## MATHEMATICAL LOGIC, AUGUST-DECEMBER 2015

## Assignment 1: Propositional Logic

August 28, 2015

Due: September 7, 2015

Note: Only electronic submissions accepted, via Moodle.

- 1. Let  $|\alpha|$  denote the size of the formula  $\alpha$ . Define  $|\cdot|:\Phi\to\mathbb{N}$  as follows:
  - |p| = 0 where p is an atomic proposition.
  - $|\neg \alpha| = 1 + |\alpha|$
  - $|\alpha \vee \beta| = 1 + |\alpha| + |\beta|$

Let  $sf(\alpha)$  denote the set of subformulas of the formula  $\alpha$ . Define  $sf:\Phi\to 2^{\Phi}$  as follows:

- $sf(p) = \{p\} \text{ for } p \in \mathcal{P}$
- $sf(\neg \alpha) = \{(\neg \alpha)\} \cup sf(\alpha)$
- $sf((\alpha \lor \beta)) = \{(\alpha \lor \beta)\} \cup sf(\alpha) \cup sf(\beta)$

Let  $\#(sf(\alpha))$  denote the number of subformulas of  $\alpha$ .

Show that:

- $\#(sf(\alpha)) < 2|\alpha| + 1$
- For every i > 0, there is at least one formula  $\alpha_i$  with  $|\alpha_i| = i$  and  $\#(sf(\alpha_i)) = 2|\alpha_i| + 1$  and at least one  $\beta_i$  with  $|\beta_i| = i$  and  $\#(sf(\beta_i)) < 2|\beta_i| + 1$
- 2. A finite/infinite k-sequence is a finite/infinite string over the alphabet  $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ . For example, this is a finite 3-sequence: 0102101.

More formally, an infinite k-sequence is a function  $s : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  and a k-sequence of length  $\ell$  is a function  $s : \{0, 1, \dots, \ell-1\} \to \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ .

We say that a k-sequence s (finite or infinite) is n-free if there does not exist a finite k-sequence x such that  $x^n$  (x repeated n times) is a substring of s.

- (a) Show that there is no infinite 2-sequence that is 2-free.
- (b) Using König's lemma, show that there is an infinite 3-free 2-sequence.
- (c) Prove a similar result for 2-free 3-sequences.

3. Determine if each of the following sets of formulas is satisfiable or not. If it is satisfiable, provide the satisfying assignment. If not, provide a proof why it is not satisfiable.

(a) 
$$\{(p_0 \to p_1), (p_1 \to p_2), ((p_2 \lor p_3) \leftrightarrow \neg p_1)\}$$

(b) 
$$\{\neg(\neg p_1 \lor p_0), (p_0 \lor \neg p_2), (p_1 \to \neg p_2)\}.$$

(c) 
$$\{(p_3 \to p_1), (p_0 \lor \neg p_1), \neg (p_3 \land p_0), p_3\}.$$

4. Alternate proof of Completeness [Kalmár, 1935]:

Consider the system with three axiom schemes and one inference rule:

• Axiom 1:  $\alpha \to (\beta \to \alpha)$ 

• Axiom 2:  $(\alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma))$ 

• Axiom 3:  $(\neg \beta \rightarrow \neg \alpha) \rightarrow ((\neg \beta \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta)$ 

• Modus Ponens:  $\frac{\alpha, \alpha \to \beta}{\beta}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a formula from  $\Phi$  such that  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$  are the only connectives appearing in  $\alpha$ .

For completeness, we need to show that if  $\alpha$  is valid then  $\alpha$  is a theorem.

Let 
$$Voc(\alpha) = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k\}$$

Let v be a valuation over  $Voc(\alpha)$ .

For  $0 \le i \le n$  define

$$p_i' = \begin{cases} p_i & \text{if } v(p_i) = \top \\ \neg p_i & \text{if } v(p_i) = \bot \end{cases}$$

Similarly define

$$\alpha' = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } v(\alpha) = \top \\ \neg \alpha & \text{if } v(\alpha) = \bot \end{cases}$$

Let n be the number of occurrences of  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$  in  $\alpha$ .

**Subtask a:** When n = 0 show that  $p'_0, p'_1, \dots, p'_k \vdash \alpha'$ .

For the next two subtasks, assume that for all n < j, we have  $p'_0, p'_1, \ldots, p'_k \vdash \alpha'$ .

**Subtask b:** When n = j and  $\alpha$  is of the form  $\neg \beta$  show that  $p'_0, p'_1, \dots, p'_k \vdash \alpha'$ . Hint: Observe that  $\beta$  has fewer than j occurrences of  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$ . Argue separately for the cases when  $v(\beta) = \top$  and  $v(\beta) = \bot$ .

2

**Subtask c:** For n = j and  $\alpha$  of the form  $\beta \to \gamma$ , show that  $p'_0, p'_1, \ldots, p'_k \vdash \alpha'$ . Hint: As before, use the fact that  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  have fewer than j occurrences of  $\neg$  and  $\to$ . Argue separately for the different valuations of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ .

**Subtask d:** Conclude that for any  $\alpha$  and a valuation  $v, p'_0, p'_1, \dots, p'_k \vdash \alpha'$ .

Now, suppose  $\alpha$  is a valid formula.

**Subtask e:** Show that for any valuation  $v, p'_0, p'_1, \ldots, p'_k \vdash \alpha$ .

Suppose  $p_k = \top$ . Then  $p'_k = p_k$  and  $p'_0, p'_1, \ldots, p'_{k-1}, p_k \vdash \alpha$ . Similarly if  $p_k = \bot$ , then  $p'_k = \neg p_k$  and  $p'_0, p'_1, \ldots, p'_{k-1}, \neg p_k \vdash \alpha$ .

**Subtask f:** From this conclude that  $p'_0, p'_1, \ldots, p'_{k-1} \vdash \alpha$ .

**Subtask g:** Show that  $\vdash \alpha$  and conclude that if  $\alpha$  is valid then  $\alpha$  is a theorem.