Interprocedural Optimisation Seminar Static Program Analysis

Barbara Dörr

Sources:

Übersetzerbau - Analyse und Transformation (H. Seidl, R. Wilhelm, S. Hack) Principles of Program Analysis (F. Nielson, H.R. Nielson, C. Hankin)

12. März 2010

Operational Semantic Fu

Functional Approach

Forms of Program Optimisation

Program Optimisation

Intraprocedural Optimisation: optimise each function separately

explicitly model function calls

⇒ Interprocedural Optimisation: more demanding, but also more precise information Interprocedural Optimisation

> elling e.g.

- Inlining
- Remove Last Call

optimise function calls

without explicit mod-

Interprocedural vs. Intraprocedural

disadvantage of intraprocedural optimisation: context-insensitive optimisation: cannot distinguish between different calls (information is combined from all call sites)

 \rightarrow imprecise information

interprocedural optimisation:

context-sensitive optimisation:

different calls reached with different contexts δ_1 and δ_2

- \rightarrow information obtained clearly related to δ_1 and δ_2
- \Rightarrow more precise, but more costly

Introduction

Simple Interprocedural Optimisations

Operational Semantic

Functional Approach

Related Approaches

Summary

Program Representation

intraprocedural

 \rightarrow program represented by a **control flow graph**:



Program Representation

interprocedural

 \rightarrow program represented by a set of control flow graphs;



Edge Annotations

(x ... variable, e ... arithmetic expression)

edge effects - intraprocedural:

Test:NonZero (e)Zero (e)Assignment:Load: $x \leftarrow e$ Load:Store: $M[e_1] \leftarrow e_2$ Empty Statement:;

additional edge effect - interprocedural: Function Call: f()

Introduction

Simple Interprocedural Optimisations

- **Operational Semantic**
- **Functional Approach**
- Related Approaches

Summary

 \rightarrow

inlining: copy function body to calling point

problems:

- function has to be statically known
- ► local variables of calling function must not be modified → rename local variables
- recursive functions
 - \rightarrow identified from call graph
- inlining only for leave functions (without calls)
- inlining only for non-recursive functions

Call Graph

Call Graph: nodes \sim functions

edges \sim between function \mathtt{f}_1 and function $\mathtt{f}_2,$ if \mathtt{f}_1 calls \mathtt{f}_2

```
main() {
    b <- 3;
    f();
    M[17] <- ret;
}
f(){
    A <- b;
    if (A <=1) ret <- 1;
    else {
        b <- A-1;
        f();
        ret <- A*ret;
    }
}</pre>
```



Call Graph





transformation PI:



example



Remove Last Calls

 \rightarrow no own stack frame needed; only replace local variables (unconditional jump to function body) ! only possible if local variables of calling function are not accessible any more

transformation LC:



Remove Last Calls

example

```
f(){
    if (b_2 <= 1) ret <- b_1;
    else {
        b_1 <- b_1+b_2;
        b_2 <- b_2 - 1;
        f();
    }
}</pre>
```



```
f(){
    _f: if (b_2 <= 1) ret <- b_1;
    else {
        b_1 <- b_1*b_2;
        b_2 <- b_2 - 1;
        goto _f;
    }
}</pre>
```

Introduction

Simple Interprocedural Optimisations

Operational Semantic

Functional Approach

Related Approaches

Summary

Operational Semantic

intraprocedural

- computations are described by paths through the control flow graph
- computations transform the current program state
- ▶ program state: $s = (\rho, \mu)$ with $\rho: Vars \rightarrow int$... value of variables $\mu: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow int$... content of memory
- edge k = (u, lab, v)
 ... entry node u, exit node v, edge annotation label
- edge effect: transformation [[k]] on program states defined by the edge k
 [[k]] = [[lab]]

Operational Semantic

Edge Effects - intraprocedural

$$\begin{bmatrix} : \end{bmatrix} (\rho, \mu) &= (\rho, \mu) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \text{NonZero}(e) \end{bmatrix} (\rho, \mu) &= (\rho, \mu), \\ \text{if } \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho \neq 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} \text{Zero}(e) \end{bmatrix} (\rho, \mu) &= (\rho, \mu), \\ \text{if } \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho = 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} x \leftarrow e \rrbracket (\rho, \mu) &= \left(\boxed{\rho \oplus \{x \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho\}}, \mu \right) \\ \begin{bmatrix} x \leftarrow M[e] \rrbracket (\rho, \mu) &= \left(\boxed{\rho \oplus \{x \mapsto \mu (\llbracket e \rrbracket \rho)\}}, \mu \right) \\ \begin{bmatrix} M[e_1] \leftarrow e_2 \rrbracket (\rho, \mu) &= \left(\rho, \boxed{\mu \oplus \{\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \rho \mapsto \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \rho\}} \right) \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Stack Representation

Call Stack:





Stack Representation

call stack:

- describes called and not yet finished functions
- basis of operational semantic
- $config = stack \times globals \times store$
- $\textit{globals} ~=~ \textit{Glob} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$
 - store = $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$
 - $stack = frame \cdot frame^*$
 - $frame = point \times locals$

 $\textit{locals} = \textit{Loc} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

! function body is a scope with own local variables

Modeling of Function Call

► call
$$k = (u, f(), v)$$
: ! $\rho_f = \{x \mapsto 0 | x \in Loc\}$

$$\underbrace{\left(\sigma \cdot \underbrace{\left(u, \rho_{\mathsf{Loc}}\right)}_{\mathsf{config}}, \rho_{\mathsf{Glob}}, \mu\right)}_{\mathsf{config}} \vdash \left(\sigma \cdot \underbrace{\left(v, \rho_{\mathsf{Loc}}\right) \cdot \left(u_{\mathsf{f}}, \rho_{\mathsf{f}}\right)}_{\mathsf{config}}, \rho_{\mathsf{Glob}}, \mu\right)$$

- effect of function itself
- ▶ return from call:

$$\left(\sigma \cdot \boxed{(\mathbf{v}, \rho_{\textit{Loc}}) \cdot (\mathbf{r_{f}}, _)}, \rho_{\textit{Glob}}, \mu\right) \quad \vdash \quad \left(\sigma \cdot \boxed{(\mathbf{v}, \rho_{\textit{Loc}})}, \rho_{\textit{Glob}}, \mu\right)$$

σ	 stack
$ ho_{\it Glob}$	 global variables
μ	 store
(u, ρ_{Loc})	 frame (<i>point</i> \times <i>locals</i>)

Path Effects

$$\pi: ((\boldsymbol{u}, \rho_{\mathsf{Loc}}), \rho_{\mathsf{Glob}}, \mu) \rightsquigarrow ((\boldsymbol{v}, \rho_{\mathsf{Loc}}'), \rho_{\mathsf{Glob}}', \mu')$$

path π defines a partial function $[\![\pi]\!]$, that transforms $((u, \rho_{Loc}), \rho_{Glob}, \mu)$ into $((v, \rho'_{Loc}), \rho'_{Glob}, \mu')$

 \Rightarrow compute transformation inductive over the structure of the path:

$$\llbracket \pi k \rrbracket = \llbracket k \rrbracket \circ \llbracket \pi \rrbracket$$

for a normal edge k (composition of edge effects)

Paths Effects

► same-level: all entered functions are also left again $\pi = \pi_1 \langle f \rangle \pi_2 \langle \backslash f \rangle$



 \rightarrow height of the stack stays the same

$$\llbracket \pi_1 \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle \pi_2 \langle \backslash \mathbf{f} \rangle \rrbracket = H(\llbracket \pi_2 \rrbracket) \circ \llbracket \pi_1 \rrbracket$$

with

$$H(g)(\rho_{Loc}, \rho_{Glob}, \mu) = \operatorname{let}(\rho'_{Loc}, \rho'_{Glob}, \mu') = g(\underline{0}, \rho_{Glob}, \mu)$$
$$\operatorname{in}(\rho_{Loc}, \rho'_{Glob}, \mu')$$

Path Effects

• computation that reaches a program point: $\pi \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle \pi'$ with π, π' is same-level



 $\begin{bmatrix} \pi \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle \pi' \end{bmatrix} (\rho_{Loc}, \rho_{Glob}, \mu) = \mathbf{let} \left(_, \rho'_{Glob}, \mu' \right) = \llbracket \pi \rrbracket (\rho_{Loc}, \rho_{Glob}, \mu)$ $\mathbf{in} \llbracket \pi' \rrbracket \left(\underline{0}, \rho'_{Glob}, \mu' \right)$

Introduction

Simple Interprocedural Optimisations

Operational Semantic

Functional Approach

Related Approaches

Summary

Program Analysis

\mathbb{D} ... lattice

 \rightarrow all possible sets of analysis information that may hold at a program point

idea: collect information along all paths leading to a program point to yield analysis information that holds there

 \rightarrow transformation of analysis information along edge k according to abstract edge effect $[k]^{\#} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$

Program Analysis

interprocedural

 $\texttt{enter}^\#:\mathbb{D}\to\mathbb{D}$

 \rightarrow initialise information for the starting point of a function

 $\texttt{combine}^\#:\mathbb{D}^2\to\mathbb{D}$

 \rightarrow combines information at the end of function body and information before entering the function

$$\Rightarrow \llbracket k \rrbracket^{\#} D = \texttt{combine}^{\#} \left(D, \llbracket \texttt{f} \rrbracket^{\#} \left(\texttt{enter}^{\#} D \right) \right)$$

Example: Copy Propagation

intraprocedural

Copy Propagation:

computes for variable x at each program point the set of variables that contain the same value

ightarrow usage may be replaced by usage of x

abstract edge effects: $(\llbracket k \rrbracket^{\#} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D})$

$$\begin{split} \llbracket x \leftarrow e \rrbracket^{\#} V &= \{x\} \\ \llbracket x \leftarrow M[e] \rrbracket^{\#} V &= \{x\} \\ \llbracket z \leftarrow y \rrbracket^{\#} V &= \{y \in V\}? V \cup \{z\} : V \setminus \{z\}, \\ &\quad x \not\equiv z, y \in V \text{ars} \\ \llbracket z \leftarrow r \rrbracket^{\#} V &= V \setminus \{z\}, \\ &\quad x \not\equiv z, r \notin V \text{ars} \end{split}$$

Example: Copy Propagation

interprocedural

all variables global:

 $ext{enter}^{\#} V = V \ ext{combine}^{\#} \left(V_1, V_2
ight) = V_2$

with local variables:

•: auxiliary local variable to store value of x before the function call

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{enter}^{\#}V = V \cap \textit{Glob} \cup \{\bullet\}\\ \texttt{combine}^{\#}(V_1, V_2) = (V_2 \cap \textit{Glob}) \cup ((\bullet \in V_2)?V_1 \cap \textit{Loc}_{\bullet} : \emptyset)\\ \texttt{with } \textit{Loc}_{\bullet} = \textit{Loc} \cup \{\bullet\} \end{array}$$

 $\to [\![f]\!]^\# :$ upper bound for abstract effect $[\![\pi]\!]^\#$ of every same-level computation π for f

 \rightarrow approximated via

$$\begin{bmatrix} start_{f} \end{bmatrix}^{\#} \supseteq Id \\ \llbracket v \rrbracket^{\#} \supseteq H^{\#} \left(\llbracket f \rrbracket^{\#} \right) \circ \llbracket u \rrbracket^{\#}, \\ k = (u, f(), v) \text{ function call} \\ \llbracket v \rrbracket^{\#} \supseteq \llbracket k \rrbracket^{\#} \circ \llbracket u \rrbracket^{\#}, \\ k = (u, lab, v) \text{ normal edge} \\ \llbracket f \rrbracket^{\#} \supseteq \llbracket stop_{f} \rrbracket^{\#}$$

with $[\![v]\!]^{\#} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ describes effects of all same-level computations from the beginning of f to program point v

right side of inequalities is monotone

ightarrow system of inequalities has smallest solution

 $[\![.]\!]^{\#}$ be the smallest solution of the system of inequalities

1. $[v]^{\#} \supseteq [\pi]^{\#}$

 \forall same-level computations π from $\mathit{start}_{\rm f}$ to v

2. $\llbracket \mathbf{f} \rrbracket^{\#} \sqsupseteq \llbracket \pi \rrbracket^{\#}$ \forall same-level computations π of \mathbf{f}

 \Rightarrow every solution of the system of inequalities can be used to approximate the abstract effect of a function call

Problems

- not always closed representation of monotone functions in the system of inequalities
- infinite ascending chains
- \Rightarrow in the case of copy propagation:
 - complete lattice $\mathbb{V} = \{ V \subseteq Vars_{\bullet} | x \in V \}$ is atomic
 - ► edge effects are distributive (→ monotone)
 - no infinite ascending chains: only finitely many variables
- \rightarrow compact representation of monotone functions exists:

$$g(V) = b \sqcup | \{h(a) | a \in A \land a \sqsubseteq V\}$$

with $h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{V}, b \in \mathbb{V}, A \subseteq \mathbb{V}$

Functional Approach

Abstract Effects of Function f

ex. Copy Propagation



ex. Copy Propagation

=

$$Vars_{\bullet} = \{A, b, \text{ret}, \bullet\}, \text{ investigate } b$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad [[A \leftarrow b]]^{\#}C = C \cup \{A\} \\ := g_1(C) \\ [[ret \leftarrow A]]^{\#}C = (A \in C)? (C \cup \{ret\}) : (C \setminus \{ret\}) \\ := g_2(C)$$

ex. Copy Propagation

represent edge effects g_1, g_2 by $(h_1, Vars_{\bullet}), (h_2, Vars_{\bullet})$: (enumerable for finite lattice)

	h_1	h_2
$\{b, ret, \bullet\}$	Vars	$\{b, \bullet\}$
$\{b, A, \bullet\}$	$\{b, A, \bullet\}$	Vars₀
$\{b, A, ret\}$	$\{b, A, ret\}$	$\{b, A, ret\}$

$$\begin{array}{lll} g_1(C) &=& C \cup \{A\} \\ g_2(C) &=& (A \in C)? \, (C \cup \{ret\}) : (C \setminus \{ret\}) \end{array}$$

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as $b_{{\tt work}():}$



$$\begin{split} & \llbracket A \leftarrow b \rrbracket^{\#} C = C \cup \{A\} := g_1(C) \\ & \llbracket \text{ret} \leftarrow A \rrbracket^{\#} C = (A \in C)? (C \cup \{\text{ret}\}) : (C \setminus \{\text{ret}\}) := g_2(C) \end{split}$$
ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as $b_{{}^{{\rm work}\,():}}$



$$\begin{split} & \llbracket A \leftarrow b \rrbracket^{\#} C = C \cup \{A\} := g_1(C) \\ & \llbracket \text{ret} \leftarrow A \rrbracket^{\#} C = (A \in C)? (C \cup \{\text{ret}\}) : (C \setminus \{\text{ret}\}) := g_2(C) \end{split}$$

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as bwork():



$$\begin{split} & \llbracket A \leftarrow b \rrbracket^{\#} C = C \cup \{A\} := g_1(C) \\ & \llbracket \text{ret} \leftarrow A \rrbracket^{\#} C = (A \in C)? (C \cup \{\text{ret}\}) : (C \setminus \{\text{ret}\}) := g_2(C) \end{split}$$

Summary

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as $b_{{}^{{\rm work}\,():}}$



$$\begin{split} & \llbracket A \leftarrow b \rrbracket^{\#} C = C \cup \{A\} := g_1(C) \\ & \llbracket \mathsf{ret} \leftarrow A \rrbracket^{\#} C = (A \in C)? (C \cup \{\mathsf{ret}\}) : (C \setminus \{\mathsf{ret}\}) := g_2(C) \end{split}$$

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as bwork():



$$\begin{split} & \llbracket A \leftarrow b \rrbracket^{\#} C = C \cup \{A\} := g_1(C) \\ & \llbracket \text{ret} \leftarrow A \rrbracket^{\#} C = (A \in C)? (C \cup \{\text{ret}\}) : (C \setminus \{\text{ret}\}) := g_2(C) \end{split}$$

Summary

Abstract Effects of Function f

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as $b_{\text{work}()}$



$$\begin{split} \llbracket A \leftarrow b \rrbracket^{\#} C &= C \cup \{A\} := g_1(C) \\ \llbracket \operatorname{ret} \leftarrow A \rrbracket^{\#} C &= (A \in C)? (C \cup \{\operatorname{ret}\}) : (C \setminus \{\operatorname{ret}\}) := g_2(C) \end{split}$$

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as $b_{{}^{\operatorname{work}():}}$



first approximation for call of work: $\texttt{combine}^{\#}(C, g_3(\texttt{enter}^{\#}(C))) = C \cup \{ret\} := g_4(C)$

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as $b_{\text{work}()}$



first approximation for call of work: $\texttt{combine}^{\#}(C, g_3(\texttt{enter}^{\#}(C))) = C \cup \{\texttt{ret}\} := g_4(C)$

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as $b_{\text{work}()}$



first approximation for call of work: $\texttt{combine}^{\#}(C, g_3(\texttt{enter}^{\#}(C))) = C \cup \{\texttt{ret}\} := g_4(C)$

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as $b_{{}^{\operatorname{work}():}}$



first approximation for call of work: $\texttt{combine}^{\#}(C, g_3(\texttt{enter}^{\#}(C))) = C \cup \{ret\} := g_4(C)$

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as $b_{\text{work}()}$



first approximation for call of work: $\texttt{combine}^{\#}(C, g_3(\texttt{enter}^{\#}(C))) = C \cup \{ret\} := g_4(C)$

ex. Copy Propagation

C: set of variables that initially have the same value as $b_{\text{work}()}$



fixpoint reached after first iteration: work approximated by $g_4(C) = C \cup \{ret\}$

Coincidence Theoreme

► ∃ same-level computation from start_f to v ∀v ∈ f, edge effects and transformation H[#] are distributive

$$\Rightarrow \llbracket v \rrbracket^{\#} = \bigsqcup \{ \llbracket \pi \rrbracket^{\#} | \pi \in \mathcal{T}_{v} \} \forall v \in f$$

(\mathcal{T}_{v} ...set of all same-level computations from *start*_f to v)

▶ enter[#] distributive, combine[#] $(x_1, x_2) = h_1(x_1) \sqcup h_2(x_2)$ ⇒ $H^{\#}$ distributive: $H^{\#}(\bigsqcup \mathcal{F}) = \bigsqcup \{ H^{\#}(g) | g \in \mathcal{F} \}$

Coincidence Theoreme

ex. Copy Propagation

enter[#]
$$V = V \cap Glob \cup \{\bullet\}$$

 \rightarrow distributive

 \rightarrow intersection of distributive functions of first and second argument

 \Rightarrow coincidence theoreme holds for copy propagation

effects $\llbracket f \rrbracket^{\#}$ are approximated \rightarrow compute for program point u a safe approximation of property $\mathcal{D}[u]$ that holds when u is reached

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{D}[\textit{start}_{main}] & \sqsupseteq \; \texttt{enter}^{\#} \left(d_{0} \right) \\ \mathcal{D}[\textit{start}_{f}] & \sqsupseteq \; \texttt{enter}^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[u] \right), \\ & \left(u, \texttt{f} \left(\right), v \right) \; \texttt{calling edge} \\ \mathcal{D}[v] & \sqsupset \; \texttt{combine}^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[u], \llbracket \texttt{f} \rrbracket^{\#} \left(\texttt{enter}^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[u] \right) \right) \right), \\ & \left(u, \texttt{f} \left(\right), v \right) \; \texttt{calling edge} \\ \mathcal{D}[v] & \sqsupseteq \; \llbracket k \rrbracket^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[u] \right), \\ & k = \left(u, lab, v \right) \; \texttt{normal edge} \end{split}$$

smallest solution for system of inequalities exists because of monotonicity and it holds:

$$\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{v}] \supseteq [\![\pi]\!]^{\#} d_0$$

for all paths that reach v($d_0 \in \mathbb{D}$: information at the beginning of program execution) for distributive abstract edge effects and distributive transformation $H^{\#}$:

$$\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{v}] = \bigsqcup \{ \llbracket \pi \rrbracket^{\#} d_0 | \pi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{v}} \}$$

with \mathcal{P}_{v} ... set of all paths that reach v



























example





work approximated by $g_4(C) = C \cup \{ret\}$















Introduction

- Simple Interprocedural Optimisations
- **Operational Semantic**
- **Functional Approach**
- Related Approaches

Summary

Demand-Driven Interprocedural Analysis

sometimes: lattice not finite, functions cannot be represented in a compact form

 \rightarrow only analyse calls in situations that really occur

! this is the case e.g. for constant propagation

 \rightarrow use **local fixpoint algorithm**: only compute solutions for certain inequalities; only solve part of the system that is needed therefor

Demand-Driven Interprocedural Analysis system of inequalities

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{D}[v,a] &\supseteq a, \\ & v \text{ entry point} \\ \mathcal{D}[v,a] &\supseteq \text{ combine}^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[u,a], \mathcal{D}[\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{enter}^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[u,a] \right)] \right), \\ & \left(u, \mathsf{f} \left(\right), v \right) \text{ calling edge} \\ \mathcal{D}[v,a] &\supseteq \left[[lab] ^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[u,a] \right), \\ & k = (u, lab, v) \text{ normal edge} \\ \mathcal{D}[\mathsf{f},a] &\supset \mathcal{D}[stop_{\mathsf{f}},a] \end{split}$$

with $\mathcal{D}[\mathtt{f}, a]$... abstract state when reaching program point v of a function called in abstract state $a \ (\mathcal{D}[\mathtt{f}, a] \sim \llbracket v \rrbracket^{\#}(a))$

 \Rightarrow compute $\mathcal{D}[\texttt{main},\texttt{enter}^{\#}(d_0)]$

Demand-Driven Interprocedural Analysis

ex. Constant Propagation

Constant Propagation:

move as many computations as possible from runtime to compile time

complete lattice: $\mathbb{D} = (Vars \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\top})_{\perp}$

 \rightarrow ! not finite



$$\begin{split} \text{enter}^{\#} D &= \begin{cases} \bot & D = \bot \\ D \oplus \{A \mapsto \top | A \text{ local} \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{combine}^{\#} \left(D_1, D_2 \right) &= \begin{cases} \bot & D_1 = \bot \lor D_2 = J \\ D_1 \oplus \{b \mapsto D_2 \left(b \right) | b \text{ global} \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Summary

Constant Propagation

Abstract Edge Effects - intraprocedural

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \end{bmatrix}^{\#} D = D \\ \begin{bmatrix} \text{NonZero}(e) \end{bmatrix}^{\#} D = \begin{cases} \bot & \text{if } 0 = \llbracket e \rrbracket^{\#} D \\ D & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Zero}(e) \rrbracket^{\#} D = \begin{cases} \bot & \text{if } 0 \not\subseteq \llbracket e \rrbracket^{\#} D \\ D & \text{if } 0 \subseteq \llbracket e \rrbracket^{\#} D \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} x \leftarrow e \rrbracket^{\#} D = D \oplus \{x \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket^{\#} D\} \\ \llbracket x \leftarrow M[e] \rrbracket^{\#} D = D \oplus \{x \mapsto \top\} \\ \llbracket M[e_1] \leftarrow e_2 \rrbracket^{\#} D = D \end{cases}$$

Demand-Driven Interprocedural Analysis



Call-String-Approach

 \rightarrow compute set of all reachable call stacks

- ! restrict call stacks to fixed size d
- \rightarrow (complexity increases with depth)

here: call stack of depth 0 \rightarrow function call as unconditional jump

Call-String-Approach

 \mathcal{D}

system of inequalities

$$\begin{bmatrix} start_{main} \end{bmatrix} \supseteq = enter^{\#} (d_0) \\ \mathcal{D}[start_f] \supseteq = enter^{\#} (\mathcal{D}[u]), \\ (u, f(), v) \text{ calling edge} \\ \mathcal{D}[v] \supseteq = combine^{\#} (\mathcal{D}[u], \mathcal{D}[v]), \\ (u, f(), v) \text{ calling edge} \\ \mathcal{D}[v] \supseteq = \llbracket lab \rrbracket^{\#} (\mathcal{D}[u]), \\ k = (u, lab, v) \text{ normal edge} \\ \mathcal{D}[f] \supseteq = \mathcal{D}[stop_f]$$
Call-String-Approach



Call-String-Approach

ex. Copy Propagation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}[5] & \sqsupseteq & \operatorname{combine}^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[4], \mathcal{D}[\operatorname{work}] \right) \\ \mathcal{D}[7] & \sqsupseteq & \operatorname{enter}^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[4] \right) \\ \mathcal{D}[7] & \sqsupseteq & \operatorname{enter}^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[9] \right) \\ \mathcal{D}[10] & \sqsupseteq & \operatorname{combine}^{\#} \left(\mathcal{D}[9], \mathcal{D}[\operatorname{work}] \right) \end{array}$$

Call-String-Approach

ex. Copy Propagation

! for depth 0: impossible paths may occur



Introduction

- Simple Interprocedural Optimisations
- **Operational Semantic**
- **Functional Approach**
- **Related Approaches**

Summary

Summary

- Interprocedural Analysis is an extension of intraprocedural analysis which takes into account the calling context of functions.
- Interprocedural Analysis is more demanding than intraprocedural analysis, but yields more precise results.
- Functional Approach:

approximate abstract effect of function call by solving system of inequalities describing the edge effects within the function

Iattice of possible analysis solutions has to fullfill certain properties to ensure that the analysis terminates