

# Principles of Program Analysis:

## Data Flow Analysis

Transparencies based on Chapter 2 of the book: Flemming Nielson, Hanne Riis Nielson and Chris Hankin: [Principles of Program Analysis](#). Springer Verlag 2005. ©Flemming Nielson & Hanne Riis Nielson & Chris Hankin.

# Theoretical Properties

- Structural Operational Semantics
- Correctness of Live Variables Analysis

# The Semantics

A *state* is a mapping from variables to integers:

$$\sigma \in \mathbf{State} = \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$$

The semantics of arithmetic and boolean expressions

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{AExp} \rightarrow (\mathbf{State} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}) \quad (\text{no errors allowed})$$

$$\mathcal{B} : \mathbf{BExp} \rightarrow (\mathbf{State} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}) \quad (\text{no errors allowed})$$

The *transitions* of the semantics are of the form

$$\langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \text{and} \quad \langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle S', \sigma' \rangle$$

# Transitions

$$\langle [x := a]^\ell, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[a]]\sigma]$$

$$\langle [\text{skip}]^\ell, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$\frac{\langle S_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle S'_1, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle S_1; S_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle S'_1; S_2, \sigma' \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle S_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle S_1; S_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle S_2, \sigma' \rangle}$$

$$\langle \text{if } [b]^\ell \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle S_1, \sigma \rangle \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = \text{true}$$

$$\langle \text{if } [b]^\ell \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle S_2, \sigma \rangle \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = \text{false}$$

$$\langle \text{while } [b]^\ell \text{ do } S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle (S; \text{while } [b]^\ell \text{ do } S), \sigma \rangle \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = \text{true}$$

$$\langle \text{while } [b]^\ell \text{ do } S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = \text{false}$$

## Example:

$\langle [y:=x]^1; [z:=1]^2; \text{while } [y>1]^3 \text{ do } ([z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5); [y:=0]^6, \sigma_{300} \rangle$   
→  $\langle [z:=1]^2; \text{while } [y>1]^3 \text{ do } ([z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5); [y:=0]^6, \sigma_{330} \rangle$   
→  $\langle \text{while } [y>1]^3 \text{ do } ([z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5); [y:=0]^6, \sigma_{331} \rangle$   
→  $\langle [z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5;$   
     $\text{while } [y>1]^3 \text{ do } ([z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5); [y:=0]^6, \sigma_{331} \rangle$   
→  $\langle [y:=y-1]^5; \text{while } [y>1]^3 \text{ do } ([z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5); [y:=0]^6, \sigma_{333} \rangle$   
→  $\langle \text{while } [y>1]^3 \text{ do } ([z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5); [y:=0]^6, \sigma_{323} \rangle$   
→  $\langle [z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5;$   
     $\text{while } [y>1]^3 \text{ do } ([z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5); [y:=0]^6, \sigma_{323} \rangle$   
→  $\langle [y:=y-1]^5; \text{while } [y>1]^3 \text{ do } ([z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5); [y:=0]^6, \sigma_{326} \rangle$   
→  $\langle \text{while } [y>1]^3 \text{ do } ([z:=z*y]^4; [y:=y-1]^5); [y:=0]^6, \sigma_{316} \rangle$   
→  $\langle [y:=0]^6, \sigma_{316} \rangle$   
→  $\sigma_{306}$

# Live Variables Analysis

A variable is *live* at the exit from a label if there is a path from the label to a use of the variable that does not re-define the variable.

The aim of the *Live Variables Analysis* is to determine

For each program point, which variables may be live at the exit from the point.

## Example:

point of interest



$[x := 2]^1; [y := 4]^2; [x := 1]^3; (\text{if } [y > x]^4 \text{ then } [z := y]^5 \text{ else } [z := y * y]^6); [x := z]^7$

The analysis enables a transformation into

$[y := 4]^2; [x := 1]^3; (\text{if } [y > x]^4 \text{ then } [z := y]^5 \text{ else } [z := y * y]^6); [x := z]^7$

# Live Variables Analysis

*kill* and *gen* functions

---

$$\textit{kill}_{LV}([x := a]^\ell) = \{x\}$$

$$\textit{kill}_{LV}([\text{skip}]^\ell) = \emptyset$$

$$\textit{kill}_{LV}([b]^\ell) = \emptyset$$

$$\textit{gen}_{LV}([x := a]^\ell) = FV(a)$$

$$\textit{gen}_{LV}([\text{skip}]^\ell) = \emptyset$$

$$\textit{gen}_{LV}([b]^\ell) = FV(b)$$

data flow equations:  $LV^=$

---

$$LV_{exit}(\ell) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \ell \in \textit{final}(S_\star) \\ \bigcup \{LV_{entry}(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in \textit{flow}^R(S_\star)\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$LV_{entry}(\ell) = (LV_{exit}(\ell) \setminus \textit{kill}_{LV}(B^\ell)) \cup \textit{gen}_{LV}(B^\ell)$$

where  $B^\ell \in \textit{blocks}(S_\star)$

# Equations and Constraints

Equation system  $LV^=(S_\star)$ :

$$LV_{exit}(\ell) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \ell \in \text{final}(S_\star) \\ \bigcup \{LV_{entry}(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in \text{flow}^R(S_\star)\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$LV_{entry}(\ell) = (LV_{exit}(\ell) \setminus \text{kill}_{LV}(B^\ell)) \cup \text{gen}_{LV}(B^\ell)$$

where  $B^\ell \in \text{blocks}(S_\star)$

Constraint system  $LV^\subseteq(S_\star)$ :

$$LV_{exit}(\ell) \supseteq \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \ell \in \text{final}(S_\star) \\ \bigcup \{LV_{entry}(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in \text{flow}^R(S_\star)\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$LV_{entry}(\ell) \supseteq (LV_{exit}(\ell) \setminus \text{kill}_{LV}(B^\ell)) \cup \text{gen}_{LV}(B^\ell)$$

where  $B^\ell \in \text{blocks}(S_\star)$



## Lemma

Each solution to the equation system  $LV^=(S_*)$  is also a solution to the constraint system  $LV^⊆(S_*)$ .

**Proof:** Trivial.

## Lemma


The **least** solution to the equation system  $LV^=(S_*)$  is also the **least** solution to the constraint system  $LV^⊆(S_*)$ .

**Proof:** Use Tarski's Theorem.

**Naive Proof:** Proceed by contradiction. Suppose some LHS is strictly greater than the RHS. Replace the LHS by the RHS in the solution. Argue that you still have a solution. This establishes the desired contradiction.

## Lemma

A solution *live* to the constraint system is preserved during computation



**Proof:** requires a lot of machinery — see the book.

## Correctness Relation

$$\sigma_1 \sim_V \sigma_2$$

means that for all practical purposes the two states  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are equal: only the values of the live variables of  $V$  matters and here the two states are equal.

### Example:


Consider the statement  $[x:=y+z]^\ell$

Let  $V_1 = \{y, z\}$ . Then  $\sigma_1 \sim_{V_1} \sigma_2$  means  $\sigma_1(y) = \sigma_2(y) \wedge \sigma_1(z) = \sigma_2(z)$

Let  $V_2 = \{x\}$ . Then  $\sigma_1 \sim_{V_2} \sigma_2$  means  $\sigma_1(x) = \sigma_2(x)$

# Correctness Theorem

The relation “ $\sim$ ” is *invariant* under computation: the live variables for the initial configuration remain live throughout the computation.




# Interprocedural Analysis

- The problem
- MVP: “Meet” over Valid Paths
- Making context explicit
- Context based on call-strings
- Context based on assumption sets

(A restricted treatment; see the book for a more general treatment.)

# The Problem: match entries with exits

```
proc fib(val z, u; res v)
```



# Preliminaries

## Syntax for procedures

Programs:  $P_{\star} = \text{begin } D_{\star} \ S_{\star} \ \text{end}$

Declarations:  $D ::= D; D \mid \text{proc } p(\text{val } x; \text{res } y) \text{ is}^{\ell_n} S \text{ end}^{\ell_x}$

Statements:  $S ::= \dots \mid [\text{call } p(a, z)]^{\ell_c}_{\ell_r}$

## Example:

```
begin  proc fib(val z, u; res v) is1
      if [z<3]2 then [v:=u+1]3
      else ([call fib(z-1,u,v)]45; [call fib(z-2,v,v)]67)
      end8;
      [call fib(x,0,y)]910
end
```

# Flow graphs for procedure calls

$$\text{init}([\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}) = \ell_c$$

$$\text{final}([\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}) = \{\ell_r\}$$

$$\text{blocks}([\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}) = \{[\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}\}$$

$$\text{labels}([\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}) = \{\ell_c, \ell_r\}$$

$$\text{flow}([\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}) = \{(\ell_c; \ell_n), (\ell_x; \ell_r)\}$$

if  $\text{proc } p(\text{val } x; \text{res } y) \text{ is}^{\ell_n} S \text{ end}^{\ell_x}$  is in  $D_\star$

- $(\ell_c; \ell_n)$  is the flow corresponding to *calling* a procedure at  $\ell_c$  and entering the procedure body at  $\ell_n$ , and
- $(\ell_x; \ell_r)$  is the flow corresponding to exiting a procedure body at  $\ell_x$  and *returning* to the call at  $\ell_r$ .



## Flow graphs for procedure declarations

For each procedure declaration  $\text{proc } p(\text{val } x; \text{res } y) \text{ is}^{\ell_n} S \text{ end}^{\ell_x}$  of  $D_\star$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{init}(p) &= \ell_n \\ \text{final}(p) &= \{\ell_x\} \\ \text{blocks}(p) &= \{\text{is}^{\ell_n}, \text{end}^{\ell_x}\} \cup \text{blocks}(S) \\ \text{labels}(p) &= \{\ell_n, \ell_x\} \cup \text{labels}(S) \\ \text{flow}(p) &= \{(\ell_n, \text{init}(S))\} \cup \text{flow}(S) \cup \{(\ell, \ell_x) \mid \ell \in \text{final}(S)\} \end{aligned}$$

# Flow graphs for programs

For the program  $P_\star = \text{begin } D_\star \ S_\star \ \text{end}$ :

$$\text{init}_\star = \text{init}(S_\star)$$

$$\text{final}_\star = \text{final}(S_\star)$$

$$\text{blocks}_\star = \bigcup \{ \text{blocks}(p) \mid \text{proc } p(\text{val } x; \text{res } y) \text{ is}^{\ell_n} S \text{ end}^{\ell_x} \text{ is in } D_\star \} \\ \cup \text{blocks}(S_\star)$$

$$\text{labels}_\star = \bigcup \{ \text{labels}(p) \mid \text{proc } p(\text{val } x; \text{res } y) \text{ is}^{\ell_n} S \text{ end}^{\ell_x} \text{ is in } D_\star \} \\ \cup \text{labels}(S_\star)$$

$$\text{flow}_\star = \bigcup \{ \text{flow}(p) \mid \text{proc } p(\text{val } x; \text{res } y) \text{ is}^{\ell_n} S \text{ end}^{\ell_x} \text{ is in } D_\star \} \\ \cup \text{flow}(S_\star)$$

$$\text{interflow}_\star = \{ (\ell_c, \ell_n, \ell_x, \ell_r) \mid \text{proc } p(\text{val } x; \text{res } y) \text{ is}^{\ell_n} S \text{ end}^{\ell_x} \text{ is in } D_\star \\ \text{and } [\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c} \text{ is in } S_\star \}$$

## Example:

```
begin  proc fib(val z, u; res v) is1
        if [z<3]2 then [v:=u+1]3
        else ([call fib(z-1,u,v)]45; [call fib(z-2,v,v)]67)
        end8;
        [call fib(x,0,y)]910
end
```

We have

$$\text{flow}_\star = \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 8), \\ (2, 4), (4; 1), (8; 5), (5, 6), (6; 1), (8; 7), (7, 8), \\ (9; 1), (8; 10)\}$$

$$\text{interflow}_\star = \{(9, 1, 8, 10), (4, 1, 8, 5), (6, 1, 8, 7)\}$$

and  $\text{init}_\star = 9$  and  $\text{final}_\star = \{10\}$ .

## A naive formulation

Treat the three kinds of flow in the same way:

flow	treat as
$(\ell_1, \ell_2)$	$(\ell_1, \ell_2)$
$(\ell_c; \ell_n)$	$(\ell_c, \ell_n)$
$(\ell_x; \ell_r)$	$(\ell_x, \ell_r)$

Equation system:

$$A_{\bullet}(\ell) = f_{\ell}(A_{\circ}(\ell))$$

$$A_{\circ}(\ell) = \bigsqcup \{A_{\bullet}(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in F \text{ or } (\ell', \ell) \in F \text{ or } (\ell', \ell) \in F\} \sqcup \iota_E^{\ell}$$

But there is no matching between entries and exits.

# MVP: “Meet” over Valid Paths

## Complete Paths

We need to match procedure entries and exits:

A *complete path* from  $\ell_1$  to  $\ell_2$  in  $P_\star$  has proper nesting of procedure entries and exits; and a procedure returns to the point where it was called:

$$\begin{array}{ll} CP_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \longrightarrow \ell_1 & \text{whenever } \ell_1 = \ell_2 \\ CP_{\ell_1, \ell_3} \longrightarrow \ell_1, CP_{\ell_2, \ell_3} & \text{whenever } (\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \text{flow}_\star \\ CP_{\ell_c, \ell} \longrightarrow \ell_c, CP_{\ell_n, \ell_x}, CP_{\ell_r, \ell} & \text{whenever } P_\star \text{ contains } [\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c} \\ & \text{and } \text{proc } p(\text{val } x; \text{res } y) \text{ is } \ell_n S \text{ end } \ell_x \end{array}$$

More generally: whenever  $(\ell_c, \ell_n, \ell_x, \ell_r)$  is an element of  $\text{interflow}_\star$  (or  $\text{interflow}_\star^R$  for backward analyses); see the book.

# Valid Paths

A *valid path* starts at the entry node  $init_\star$  of  $P_\star$ , all the procedure exits match the procedure entries but some procedures might be entered but not yet exited:

$VP_\star \longrightarrow VP_{init_\star, \ell}$	whenever $\ell \in \mathbf{Lab}_\star$
$VP_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \longrightarrow \ell_1$	whenever $\ell_1 = \ell_2$
$VP_{\ell_1, \ell_3} \longrightarrow \ell_1, VP_{\ell_2, \ell_3}$	whenever $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in flow_\star$
$VP_{\ell_c, \ell} \longrightarrow \ell_c, CP_{\ell_n, \ell_x}, VP_{\ell_r, \ell}$	whenever $P_\star$ contains $[call\ p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}$ and $proc\ p(val\ x; res\ y)\ is^{\ell_n}\ S\ end^{\ell_x}$
$VP_{\ell_c, \ell} \longrightarrow \ell_c, VP_{\ell_n, \ell}$	whenever $P_\star$ contains $[call\ p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}$ and $proc\ p(val\ x; res\ y)\ is^{\ell_n}\ S\ end^{\ell_x}$

## The MVP solution

$$MVP_{\circ}(\ell) = \bigsqcup \{f_{\vec{\ell}}(\iota) \mid \vec{\ell} \in vpath_{\circ}(\ell)\}$$

$$MVP_{\bullet}(\ell) = \bigsqcup \{f_{\vec{\ell}}(\iota) \mid \vec{\ell} \in vpath_{\bullet}(\ell)\}$$

where

$$vpath_{\circ}(\ell) = \{[\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}] \mid n \geq 1 \wedge \ell_n = \ell \wedge [\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n] \text{ is a valid path}\}$$

$$vpath_{\bullet}(\ell) = \{[\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n] \mid n \geq 1 \wedge \ell_n = \ell \wedge [\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n] \text{ is a valid path}\}$$

The MVP solution may be undecidable for lattices satisfying the Ascending Chain Condition, just as was the case for the MOP solution.

# Making Context Explicit

Starting point: an instance  $(L, \mathcal{F}, F, E, \iota, f.)$  of a Monotone Framework

- the analysis is **forwards**, i.e.  $F = \text{flow}_\star$  and  $E = \{\text{init}_\star\}$ ;
- the complete lattice is a powerset, i.e.  $L = \mathcal{P}(D)$ ;
- the transfer functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  are completely additive; and
- each  $f_\ell$  is given by  $f_\ell(Y) = \bigcup \{ \phi_\ell(d) \mid d \in Y \}$  where  $\phi_\ell : D \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)$ .

(A restricted treatment; see the book for a more general treatment.)



# An embellished monotone framework

- $L' = \mathcal{P}(\Delta \times D)$ ;
- the transfer functions in  $\mathcal{F}'$  are completely additive; and
- each  $f'_\ell$  is given by  $f'_\ell(Z) = \bigcup \{ \{\delta\} \times \phi_\ell(d) \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \}$ .

Ignoring procedures, the data flow equations will take the form:

$$A_\bullet(\ell) = f'_\ell(A_\circ(\ell))$$

for all labels that do not label a procedure call

$$A_\circ(\ell) = \bigsqcup \{ A_\bullet(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in F \text{ or } (\ell'; \ell) \in F \} \sqcup \iota_E^\ell$$

for all labels (including those that label procedure calls)

## Example:

Detection of Signs Analysis as a Monotone Framework:

$(L_{\text{sign}}, \mathcal{F}_{\text{sign}}, F, E, \iota_{\text{sign}}, f^{\text{sign}})$  where  $\mathbf{Sign} = \{-, 0, +\}$  and

$$L_{\text{sign}} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Var}_{\star} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sign})$$

The transfer function  $f_{\ell}^{\text{sign}}$  associated with the assignment  $[x := a]^{\ell}$  is

$$f_{\ell}^{\text{sign}}(Y) = \bigcup \{ \phi_{\ell}^{\text{sign}}(\sigma^{\text{sign}}) \mid \sigma^{\text{sign}} \in Y \}$$

where  $Y \subseteq \mathbf{Var}_{\star} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sign}$  and

$$\phi_{\ell}^{\text{sign}}(\sigma^{\text{sign}}) = \{ \sigma^{\text{sign}}[x \mapsto s] \mid s \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sign}}[a](\sigma^{\text{sign}}) \}$$

## Example (cont.):

Detection of Signs Analysis as an embellished monotone framework

$$L'_{\text{sign}} = \mathcal{P}(\Delta \times (\text{Var}_\star \rightarrow \text{Sign}))$$

The transfer function associated with  $[x := a]^\ell$  will now be:

$$f_\ell^{\text{sign}'}(Z) = \bigcup \{ \{\delta\} \times \phi_\ell^{\text{sign}}(\sigma^{\text{sign}}) \mid (\delta, \sigma^{\text{sign}}) \in Z \}$$

# Transfer functions for procedure declarations

Procedure declarations

$$\text{proc } p(\text{val } x; \text{res } y) \text{ is}^{\ell_n} S \text{ end}^{\ell_x}$$

have two transfer functions, one for entry and one for exit:

$$f_{\ell_n}, f_{\ell_x} : \mathcal{P}(\Delta \times D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta \times D)$$

For simplicity we take both to be the identity function (thus incorporating procedure entry as part of procedure call, and procedure exit as part of procedure return).

# Transfer functions for procedure calls

Procedure calls  $[\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}$  have two transfer functions:

For the *procedure call*

$$f_{\ell_c}^1 : \mathcal{P}(\Delta \times D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta \times D)$$

and it is used in the equation:

$$A_{\bullet}(\ell_c) = f_{\ell_c}^1(A_o(\ell_c)) \text{ for all procedure calls } [\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}$$

For the *procedure return*


$$f_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2 : \boxed{\mathcal{P}(\Delta \times D)} \times \mathcal{P}(\Delta \times D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta \times D)$$

and it is used in the equation:


$$A_{\bullet}(\ell_r) = f_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(\boxed{A_o(\ell_c)}, A_o(\ell_r)) \text{ for all procedure calls } [\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_r}^{\ell_c}$$

(Note that  $A_o(\ell_r)$  will equal  $A_{\bullet}(\ell_x)$  for the relevant procedure exit.)

# Procedure calls and returns




## Variation 1: ignore calling context upon return



$$f^1_{\ell_c}(Z) = \bigcup \{ \{\delta'\} \times \phi^1_{\ell_c}(d) \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \wedge \delta' = \dots \delta \dots d \dots Z \dots \}$$

$$f^2_{\ell_c, \ell_r}(Z, Z') = f^2_{\ell_r}(Z')$$

## Variation 2: joining contexts upon return



$$f_{l_c}^1(Z) = \bigcup \{ \{\delta'\} \times \phi_{l_c}^1(d) \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \wedge \delta' = \dots \delta \dots d \dots Z \dots \}$$

$$f_{l_c, l_r}^2(Z, Z') = f_{l_c, l_r}^{2A}(Z) \sqcup f_{l_c, l_r}^{2B}(Z')$$



# Different Kinds of Context

- **Call Strings** — contexts based on control
  - Call strings of unbounded length
  - Call strings of bounded length ( $k$ )
- **Assumption Sets** — contexts based on data
  - Large assumption sets ( $k = 1$ )
  - Small assumption sets ( $k = 1$ )

## Call Strings of Unbounded Length

$$\Delta = \text{Lab}^*$$

## Transfer functions for procedure call

$$f_{\ell_c}^1(Z) = \bigcup \{ \{\delta'\} \times \phi_{\ell_c}^1(d) \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \wedge \delta' = [\delta, \ell_c] \}$$

$$f_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(Z, Z') = \bigcup \{ \{\delta\} \times \phi_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(d, d') \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \wedge (\delta', d') \in Z' \wedge \delta' = [\delta, \ell_c] \}$$

## Example:

Recalling the statements:

`proc  $p(\text{val } x; \text{res } y)$  is $\ell_n$   $S$  end $\ell_x$                        $[\text{call } p(a, z)]_{\ell_c}^{\ell_r}$`

Detection of Signs Analysis:

$$\phi_{\ell_c}^{\text{sign1}}(\sigma^{\text{sign}}) = \{\sigma^{\text{sign}} \overbrace{[x \mapsto s][y \mapsto s']}^{\text{initialise formals}} \mid s \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sign}}[a](\sigma^{\text{sign}}), s' \in \{-, 0, +\}\}$$

$$\phi_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^{\text{sign2}}(\sigma_1^{\text{sign}}, \sigma_2^{\text{sign}}) = \{\sigma_2^{\text{sign}} \underbrace{[x \mapsto \sigma_1^{\text{sign}}(x)][y \mapsto \sigma_1^{\text{sign}}(y)]}_{\text{restore formals}} \underbrace{[z \mapsto \sigma_2^{\text{sign}}(y)]}_{\text{return result}}\}$$

## Call Strings of Bounded Length

$$\Delta = \text{Lab}^{\leq k}$$

## Transfer functions for procedure call

$$f_{\ell_c}^1(Z) = \bigcup \{ \{\delta'\} \times \phi_{\ell_c}^1(d) \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \wedge \delta' = [\delta, \ell_c]_k \}$$

$$f_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(Z, Z') = \bigcup \{ \{\delta\} \times \phi_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(d, d') \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \wedge (\delta', d') \in Z' \wedge \delta' = [\delta, \ell_c]_k \}$$

A special case: call strings of length  $k = 0$

$$\Delta = \{\wedge\}$$

Note: this is equivalent to having no context information!

Specialising the transfer functions:

$$f_{\ell_c}^1(Y) = \bigcup \{\phi_{\ell_c}^1(d) \mid d \in Y\}$$

$$f_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(Y, Y') = \bigcup \{\phi_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(d, d') \mid d \in Y \wedge d' \in Y'\}$$

(We use that  $\mathcal{P}(\Delta \times D)$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{P}(D)$ .)

A special case: call strings of length  $k = 1$

$$\Delta = \mathbf{Lab} \cup \{\Lambda\}$$

Specialising the transfer functions:

$$f_{\ell_c}^1(Z) = \bigcup \{ \{\ell_c\} \times \phi_{\ell_c}^1(d) \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \}$$

$$f_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(Z, Z') = \bigcup \{ \{\delta\} \times \phi_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(d, d') \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \wedge (\ell_c, d') \in Z' \}$$

## Large Assumption Sets ( $k = 1$ )

$$\Delta = \mathcal{P}(D)$$

### Transfer functions for procedure call

$$f_{\ell_c}^1(Z) = \bigcup \{ \{\delta'\} \times \phi_{\ell_c}^1(d) \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \wedge \delta' = \{d'' \mid (\delta, d'') \in Z\} \}$$

$$f_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(Z, Z') = \bigcup \{ \{\delta\} \times \phi_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(d, d') \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \wedge (\delta', d') \in Z' \wedge \delta' = \{d'' \mid (\delta, d'') \in Z\} \}$$

## Small Assumption Sets ( $k = 1$ )

$$\Delta = D$$

Transfer function for procedure call

$$f_{\ell_c}^1(Z) = \bigcup \{ \{d\} \times \phi_{\ell_c}^1(d) \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \}$$

$$f_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(Z, Z') = \bigcup \{ \{\delta\} \times \phi_{\ell_c, \ell_r}^2(d, d') \mid (\delta, d) \in Z \wedge (d, d') \in Z' \}$$