

# Monotone Frameworks

- Monotone and Distributive Frameworks

## The Overall Pattern

Each of the four classical analyses take the form

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Analysis}_\circ(\ell) &= \begin{cases} \ell & \text{if } \ell \in E \\ \sqcup \{\text{Analysis}_\bullet(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in F\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{Analysis}_\bullet(\ell) &= f_\ell(\text{Analysis}_\circ(\ell))\end{aligned}$$

where

- $\sqcup$  is  $\cap$  or  $\cup$  (and  $\sqcup$  is  $\cup$  or  $\cap$ ),
- $F$  is either  $\text{flow}(S_*)$  or  $\text{flow}^R(S_*)$ ,
- $E$  is  $\{\text{init}(S_*)\}$  or  $\text{final}(S_*)$ ,
- $\ell$  specifies the initial or final analysis information, and
- $f_\ell$  is the transfer function associated with  $B^\ell \in \text{blocks}(S_*)$ .

## The Principle: forward versus backward

- The *backward analyses* have  $F$  to be  $\text{flow}^R(S_*)$  and then  $\text{Analysis}_\circ$  concerns exit conditions and  $\text{Analysis}_\bullet$  concerns entry conditions; the **equation system presupposes that  $S_*$  has isolated exits**.
- The *forward analyses* have  $F$  to be  $\text{flow}(S_*)$  and then  $\text{Analysis}_\bullet$  concerns entry conditions and  $\text{Analysis}_\circ$  concerns exit conditions; the **equation system presupposes that  $S_*$  has isolated exits**.

## The Principle: union versus intersection

- When  $\sqcup$  is  $\cap$  we require the **greatest sets** that solve the equations and we are able to detect properties satisfied by **all execution paths** reaching (or leaving) the entry (or exit) of a label; the analysis is called a **must-analysis**.
- When  $\sqcup$  is  $\cup$  we require the **smallest sets** that solve the equations and we are able to detect properties satisfied by **at least one execution path** to (or from) the entry (or exit) of a label; the analysis is called a **may-analysis**.

## The Principle: union versus intersection

- When  $\sqcup$  is  $\cap$  we require the **greatest sets** that solve the equations and we are able to detect properties satisfied by **all execution paths** reaching (or leaving) the entry (or exit) of a label; the analysis is called a **must-analysis**.
- When  $\sqcup$  is  $\cup$  we require the **smallest sets** that solve the equations and we are able to detect properties satisfied by **at least one execution path** to (or from) the entry (or exit) of a label; the analysis is called a **may-analysis**.

## Property Spaces

The *property space*,  $L$ , is used to represent the data flow information, and the *combination operator*,  $\sqcup : \mathcal{P}(L) \rightarrow L$ , is used to combine information from different paths.

- $L$  is a *complete lattice*, that is, a partially ordered set,  $(L, \sqsubseteq)$ , such that each subset,  $Y$ , has a least upper bound,  $\sqcup Y$ .
- $L$  satisfies the *Ascending Chain Condition*; that is, each ascending chain eventually stabilises (meaning that if  $(l_n)_n$  is such that  $l_1 \sqsubseteq l_2 \sqsubseteq l_3 \sqsubseteq \dots$ , then there exists  $n$  such that  $l_n = l_{n+1} = \dots$ ).
- $L = \mathcal{P}(\text{Var}_\star \times \text{Lab}_\star)$  is partially ordered by subset inclusion so  $\sqsubseteq$  is  $\subseteq$
- the least upper bound operation  $\sqcup$  is  $\cup$  and the least element  $\perp$  is  $\emptyset$
- $L$  satisfies the Ascending Chain Condition because  $\text{Var}_\star \times \text{Lab}_\star$  is finite (unlike  $\text{Var} \times \text{Lab}$ )

## Example: Reaching Definitions

The *property space*,  $L$ , is used to represent the data flow information, and the *combination operator*,  $\sqcup : \mathcal{P}(L) \rightarrow L$ , is used to combine information from different paths.

- $L = \mathcal{P}(\text{Var}_\star \times \text{Lab}_\star)$  is partially ordered by subset inclusion so  $\sqsubseteq$  is  $\subseteq$
- the least upper bound operation  $\sqcup$  is  $\cup$  and the least element  $\perp$  is  $\emptyset$
- $L$  satisfies the Ascending Chain Condition because  $\text{Var}_\star \times \text{Lab}_\star$  is finite (unlike  $\text{Var} \times \text{Lab}$ )

## Example: Available Expressions

The set of transfer functions,  $\mathcal{F}$ , is a set of *monotone functions* over  $L$ , meaning that

$$l \sqsubseteq l' \text{ implies } f_\ell(l) \sqsubseteq f_\ell(l')$$

and furthermore they fulfil the following conditions:

- $L = \mathcal{P}(\text{AExp}_\star)$  is partially ordered by superset inclusion so  $\sqsubseteq$  is  $\supseteq$
- the least upper bound operation  $\sqcup$  is  $\cap$  and the least element  $\perp$  is  $\top$
- $L$  satisfies the Ascending Chain Condition because  $\text{AExp}_\star$  is finite (unlike  $\text{AExp}$ )
- $\mathcal{F}$  contains all the transfer functions  $f_\ell : L \rightarrow L$  in question (for  $\ell \in \text{Lab}_\star$ )
- $\mathcal{F}$  contains the *identity function*
- $\mathcal{F}$  is *closed under composition* of functions

## Frameworks

A *Monotone Framework* consists of:

- a complete lattice,  $\textcolor{red}{L}$ , that satisfies the Ascending Chain Condition;  
we write  $\sqcup$  for the least upper bound operator

- a set  $\mathcal{F}$  of **monotone** functions from  $L$  to  $L$  that contains the identity function and that is closed under function composition

A *Distributive Framework* is a Monotone Framework where additionally all functions  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  are required to be **distributive**:

$$f(l_1 \sqcup l_2) = f(l_1) \sqcup f(l_2)$$

PPA Section 2.3

© F.Nielson & H.Riis Nielson & C.Hankin (May 2005) 61

## Instances

- An *instance* of a Framework consists of:
  - the complete lattice,  $\textcolor{red}{L}$ , of the framework
  - the space of functions,  $\mathcal{F}$ , of the framework
  - a finite flow,  $\textcolor{red}{F}$  (typically  $\textcolor{green}{flow}(S_\star)$  or  $\textcolor{blue}{flow}^R(S_\star)$ )
  - a finite set of **extremal labels**,  $\textcolor{red}{E}$  (typically  $\{\textcolor{red}{init}(S_\star)\}$  or  $\textcolor{blue}{final}(S_\star)\}$ )
  - an **extremal value**,  $\textcolor{red}{e} \in L$ , for the extremal labels
  - a mapping,  $\textcolor{red}{f}$ , from the labels  $\text{Lab}_\star$  to transfer functions in  $\mathcal{F}$

PPA Section 2.3

© F.Nielson & H.Riis Nielson & C.Hankin (May 2005) 61

## Equations of the Instance:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Analysis}_\circ(\ell) &= \bigsqcup \{\text{Analysis}_\bullet(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in F\} \sqcup \iota_E^\ell \\ \text{where } \iota_E^\ell &= \begin{cases} \iota & \text{if } \ell \in E \\ \perp & \text{if } \ell \notin E \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Analysis}_\bullet(\ell) = f_\ell(\text{Analysis}_\circ(\ell))$$

## Constraints of the Instance:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Analysis}_\circ(\ell) &\supseteq \bigsqcup \{\text{Analysis}_\bullet(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in F\} \sqcup \iota_E^\ell \\ \text{where } \iota_E^\ell &= \begin{cases} \iota & \text{if } \ell \in E \\ \perp & \text{if } \ell \notin E \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Analysis}_\bullet(\ell) \supseteq f_\ell(\text{Analysis}_\circ(\ell))$$

## The Examples Revisited

	Available Expressions	Reaching Definitions	Very Busy Expressions	Live Variables
$L$	$\mathcal{P}(\text{AExp}_\star)$	$\mathcal{P}(\text{Var}_\star \times \text{Lab}_\star)$	$\mathcal{P}(\text{AExp}_\star)$	$\mathcal{P}(\text{Var}_\star)$
$\sqsubseteq$	$\sqsupseteq$	$\subseteq$	$\supseteq$	$\subseteq$
$\sqcup$	$\sqcap$	$\sqcup$	$\sqcap$	$\sqcup$
$\perp$	$\text{AExp}_\star$	$\emptyset$	$\text{AExp}_\star$	$\emptyset$
$\iota$	$\{\text{init}(S_\star)\}$	$\{(x, ?) \mid x \in \text{FV}(S_\star)\}$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$E$	$\text{flow}(S_\star)$	$\{\text{init}(S_\star)\}$	$\text{final}(S_\star)$	$\text{final}(S_\star)$
$F$	$\text{flow}(S_\star)$	$\text{flow}(S_\star)$	$\text{flow}^R(S_\star)$	$\text{flow}^R(S_\star)$
$\mathcal{F}$	$\{f : L \rightarrow L \mid \exists l_k, l_g : f(l) = (l \setminus l_k) \cup l_g\}$			
$f_\ell$	$f_\ell(l) = (l \setminus \text{kill}(B^\ell)) \cup \text{gen}(B^\ell)$ where $B^\ell \in \text{blocks}(S_\star)$			

PPA Section 2.3

© F.Nielson & H.Riis Nielson & C.Hankin (May 2005)

PPA Section 2.3

© F.Nielson & H.Riis Nielson & C.Hankin (May 2005) 62

63

## Bit Vector Frameworks

**Lemma:** Bit Vector Frameworks are always Distributive Frameworks

A *Bit Vector Framework* has

- $L = \mathcal{P}(D)$  for  $D$  finite
- $\mathcal{F} = \{f \mid \exists l_k, l_g : f(l) = (l \setminus l_k) \cup l_g\}$

**Examples:**

- Available Expressions
- Live Variables
- Reaching Definitions
- Very Busy Expressions

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned} f(l_1 \sqcup l_2) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(l_1 \cup l_2) \\ f(l_1 \cap l_2) \end{array} \right\} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ((l_1 \cup l_2) \setminus l_k) \cup l_g \\ ((l_1 \cap l_2) \setminus l_k) \cup l_g \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ((l_1 \setminus l_k) \cup (l_2 \setminus l_k)) \cup l_g \\ ((l_1 \setminus l_k) \cap (l_2 \setminus l_k)) \cup l_g \end{array} \right\} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ((l_1 \setminus l_k) \cup l_g) \cup ((l_2 \setminus l_k) \cup l_g) \\ ((l_1 \setminus l_k) \cap l_g) \cap ((l_2 \setminus l_k) \cup l_g) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(l_1) \cup f(l_2) \\ f(l_1) \cap f(l_2) \end{array} \right\} &= f(l_1) \sqcup f(l_2) \end{aligned}$$

- $id(l) = (l \setminus \emptyset) \cup \emptyset$
- $f_2(f_1(l)) = ((l \setminus l_k^1) \cup l_g^1) \setminus l_k^2 \cup l_g^2 = (l \setminus (l_k^1 \cup l_k^2)) \cup ((l_g^1 \setminus l_g^2) \cup l_g^2)$
- monotonicity follows from distributivity
- $\mathcal{P}(D)$  satisfies the Ascending Chain Condition because  $D$  is finite

65

PPA Section 2.3 © F.Nielson & H.Riis Nielson & C.Hankin (May 2005)

64

PPA Section 2.3 © F.Nielson & H.Riis Nielson & C.Hankin (May 2005)

## The Constant Propagation Framework

An example of a Monotone Framework that is **not** a Distributive Framework

### Elements of $L$

The aim of the *Constant Propagation Analysis* is to determine

Idea:

For each program point, whether or not a variable has a constant value whenever execution reaches that point.

**Example:**

$[x := 6]^1; [y := 3]^2; \text{while } [x > y]^3 \text{ do } ([x := x - 1]^4; [z := y * y]^6)$   
The analysis enables a transformation into  
 $[x := 6]^1; [y := 3]^2; \text{while } [x > 3]^3 \text{ do } ([x := x - 1]^4; [z := 9]^6)$

- $\hat{\sigma} \in \mathbf{Var}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^\top$  specifies for each variable whether it is constant:

- $\hat{\sigma}(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$ :  $x$  is constant and the value is  $\hat{\sigma}(x)$
- $\hat{\sigma}(x) = \top$ :  $x$  might not be constant

## Partial Ordering on $L$

The partial ordering  $\sqsubseteq$  on  $(\text{Var}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^\top)_\perp$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \hat{\sigma} \in (\text{Var}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^\top)_\perp : \quad & \perp \sqsubseteq \hat{\sigma} \\ \forall \hat{\sigma}_1, \hat{\sigma}_2 \in \text{Var}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^\top : \quad & \hat{\sigma}_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\sigma}_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall x : \hat{\sigma}_1(x) \sqsubseteq \hat{\sigma}_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{Z}^\top = \mathbf{Z} \cup \{\top\}$  is partially ordered as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall z \in \mathbf{Z}^\top : z &\sqsubseteq \top \\ \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{Z} : (z_1 &\sqsubseteq z_2) \Leftrightarrow (z_1 = z_2) \end{aligned}$$

## Transfer Functions in $\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{CP}} = \{f \mid f \text{ is a monotone function on } \widehat{\text{State}}_{\text{CP}}\}$$

Constant Propagation as defined by  $\widehat{\text{State}}_{\text{CP}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{CP}}$  is a Monotone Framework

### Lemma

© F.Nielson & H.Riis Nielson & C.Hankin (May 2005)

PPA Section 2.3

68

## Instances

Constant Propagation is a forward analysis, so for the program  $S_*$ :

- the flow,  $F$ , is  $\text{flow}(S_*)$ ,

- the extremal labels,  $E$ , is  $\{\text{init}(S_*)\}$ ,

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}} : \text{AEExp} \rightarrow (\widehat{\text{State}}_{\text{CP}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^\top)}{\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}[x]\hat{\sigma} &= \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } \hat{\sigma} = \perp \\ \hat{\sigma}(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}[n]\hat{\sigma} &= \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } \hat{\sigma} = \perp \\ n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}[a_1 \circ p_a a_2]\hat{\sigma} &= \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}[a_1]\hat{\sigma} \widehat{\circ p}_a \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}[a_2]\hat{\sigma} \end{aligned}}$$

transfer functions:  $f_\ell^{\text{CP}}$

$$\begin{aligned} [x := a]^\ell : \quad & f_\ell^{\text{CP}}(\hat{\sigma}) = \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } \hat{\sigma} = \perp \\ \hat{\sigma}[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}[a]\hat{\sigma}] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ [\text{skip}]^\ell : \quad & f_\ell^{\text{CP}}(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\sigma} \\ [b]^\ell : \quad & f_\ell^{\text{CP}}(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

PPA Section 2.3

© F.Nielson & H.Riis Nielson & C.Hankin (May 2005)

70

© F.Nielson & H.Riis Nielson & C.Hankin (May 2005)

71

## Lemma

Constant Propagation is **not** a Distributive Framework

### Proof

Consider the transfer function  $f_\ell^{\text{CP}}$  for  $[y := x * x]^\ell$

Let  $\hat{\sigma}_1$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_2$  be such that  $\hat{\sigma}_1(x) = 1$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_2(x) = -1$

Then  $\hat{\sigma}_1 \sqcup \hat{\sigma}_2$  maps  $x$  to  $\top$  —  $f_\ell^{\text{CP}}(\hat{\sigma}_1 \sqcup \hat{\sigma}_2)$  maps  $y$  to  $\top$

Both  $f_\ell^{\text{CP}}(\hat{\sigma}_1)$  and  $f_\ell^{\text{CP}}(\hat{\sigma}_2)$  map  $y$  to  $1$  —  $f_\ell^{\text{CP}}(\hat{\sigma}_1) \sqcup f_\ell^{\text{CP}}(\hat{\sigma}_2)$  maps  $y$  to  $1$