

MATHEMATICAL LOGIC, AUGUST–DECEMBER 2015

ASSIGNMENT 3: MSO AND TEMPORAL LOGIC

NOVEMBER 19, 2015

DUE: NOVEMBER 27, 2015

Note: Only electronic submissions accepted, via Moodle.

1. Write an MSO formula that captures the language of finite words over $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ in which a 's and b 's alternate. For instance, ccc , $cacc$, $bcacbccac$, $ababa$ are all in the language, while $caacb$, $bcbb$, aa are not.
2. Suppose we do *not* reduce our MSO language to have only set variables. Construct an automaton whose language is equivalent to the formula $x \in X$, where x is an individual variable and X is a set variable.
3. The dual of the until operator U in LTL is written W and called *unless* or *weak until*. This operator is defined as follows:

$$\varphi W \psi \equiv (\varphi U \psi) \vee G\varphi$$

- (a) Write a first-order formula $\alpha_{\varphi W \psi}(x)$ that captures the semantics of $\sigma, x \models \varphi W \psi$, where $\sigma = s_0 s_1 \dots s_n$ is a run and $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. As usual, you can assume that corresponding formulas $\alpha_{\varphi}(x)$ and $\alpha_{\psi}(x)$ have already been defined, inductively.
 - (b) Show that U can be expressed in terms of W . (First show that $G\varphi$ can be expressed in terms of W and then show how to express U using W and $F\varphi$.)
4. Construct an example to show that the CTL formula $AFAG\varphi$ is not equivalent to the LTL formula $FG\varphi$, assuming that both CTL and LTL are interpreted over infinite runs.