

AML, 15 Oct 2019

Reinforcement learning

Supervised and unsupervised learning



Labeled training data



Look for patterns

RL - progress is based on rewards

e.g. the basis of AlphaGo

States (of the world), Actions available

States, Actions

state, action \rightarrow "reward" probabilistic

Goal: maximize rewards over a sequence of steps

Challenge: Estimate the rewards

Strategy to choose an action in a given state -

"Policy"

States, actions

Policy : In a state, what action to choose

Reward : Immediate feedback of choosing a given action at a state

Value : Long term estimated reward at a state

Model : Of the "environment"

Strength of RL is that model is optional

Situations

Game playing

Motion planning

"Feedback control" - e.g. balancing an object

Generally - we have a current estimate of rewards

↳ Choose best reward action "greedy"

↳ Choose to improve our knowledge of non-maximal rewards

Exploration vs Exploitation tradeoff



Search for new
action/reward info



Choose best reward known

Probabilistic setting

- Rewards are probabilistic $N(\mu, \sigma)$

- Policies may be probabilistic

$\underset{a}{\operatorname{argmax}}$ Estimated Reward (a) Exploitative

1. Multiple maxima - choose randomly

2. ϵ - explore

$1-\epsilon$ - exploit

Simplest concrete setting - only one state

One state, some actions, reward for each
action, state in time

k-armed bandit problem

Each arm i has reward $R_i = N(\mu_i, \sigma_i)$

For i in $1, 2, \dots, k$

Choose $\mu_i \in N(0, 1)$

Set $R_i = N(\mu_i, 1)$

At time $t = 1, 2, \dots$ we select action A_t

Corresponding reward is R_t

Estimates are $q_*(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E[R_t | A_t = a]$

By repeatedly choosing a , we get a good estimate of its mean

$$Q_t(a) = \frac{\text{sum of rewards for } a \text{ at time } \leq t}{\# \text{ of times we choose } a \leq t}$$

Greedy strategy

$$\text{Choose } A_t = \underset{a}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} Q_t(a)$$

Instead - ϵ -greedy

Choose argmax with prob $1-\epsilon$

Randomly choose non-max with prob. ϵ

[Graphs with $\epsilon = 0, 0.01, 0.1$]

Seen action a n times

$$Q_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n R_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left[R_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} R_i \right]$$

$$Q_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n} \left[R_n + \frac{(n-1)}{n-1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} R_i}{n-1} \right]$$

$$Q_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n} [R_n + nQ_n - Q_n]$$

$$= Q_n + \frac{1}{n} [R_n - Q_n]$$

New Estimate = Old estimate + α [D.f]
 decreasing with time $\frac{1}{n}$

Non stationary case - reward varies over time

$$Q_{n+1} = Q_n + \alpha [R_n - Q_n]$$

$$= \alpha R_n + (1-\alpha) \underline{Q_n}$$

$$\alpha R_{n-1} + (1-\alpha) Q_{n-1}$$

$$= \alpha R_n + \alpha(1-\alpha) R_{n-1} + (1-\alpha)^2 Q_{n-1}$$

\vdots

$$= (1-\alpha)^n Q_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(1-\alpha)^{n-i} R_i$$

Check that $(1-\alpha)^n + \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha(1-\alpha)^{n-l} = 1$

$$(1-\alpha)^n Q_1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha(1-\alpha)^{n-l} R_l$$

↓

< 1 - decays as n increases

"Exponential recency-weighted average"

Non stationary - choose $\alpha_n = \alpha$ (constant)
vs $\frac{1}{n}$

Some strategies

Optimistic Initial Values

Choose large initial estimates

With high probability each early greedy choice produces a lower than expected reward

- Reduce estimate
- Forces exploration
- Converges faster

But only works
if stationary

Systematic Exploration

Non greedy - choose an action uniformly

Instead

$$\operatorname{argmax}_a \left[Q_t(a) + c \sqrt{\frac{\ln t}{N_t(a)}} \right]$$

↓ estimate

time

↓ # of a so far

Actions not picked often get a higher chance of being explored