

Java: generics

Madhavan Mukund, S P Suresh

Programming Language Concepts

Lecture 10, 9 February 2023

Polymorphism

- In object-oriented programming, **polymorphism** usually refers to the effect of dynamic dispatch
 - **S** is a subclass of **T**
 - **S** overrides a method **f()** defined in **T**
 - Variable **v** of type **T** is assigned to an object of type **S**
 - **v.f()** uses the definition of **f()** from **S** rather than **T**
- Every object “knows” what it needs to do
- More generally, polymorphism refers to behaviour that depends only a specific capabilities — **structural polymorphism**
 - Reverse an array/list (**should work for any type**)
 - Search for an element in an array/list (**need equality check**)
 - Sort an array/list (**need to compare values**)

Structural polymorphism

- Use the Java class hierarchy to simulate this
- Polymorphic `reverse`
- Polymorphic `find`
 - `==` translates to `Object.equals()`
- Polymorphic `sort`
 - Use interfaces to capture capabilities

```
public void reverse (Object[] objarr){
    Object tempobj;
    int len = objarr.length;
    for (i = 0; i < n/2; i++){
        tempobj = objarr[i];
        objarr[i] = objarr[(n-1)-i];
        objarr[(n-1)-i] = tempobj;
    }
}
```

```
public int find (Object[] objarr, Object o){
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < objarr.length; i++){
        if (objarr[i] == o) {return i};
    }
    return (-1);
}
```

Type consistency

- Polymorphic function to copy an array
- Need to ensure that target array is type compatible with source array
 - Type errors should be flagged at compile time
- More generally source array can be a subtype of the target array

```
public static void arraycopy (Object[] src,
                              Object[] tgt){
    int i,limit;
    limit = Math.min(src.length,tgt.length);
    for (i = 0; i < limit; i++){
        tgt[i] = src[i];
    }
}
```

```
Date[] datearr = new Date[10];
Employee[] emparr = new Employee[10];

arraycopy(datearr,emparr); // Run-time error
```

```
public class Ticket {...}
public class ETicket extends Ticket{...}
```

Type consistency

- Polymorphic function to copy an array
- Need to ensure that target array is type compatible with source array
 - Type errors should be flagged at compile time
- More generally source array can be a subtype of the target array
- But the converse is illegal

```
public static void arraycopy (Object[] src,
                              Object[] tgt){
    int i,limit;
    limit = Math.min(src.length,tgt.length);
    for (i = 0; i < limit; i++){
        tgt[i] = src[i];
    }
}
```

```
Date[] datearr = new Date[10];
Employee[] emparr = new Employee[10];

arraycopy(datearr,emparr); // Run-time error
```

```
public class Ticket {...}
public class ETicket extends Ticket{...}
```

Polymorphic data structures

- Arrays, lists, ... should allow arbitrary elements
- A polymorphic list stores values of type `Object`
- Two problems
 - Type information is lost, need casts
 - List need not be homogenous!

```
public class LinkedList{
    private int size;
    private Node first;

    public Object head(){
        Object returnval;
        ...
        return(returnval);
    }

    public void insert(Object newdata){...}

    private class Node {
        private Object data;
        private Node next;
        ...
    }
}
```

- Use type variables
- Polymorphic `reverse` in Java
 - Type **quantifier** before return type
 - “For every type `T ...`”
- Polymorphic `find` in Java
 - Searching for a value of incompatible type is now a compile-time error
- Polymorphic `arraycopy`
 - Source and target types must be identical
- A more generous `arraycopy`
 - Source and target types may be different
 - Source type **must** extend target type

```
public <T> void reverse (T[] objarr){
    T tempobj;
    int len = objarr.length;
    for (i = 0; i < n/2; i++){
        tempobj = objarr[i];
        objarr[i] = objarr[(n-1)-i];
        objarr[(n-1)-i] = tempobj;
    }
}
```

```
public <T> int find (T[] objarr, T o){
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < objarr.length; i++){
        if (objarr[i] == o) {return i};
    }
    return (-1);
}
```

Polymorphic data structures

- A polymorphic list
- The type parameter `T` applies to the class as a whole
- Internally, the `T` in `Node` is the same `T`
- Also the return value of `head()` and the argument of `insert()`
- Instantiate generic classes using concrete type

```
public class LinkedList<T>{
    private int size;
    private Node first;

    public T head(){
        T returnval;
        ...
        return(returnval);
    }

    public void insert(T newdata){...}

    private class Node {
        private T data;
        private Node next;
        ...
    }
}
```


Polymorphic data structures

- Be careful not to accidentally hide a type variable

```
public <T> void
    insert(T newdata){...}
```

- `T` in the argument of `insert()` is a `new T`

- Quantifier `<T>` masks the type parameter `T` of `LinkedList`

- Contrast with

```
public <T> static void
    arraycopy (T[] src, T[] tgt){...}
```

```
public class LinkedList<T>{
    private int size;
    private Node first;

    public T head(){
        T returnval;
        ...
        return(returnval);
    }

    public <T> void insert(T newdata){...}

    private class Node {
        private T data;
        private Node next;
        ...
    }
}
```

Extending subtyping in contexts

- If `S` is compatible with `T`, `S[]` is compatible with `T[]`

```
ETicket[] elecarr = new ETicket[10];  
Ticket[] ticketarr = elecarr;  
    // OK. ETicket[] is a subtype of Ticket[]
```

- But ...

```
...  
ticketarr[5] = new Ticket();  
    // Not OK. ticketarr[5] refers to an ETicket!
```

- A type error at run time!
- Java array typing is **covariant**
 - If `S` extends `T` then `S[]` extends `T[]`

Generics and subtypes

- Generic classes are not covariant
 - `LinkedList<String>` is not compatible with `LinkedList<Object>`
- The following will not work to print out an arbitrary `LinkedList`

```
public class LinkedList<T>{...}  
  
public static void printlist(LinkedList<Object> l){  
    Object o;  
    Iterator i = l.get_iterator();  
    while (i.has_next()){  
        o = i.get_next();  
        System.out.println(o);  
    }  
}
```

- How can we get around this limitation?

Generic methods

- As we have seen, we can make the method generic by introducing a type variable

```
public class LinkedList<T>{...}  
  
public static <T> void printlist(LinkedList<T> l){  
    Object o;  
    Iterator i = l.get_iterator();  
    while (i.has_next()){  
        o = i.get_next();  
        System.out.println(o);  
    }  
}
```

- `<T>` is a type quantifier: *For every type T, ...*
- Note that `T` is not actually used inside the function
 - We use `Object o` as a generic variable to cycle through the list

- Instead, use `?` as a wildcard type variable

```
public class LinkedList<T>{...}
```

```
public static void printlist(LinkedList<?> l){  
    Object o;  
    Iterator i = l.get_iterator();  
    while (i.has_next()){  
        o = i.get_next();  
        System.out.println(o);  
    }  
}
```

- `?` stands for an arbitrary unknown type
- Avoids unnecessary type variable quantification when the type variable is not needed elsewhere

- Can declare wildcard instance of a generic class, but usability is limited

```
public class LinkedList<T>{...}
```

```
LinkedList<?> l;
```

- Can extract an iterator from wildcard instance of `l` ...

```
LinkedList<?> l = new LinkedList<String>();  
i = l.get_iterator();
```

- ... but cannot add elements to `l`

```
LinkedList<?> l = new LinkedList<String>();  
l.add(new Object()); // Compile time error
```

- Compiler cannot guarantee the types match

Bounded wildcards

- Suppose `Circle`, `Square` and `Rectangle` all extend `Shape`
- `Shape` has a method `draw()`
- All subclasses override `draw()`
- Want a function to draw all elements in a list of `Shape` compatible objects

```
public static void drawAll(LinkedList<? extends Shape> l){
    Object o;
    Iterator i = l.get_iterator();
    while (i.has_next()){
        o = i.get_next();
        o.draw();
    }
}
```

Bounded wildcards

- Copying a `LinkedList`, using a wildcard

```
public static <? extends T,T>
    void listcopy (LinkedList<?> src,
                  LinkedList<T> tgt){
    Object o;
    Iterator i = src.get_iterator();
    while (i.has_next()){
        o = i.get_next();
        tgt.add(o);
    }
}
```

- Can reverse the constraint, using `super`

```
public static <T,? super T>
    void listcopy (LinkedList<T> src,
                  LinkedList<?> tgt){
    Object o;
    Iterator i = src.get_iterator();
    while (i.has_next()){
        o = i.get_next();
        tgt.add(o);
    }
}
```


Type declarations vs type inference

- Java insists that all variables are declared in advance, with type information
- The compiler can then check whether the program is **well-typed**
- An alternative approach is to do **type inference**
- Derive type information from context. For instance, `s` should be `String`
`s = "Hello, " + "world";`
- Propagate type information: now `t` is also `String`
`t = s + 5;`

```
public class Employee {...}

public class Manager extends Employee {...}

Employee e;

Manager m;

public class Employee {...}

public class Manager extends Employee {...}

Employee e;

Manager m;

...

m = new Manager( );
```

Type inference

- Assume code is well-typed, derive most general types

- Use information from constants to determine type

```
s = "Hello, " + "world";
```

- Propagate type information based on already inferred types

```
t = s + 5;
```

- More ambitious?

- If `x.bonus()` is legal, `x` must be `Manager` rather than `Employee`

- Keep track of and validate **type obligations**

```
public class Employee {...}
```

```
public class Manager extends Employee {  
    ...  
    public double bonus (...) {...}  
}
```

```
...
```

```
public static f(Employee x){  
    ...  
    double d = x.bonus(...);  
    // x must be a Manager?  
    ...  
}
```

Type inference

- Assume program is type-safe, derive most general types compatible with code
 - Use information from constants to determine type
 - Propagate type information based on already inferred types
- Typing judgements should ideally be made at compile-time, not at run-time
 - **Static analysis** of code
- Balance flexibility with algorithmic tractability

```
public class Employee {...}

public class Manager extends Employee {
    ...
    public double bonus (...) {...}
}

...

public static f(Employee x){
    ...
    double d = x.bonus(...);
    // x must be a Manager?
    ...
}
```

Type inference in Java

- Java allows limited type inference
 - Only for local variables in functions
 - Not for instance variables of a class
- Use generic `var` to declare variables
 - Must be initialized when declared
 - Type is inferred from initial value
- Be careful about format for numeric constants
- For classes, infer most constrained type
 - `e` is inferred to be `Manager`
 - `Manager` extends `Employee`
 - If `e` should be `Employee`, declare explicitly

```
var b = false; // boolean
```

```
var s = "Hello, world"; // String
```

```
var d = 2.0; // double
```

```
var f = 3.141f; // float
```

```
var e = new Manager(...); // Manager
```