^3) + n/2^2] + 2n = 2^3 t(n/2^3) + 3n$$

...

Analysis of Merge Sort ...

- * $t(1) = 1$

- * $t(n) = 2t(n/2) + n$

$$= 2 [2t(n/4) + n/2] + n = 2^2 t(n/2^2) + 2n$$

$$= 2^2 [2t(n/2^3) + n/2^2] + 2n = 2^3 t(n/2^3) + 3n$$

...

$$= 2^j t(n/2^j) + jn$$

Analysis of Merge Sort ...

- * $t(1) = 1$

- * $t(n) = 2t(n/2) + n$

$$= 2 [2t(n/4) + n/2] + n = 2^2 t(n/2^2) + 2n$$

$$= 2^2 [2t(n/2^3) + n/2^2] + 2n = 2^3 t(n/2^3) + 3n$$

...

$$= 2^j t(n/2^j) + jn$$

- * When $j = \log n$, $n/2^j = 1$, so $t(n/2^j) = 1$

Analysis of Merge Sort ...

- * $t(1) = 1$

- * $t(n) = 2t(n/2) + n$

$$= 2 [2t(n/4) + n/2] + n = 2^2 t(n/2^2) + 2n$$

$$= 2^2 [2t(n/2^3) + n/2^2] + 2n = 2^3 t(n/2^3) + 3n$$

...

$$= 2^j t(n/2^j) + jn$$

- * When $j = \log n$, $n/2^j = 1$, so $t(n/2^j) = 1$

- * $\log n$ means $\log_2 n$ unless otherwise specified!

Analysis of Merge Sort ...

- * $t(1) = 1$

- * $t(n) = 2t(n/2) + n$

$$= 2 [2t(n/4) + n/2] + n = 2^2 t(n/2^2) + 2n$$

$$= 2^2 [2t(n/2^3) + n/2^2] + 2n = 2^3 t(n/2^3) + 3n$$

...

$$= 2^j t(n/2^j) + jn$$

- * When $j = \log n$, $n/2^j = 1$, so $t(n/2^j) = 1$

- * $\log n$ means $\log_2 n$ unless otherwise specified!

- * $t(n) = 2^j t(n/2^j) + jn = 2^{\log n} + (\log n) n = n + n \log n = O(n \log n)$

$O(n \log n)$ sorting

- * Recall that $O(n \log n)$ is much more efficient than $O(n^2)$
- * Assuming 10^8 operations per second, feasible input size goes from 10,000 to 10,000,000 (10 million or 1 crore)

Variations on merge

- * Union of two sorted lists (discard duplicates)
 - * If $A[i] == B[j]$, copy $A[i]$ to $C[k]$ and increment i, j, k
- * Intersection of two sorted lists
 - * If $A[i] < B[j]$, increment i
 - * If $B[j] < A[i]$, increment j
 - * If $A[i] == B[j]$, copy $A[i]$ to $C[k]$ and increment i, j, k
- * **Exercise:** List difference: elements in A but not in B

Merge Sort: Shortcomings

- * Merging A and B creates a new array C
 - * No obvious way to efficiently merge in place
- * Extra storage can be costly
- * Inherently recursive
 - * Recursive call and return are expensive

Alternative approach

- * Extra space is required to merge
- * Merging happens because elements in left half must move right and vice versa
- * Can we divide so that everything to the left is smaller than everything to the right?
 - * No need to merge!