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## 1 The problem

The main problem is to determine the NIS which is defined below 1.1 formally, in an efficient manner.

Definition 1.1. $S=\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{m}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{F}^{n}\right)^{m}$ be a list of points in $\mathbb{F}^{n}$. We say that $S$ is an $(n, d, \epsilon)$-Noisy interpolating set (NIS) if there exists an algorithm $A_{S}$ such that for every $q \in$ $\overline{F_{d}\left[x_{1}, \cdots x_{n}\right] \text { and for every vector } e=}\left(e_{1}, \cdots e_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{F}^{m}$ such that $\left|\left\{i \in[m]: e_{i} \neq 0\right\}\right| \leq \epsilon \cdot m$, the algorithm $A_{S}$, when given an input the list of values $\left(q\left(a_{1}\right)+e_{1}, \cdots, q\left(a_{m}\right)+e_{m}\right)$, outputs the polynomial $q$ (as a list of coefficients). We say that $S$ is a proper NIS if the points $a_{1}, \cdots a_{m}$ are distinct. If $S$ is a proper NIS we can treat it as a subset $S \subset \mathbb{F}^{n}$.

We are also interested in looking at the asymptotic version of our problem which is why we define a sequence of such sets as follows:

Definition 1.2. $S=\left(S^{(n)}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $S^{(n)}$ is an $(n, d, \epsilon)-N I S$. We say that $S$ has an efficient interpolation algorithm if there exists a polynomial time algorithm $M(n, L)$ that takes an input an integer $n$ and a list $L$ of values in $\mathbb{F}$ such that the restriction $M(, \cdot)$ has the same behaviour as the algorithm $A_{S^{(n)}}$ described above.

Problem 1.1. The problem is to compute the NIS of a set of d-degree polynomials efficiently.

## 2 Main theorems

First we introduce a couple of notations:

Definition 2.1. 1. Set addition

$$
A+B=\{a+b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}
$$

2. $A \boxplus B$ is the list defined as:

$$
A \boxplus B=\left(a_{i}+b_{j}\right)_{i \in[m], j \in[l]} \in\left(\mathbb{F}^{n}\right)^{m l}
$$

The main theorem of the paper [DS08] that we have elucidated in the presentation and in this report is:

Theorem 2.1 (NIS). Consider $0<\epsilon_{1} \leq 1 / 2$ be a real number and $S_{1}$ be an ( $n, 1, \epsilon_{1}$ )-NIS and for each $d>1$ take $S_{d}=S_{d-1} \boxplus S_{1}$. Then for every $d>1$ the set $S_{d}$ is an $\left(n, d, \epsilon_{d}\right)$-NIS with $\epsilon_{d}=(\epsilon / 2)^{d}$. Moreover, if $S_{1}$ has an efficient interpolation algorithm, then so does $S_{d}$.

Here is an interesting result that follows from the theorem:

Corollary 2.1 (NIS). For every prime field $\mathbb{F}$ and for every $d>0$ there exists an $\epsilon>0$ and a collection $\mathcal{S}=\left(S^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $S^{(n)}$ is an $(n, d, \epsilon)-$ NIS and such that $\mathcal{S}$ has an efficient interpolation algorithm. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\left|S^{(n)}\right|=O\left(n^{d}\right)$ and it is possible to generate the set $S^{(n)}$ in time poly $(n)$.

Proof. In order to prove corollary 2.1, we just need to construct, for all $n$ an $(n, 1, \epsilon)$-NIS $S_{1}^{(n)}$ with an efficient interpolation algorithm and $\epsilon$ which does not depend on $n$. The corollary will then follow using theorem 2.1.

To construct $S_{1}$ we take a good collection of linear codes $\left\{C_{n}\right\}$, where $C_{n}$ is an $\left[m_{n}, n, k_{n}\right]$-code over $\mathbb{F}$ that has an efficient decoding algorithm that can decode a constant fraction of errors and such that the generating matrix of $C_{n}$ is found in polynomial time (which are known to exist from the result in [MS77]). Now take $a_{1} \cdots a_{m_{n}} \in \mathbb{F}^{n}$ be the rows of its generating matrix. We define $S_{1}^{(n)}$ to be the list of points $\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{m_{n}}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m_{n}}\right)$, where for each $j \in\left[m_{n}\right]$ we set $b_{j}=0$. That is, $S_{1}^{(n)}$ contains the rows of the generating matrix of a good code, together with the points 0 , taken with multiplicity $m_{n}$. Lemma 3.3 now shows that $S_{1}^{(n)}$ satisfies the required conditions.

In order to state the next main result in the paper (which we have not elaborated here) we formally state the star condition.

Definition 2.2 (Condition $\star_{k}$ ). Consider $S \in \mathbb{F}^{n}, S=\{0\}$ and for each $d \geq 1$ consider $S_{d}=S_{d-1}+S$. Consider $k>0$ be an integer. For each $x \in S_{d}$ consider $N_{d}(x)=$ $\left|\left\{b \in S \mid s \in S_{d-1}+b\right\}\right|$. We say that $S$ satisfies condition $\star_{k}$ if for every $0<d \leq k$ we have

$$
\left|\left\{x \in S_{d} \mid N_{d}(x)>d\right\}\right| \leq\left|S_{d-2}\right|
$$

condition satisfied.

Equipped with this condition we are now ready to state the next main result about proper NIS in the paper which we don't include in details in this report, and interested readers can refer to the main paper [DS08].

Theorem 2.2 (Proper-NIS). Consider $0<\epsilon_{1} \leq 1 / 2$ be a real number and $k>0$ be an integer. There exists a constant $C_{0}$, depending only on $\epsilon$ and $k$, such that for all $n>C_{0}$ the following holds: For every proper $\left(n, 1, \epsilon_{1}\right)$-NIS set $S_{1}$ and for each $d>1$ denote $S_{d}=S_{d-1}+S_{1}$. Suppose $S_{1}$ satisfies the condition $\star_{s}$ (definition 2.2). Then for every $1<d \leq k$ the set $S_{d}$ is a (proper) $\left(n, d, \epsilon_{d}\right)$-NIS with

$$
\epsilon_{d}=\frac{1}{d!} \cdot\left(\frac{\epsilon_{1}}{3}\right)^{d}
$$

Moreover, if $S_{1}$ has an efficient interpolation algorithm, then so does $S_{d}$.

Proof. The proof of this result is not included in here, however one can refer the main paper [DS08]: proof of theorem 2 section.

## 3 Preliminaries

Lemma 3.1. Take $q \in \mathbb{F}_{d}\left[x_{1}, \cdots x_{n}\right]$ and $q_{d}$ be its homogenous part of degree $d$ and $a, b$ are elements of $\mathbb{F}^{n}$ then

$$
q(x+a)-q(x+b)=\partial_{q_{d}}(x, a-b)+E(x)
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(E) \leq d-2$. In other words, the directional derivative of $q_{d}$ in direction $a-b$ is given by the homogenous part of degree $d-1$ in the difference $q(x+a)-q(x+b)$

Proof (sketch). Note that it is enough to prove the lemma for the case $q$ is a monomial of degree $d$ and then the result follows from linearity and from the fact that derivatives of all monomials in $q$ are of degree smaller than $d$ at most $d-2$. Then taking $M(x)=\prod_{i} x_{i}^{c_{i}}$ and observing

$$
M(x+a)=M(x)+\sum_{i} a_{i} \cdot \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_{i}}(x)+E_{1}(x) \quad \text { with } \operatorname{deg}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq d-2
$$

and then considering $M(x+b)-M(x+a)$ the result follows

Lemma 3.2. Take $q \in \mathbb{F}_{d}\left[x_{1}, \cdots x_{n}\right]$. Given the vector of partial derivatives $\Delta_{q}(x)$, it is possible to reconstruct $q$ in polynomial time.

Proof (sketch). The idea is to go over all monomials of degree $\leq d$ and find out the coefficients they have in $q$ as: For every monomial $M$, take $i$ the first index such that $x_{i}$ appears in the $M$ with positive degree. Consider $\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_{i}}(x)$ and check whether the coefficient of the derivative of that monomial is zero or not. And to get the coefficient in $q$ we divide that by the degree of $x_{i}$ in the monomial.

Lemma 3.3. $C$ is an $[m, n, k]$ code over $\mathbb{F}$ such that $C$ has an efficient decoding algorithm that can correct an $\alpha$-fraction of errors. For $i \in[m]$ suppose $a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}^{n}$ be the ith row of the generating matrix of $C$. Then,

1. $S^{0}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}, \overline{0}, \cdots \overline{0}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{F}^{n}\right)^{2 m}$. Then $S^{0}$ is an $(n, 1, \alpha / 2)$-NIS with an efficient interpolation algorithm.
2. $S=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{F}^{n}\right)^{m}$ and suppose that the maximal hamming weight of a codeword in $C$ is smaller than $(1-2 \alpha) \cdot m$. Then $S$ is an $(n, 1, \alpha)$-NIS with an efficient interpolation algorithm.

Proof (sketch). 1. The idea is to first take a degree of one polynomial $q$. The interpolation algorithm for $S^{0}$ will work as:

First look at the values of $q(x)$ on the last $m$ points(the zeroes). The majority of these values will be $q(0)$ which will give us the constant term in $q$. Take $q_{1}(x)=q(x)-q(0)$ is the linear part of $q$ and this reduces to the problem of recovering the homogeneous linear function $q_{1}$ form its values on $\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right)$ with at most $\alpha \cdot m$ errors. This task is achieved using the decoding algorithm for $C$, since the vector $\left(q_{1}\left(a_{1}\right), \cdots, q\left(a_{m}\right)\right)$ is just the encoding of the vector of coefficients of $q_{1}$ with the code $C$.
2. In this take $\left(v_{1}, \cdots v_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{F}$ be the list of input values given $\left(v_{i}=q\left(a_{i}\right)\right.$ for a $1-\alpha$ fraction of the $i$ ). Then we go over all $p=|\mathbb{F}|$ possible choices for $q(0)$ and for each "guess" $c \in \mathbb{F}$ do: Subtract
$c$ from the values $\left(v_{1}, \cdots v_{m}\right)$ and then use the decoding algorithm of $C$ to decode the vector $V_{c}=$ $\left(v_{1}-c, \cdots, v_{m}-c\right)$. Clearly, for $c=q(0)$ this procedure will give the list of coefficients of $q(x)$ as output. So we are just required to find which invocation of the decoding algorithm is the correct one.
Say the decoding algorithm, on input $V_{c}$, returns a linear polynomial $q_{c}(x)$ (there is no constant term). We can then check to see whether $q_{c}\left(a_{i}\right)+c$ is indeed equal to $v_{i}$ for a $1-\alpha$ fraction of the $i$ s. If we can show that this test succeeds only for a single $c \in \mathbb{F}$ then we are done and the lemma shall follow. So to prove that, suppose on the contrary that there are two linear polynomials $q_{c}(x)$ and $q_{c^{\prime}}(x)$ such that both agree with a fraction $1-\alpha$ of the input values. This means that there exist two codeword $W_{c}, W_{c^{\prime}} \in \mathbb{F}^{m}$ in $C$ such that $\operatorname{dist}\left(V_{c}, W_{c}\right) \leq \alpha \cdot m$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(V_{c^{\prime}}, W_{c^{\prime}}\right) \leq \alpha \cdot m$ which implies that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(V_{c}-V_{c^{\prime}}, W_{c}-W_{c^{\prime}}\right) \leq 2 \alpha \cdot m
$$

Now the vectors $V_{c}-V_{c^{\prime}}$ has the value $c^{\prime}-c \neq 0$ in all of its coordinates and so we get that the hamming weight of the codeword $W_{c}-W_{c^{\prime}}$ is at least $(1-2 \alpha) \cdot m$ contradicting the properties of $C$.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section we finally present the proof of the theorem 2.1.
Proof. Take $S_{1}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots a_{m}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{F}^{n}\right)^{m}$ be an $\left(n, 1, \epsilon_{1}\right)$-NIS of size $\left|S_{1}\right|=m$ and $S_{d-1}=\left(b_{1}, \cdots b_{r}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{F}^{n}\right)^{r}$ be an $\left(n, d-1, \epsilon_{d-1}\right)$-NIS of size $\left|S_{d-1}\right|=r$ and $A_{d-1}$ and $A_{1}$ be interpolation algorithms for $S_{d_{1}}$ and $S_{1}$ respectively. Take $S_{d}=S_{d-1} \boxplus S_{1}$. We shall prove the theorem by showing that $S_{d}$ has an interpolation algorithm that makes at most a polynomial number of calls to $A_{d-1}$ and to $A_{1}$ and can "correct" a fraction $\epsilon_{d}=\frac{\epsilon_{1} \cdot \epsilon_{d-1}}{2}$ of errors.

So fix, $q \in \mathbb{F}_{d}\left[x_{1}, \cdots x_{n}\right]$ to be some degree $d$ polynomial and $q_{d}$ be its homogeneous part of degree $d$. Now denote $S_{d}=\left(c_{1}, \cdots c_{m r}\right)$ where each $c_{i} \in \mathbb{F}^{n}$. We also denote by $e=\left(e_{1}, \cdots e_{m r}\right) \in \mathbb{F}^{m r}$ the list of "errors", so that $|\{i \in[m r] \mid e \neq 0\}| \leq \epsilon_{d} \cdot m r$. The list $S_{d}$ can be partitioned in a natural way into all the "shifts" of the list $S_{d-1}$. Now define for each $i \in[m]$ the list $T_{i}=\left(b_{1}+a_{i}, \cdots b_{r}+a_{i}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{F}^{n}\right)^{r}$. We thus have that $S_{d}$ is the concatenation of $T_{1}, \cdots T_{m}$. Partition the list of errors in a similar way into $m$ lists.

We say that index $i$ is good if $\left|\left\{j \in[r] \mid e_{j}^{(i)} \neq 0\right\}\right| \leq\left(\epsilon_{d-1} / 2\right) \cdot\left|T_{i}\right|=\left(\epsilon_{d-1} / 2\right) \cdot r$. Denote $E:=$ $\{i \in[m] \mid i$ is bad $\}$. From the bound on the total number of errors we get that $|E| \leq \epsilon_{1} \cdot m$.

The idea of the algorithm is, in the first step we look at all pairs $\left(T_{i}, T_{j}\right)$ and from each one attempt to reconstruct, using $A_{d-1}$, the directional derivative $\partial_{q_{d}}\left(x, a_{i}-a_{j}\right)$. We will claim that this step gives the correct output for most pairs $\left(T_{i}, T_{j}\right)$. In the next step we take all the directional derivatives obtained in the first step and from them reconstruct, using $A_{1}$, the vector of derivatives $\Delta_{q_{d}}(x)$ and so also recover $q_{d}(x)$. In the last step of the algorithm we recover the polynomial $q_{\leq d-1}(x)=q(x)-q_{d}(x)$, again using $A_{d-1}$ which shall give us $q(x)=q_{\leq d-1}(x)+q_{d}(x)$.

The algorithm
Step 1 Take $i \neq j \in[m]$ be two good indices as defined above, we shall show how to reconstruct $\partial_{q_{d}}\left(x, a_{i}-a_{j}\right)$ from the values in $T_{i}, T_{j}$. Recall that we have the list of values $L_{i}=\left(q\left(b_{1}+a_{i}\right)+e_{1}^{(i)}, \cdots q\left(b_{r}+a_{i}\right)+e_{r}^{(i)}\right)$ and define:

$$
L_{i j}:=L_{i}-L_{j}=\left(q\left(b_{1}+a_{i}\right)-q\left(b_{1}+a_{j}\right)+e_{1}^{(i)}-e_{1}^{(j)}, \cdots, q\left(b_{r}+a_{i}\right)-q\left(b_{r}+a_{j}\right)+e_{r}^{(i)}-e_{r}^{(j)}\right)
$$

and observe that since $i$ and $j$ are both good we have that the terms $e_{l}^{(i)}$ and $e_{l}^{(j)}$ is non zero for at most $\epsilon_{d-1} \cdot r$ values of $l \in[r]$. Therefore, we can use algorithm $A_{d-1}$ to recover the degree $d-1$ polynomials $Q_{i j}(x)-q\left(x+a_{i}\right)-q\left(x+a_{j}\right)$ from the list $L_{i j}$. From lemma 3.1 we see that throwing away all monomials of degree less than $d-1$ in $Q_{i j}$ leaves us with $\partial_{q_{d}}\left(x, a_{i}-a_{j}\right)$. On carrying out the
first step for all pairs $\left(T_{i}, T_{j}\right)$ and obtaining $\binom{m}{2}$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $d-1$, we denote them by $R_{i j}(x)$. Now since we know that $i$ and $j$ are both good we get

$$
R_{i j}(x)=\partial_{q_{d}}\left(x, a_{i}-a_{j}\right)
$$

Step 2 In this step we take the polynomials $R_{i j}$ obtained in the first step and recover from them the polynomials $\Delta_{q_{d}}(x)$ (after which using lemma 3.2 shall give us $q_{d}(x)$ ). Denote the set of degree $d-1$ monomials is indexed by the set $I_{d-1}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right) \mid \alpha_{i} \geq 0, \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}=d-1\right\}$. We denote $x^{\alpha}=\prod_{i} x_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$ annd $\operatorname{coef}\left(x^{\alpha}, h\right)$ the coefficient of the monomial $x^{\alpha}$ in a polynomial $h(x)$. Take $\alpha \in I_{d-1}$ and define the degree 1 polynomial

$$
U_{\alpha}\left(y_{1}, \cdots y_{n}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{n} \operatorname{coef}\left(x^{\alpha}, \frac{\partial q_{d}}{\partial x_{l}}\right) y_{l}
$$

Now observe that

$$
\partial_{q_{d}}\left(x, a_{i}-a_{j}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)_{l} \cdot \frac{\partial q_{d}}{\partial x_{l}}(x) \sum_{\alpha \in I_{d-1}} x^{\alpha} \cdot U_{\alpha}\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)
$$

Therefore, for each pair $i, j$ such that $i$ and $j$ are good we can get the (correct) values $U_{\alpha}\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)$ for all $\alpha \in I_{d_{1}}$ by observing the coefficients of $R_{i j}$.
Now fix some $\alpha \in I_{d-1}$ and using the procedure implied above for all pairs $i \neq j \in[m]$, we get $\binom{m}{2}$ values $u_{i j} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that if $i$ and $j$ are good then $u_{i j}=U_{\alpha}\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)$. We now recover $U_{\alpha}$ from $u_{i j}$ s. Repeating this procedure for all $\alpha \in I_{d-1}$ shall give $\Delta_{q_{d}}(x)$.
Since $\alpha$ is fixed apriori, we denote $U(y)=U_{\alpha}(y)$. We have a list of values $\left(u_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in[m]}$ such that there exists a set $E=\{i \in[m] \mid i$ is bad $\}$ of size $|E| \leq \epsilon_{1} \cdot m$ such that if $i$ and $j$ are not in $E$ then $u_{i j}=$ $U\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)$. Now partition the list $\left(u_{i j}\right)$ according to the index $j$ into disjoint lists: $B_{j}=\left(u_{1 j}, \cdots, u_{m j}\right)$. If $j \notin R$ then the list $B_{j}$ contains the values of the degree 1 polynomials $U_{j}(y)=U\left(y-a_{j}\right)$ on the set $S_{1}$ with at most $\epsilon_{1} \cdot m$ errors (that is the errors will correspond to indices $i \in E$ ). Therefore, we can use $A_{1}$ in order to reconstruct $U_{j}$, and from it $U$. Now our "problem" is that we do not know which $j$ s are good. This problem can be solved by applying the above procedure for all $j \in[m]$ and then taking the majority vote. Since all good $j$ s will return the correct $U(y)$ we will have a clear majority of at least a $1-\epsilon_{1}$ fraction. Combining all of the above gives us the polynomial $q_{d}(x)$ and thus completing this step.

Step 3 Now we have recovered $q_{d}$ s from the previous steps, so we now abstract out the value $q_{d}\left(c_{i}\right)$ from the input list of values (which are values of $q(x)$ on $S_{d}$, with $\epsilon_{d}$ fraction of errors "noise"). This reduces us to the problem of recovering the degree $d-1$ polynomial $q_{\leq d-1}=q(x)-q_{d}(x)$ from its values in $S_{d}$ with a fraction $\epsilon_{d}$ of errors. But this can be solved by using the algorithm $A_{d-1}$ (recall 4) on the values in each list $T_{j}$ and then taking the majority. Since for good $j$ s $T_{j}$ contains at most $\epsilon_{d-1} \cdot r$ errors, and since there are more than half good $j$ s, we will get a clear majority and so be able to recover $q_{\leq d-1}$.
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