

HODGE-TATE DECOMPOSITION FOR ABELIAN VARIETIES WITH GOOD REDUCTION

AYAN NATH

Abstract. Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and A/K an abelian variety with good reduction. We give a brief account of Hodge-Tate decomposition for the p -adic étale cohomology of A following Fontaine [Fon82].

1. Introduction

Fix a finite extension K/\mathbb{Q}_p , a completed algebraic closure $\bar{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$, and Galois group $G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. It is well-known that \mathbb{C}_p is algebraically closed. Since G_K acts on \bar{K} by isometries, it follows that the action of G extends to \mathbb{C}_p . Given a finite-dimensional representation V of G_K over \mathbb{Q}_p , one can consider the diagonal action of G_K on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p$. Of course, it is \mathbb{Q}_p -linear but not \mathbb{C}_p -linear. Such a \mathbb{C}_p -vector space is called a *semi-linear* Galois representation.

Theorem 1.1 (Hodge-Tate decomposition). *Let A be an abelian variety over K with good reduction. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of semi-linear Galois representations*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \simeq (H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \oplus (H^0(A, \Omega_{A/K}^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \chi_{\text{cyc}}^\vee)) \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p,$$

where χ_{cyc}^\vee is the dual of the p -adic cyclotomic character.

Remark 1.2. For an abelian variety, the cohomology ring $H_{\text{ét}}^\bullet(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is canonically isomorphic to the exterior algebra over $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Therefore, it suffices to understand the first cohomology group.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Tate modules.

Definition 2.1.1. Given an abelian group A and a prime p , the p -adic Tate module of A is defined as the inverse limit

$$T_p A = \varprojlim_n A[p^n],$$

with transition morphisms given by the multiplication-by- p map $A[p^{n+1}] \rightarrow A[p^n]$. It is naturally a torsion-free \mathbb{Z}_p -module.

Example 2.1.2. The p -adic cyclotomic character $\chi_{\text{cyc}}: G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is defined as $T_p \mathbb{G}_{m, K}(\bar{K}) = T_p \bar{K}^\times$, with the obvious Galois action, together with the choice of a (suitable) element. From now onwards, we denote the p -adic cyclotomic character as $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$, also known as a **Tate twist**. Naturally, set $\mathbb{Z}_p(-1) = \mathbb{Z}_p(1)^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p(1), \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathbb{Z}_p(n) := \mathbb{Z}_p(1)^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathbb{C}_p(n) := \mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p(n)$ for all integers n .

Theorem 2.1.3 ([Tate, Theorems 1-2]). (i) $\dim_K H^i(G_K, \mathbb{C}_p) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0, 1 \\ 0, & i \geq 2 \end{cases}$.

Date: 11th April, 2024.

Affiliation: BSc 3rd year, Chennai Mathematical Institute

(ii) If n is nonzero, then $H^i(G_K, \mathbb{C}_p(n)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Corresponding to any abelian variety A , there is a dual abelian variety $A^\vee := \text{Pic}^0 A$. There is a biduality isomorphism $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{\vee\vee}$.

Theorem 2.1.4. *Let A be an abelian variety over K . Then $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq T_p A^\vee$.*

Sketch. Start with the Kummer sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0.$$

Passing to cohomology and using $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \text{Pic} A$, one derives $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mu_{p^n}) \simeq (\text{Pic}^0 A_{\bar{K}})[p^n]$. Taking inverse limits, we get the desired result. \square

2.2. Weil pairing. It turns out that n -torsion group schemes of A and A^\vee are Cartier duals of each other. There is a Weil (perfect) pairing

$$A[p^n](\bar{K}) \times A^\vee[p^n](\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mu_{p^n}(\bar{K})$$

compatible with the Galois action. Passing to Tate modules, one obtains a Galois-equivariant perfect pairing

$$T_p A \times T_p A^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(1).$$

Consequently,

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq T_p A^\vee \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T_p A, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \simeq (T_p A)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p(1).$$

2.3. p -adic periods. Consider the $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ -module of Kähler differentials $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}$. Since $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] = \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p = \Omega_{\bar{K}/K} = 0$, it follows that $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}$ is p -torsion. The following theorem of Fontaine gives a complete understanding of the rational Tate module of $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}$.

Theorem 2.3.1 ([Fon82, §1]). *There is a natural isomorphism of G_K -modules*

$$T_p \mathbb{G}_{m,K}(\bar{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} T_p \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

induced by $\text{dlog}: \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}^\times \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}$, $f \mapsto \frac{df}{f}$.

Note that the domain of the above isomorphism is (non-canonically) isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}_p(1)$.

3. Proof of Hodge-Tate decomposition

Let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ be an abelian scheme with generic fiber $A \rightarrow \text{Spec} K$. Any point $P \in A(\bar{K})$ extends to $\bar{P} \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{K})$ by valuative criterion of properness. Evaluating differentials at \bar{P} induces a morphism $\bar{P}^* \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}$. This induces a map

$$A(\bar{K}) \times H^0(\mathcal{A}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}.$$

This is actually a Galois-equivariant bilinear pairing due to translation invariance of global differential forms. Passing to Tate modules, we get a canonical map

$$F: H^0(\mathcal{A}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_K]}(T_p A, T_p \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}).$$

It is a routine check that the above morphism is independent of the choice of model \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 3.1. *F is injective.*

The above result is proven by passing to formal completions, which we postpone to Section 4. Using Theorem 2.1.4, F can be rewritten as

$$H^0(\mathcal{A}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K}) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_K]} T_p \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}.$$

Inverting p , using Theorem 2.3.1, and twisting by $\mathbb{C}_p(-1)$, we get an injection

$$\beta_A: H^0(A, \Omega_{A/K}) \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p(-1) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Similarly,

$$\beta_{A^\vee}: H^0(A^\vee, \Omega_{A^\vee/K}) \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p(-1) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}^\vee, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Dualizing, using $H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)^\vee \simeq H^0(A^\vee, \Omega_{A^\vee/K})$ ([Mum, §13]), and the Weil pairing, we obtain a surjection of Galois modules

$$\alpha_A: H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Consider $(\alpha_A \circ \beta_A) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_p}(1): H^0(A, \Omega_{A/K}) \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p(1)$. Observe that any $e \otimes 1 \in H^0(A, \Omega_{A/K}) \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p$ is G_K -invariant. Hence, the image of $e \otimes 1$ lies in $(H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p(1))^{G_K}$, which is 0 by Theorem 2.1.3. Since elements of the form $e \otimes 1$ generate the domain, it follows that $\alpha_A \circ \beta_A = 0$. Because of dimension reasons, α_A and β_A give an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(A, \Omega_{A/K}) \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p(-1) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

It is now sufficient to show that $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{C}_p, \mathbb{C}_p(-1)) = 0$ in the category of finite-dimensional semi-linear Galois representations over \mathbb{C}_p . However, observe that $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_p, -) = (-)^{G_K}$ in the same category. Thus, Theorem 2.1.3 (b) finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

4. Proof of Proposition 3.1

We follow [Mon]. Define the ‘perfection’ \tilde{A} as the inverse limit of the following system

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\cdot p} A(\bar{K}) \xrightarrow{\cdot p} \dots \xrightarrow{\cdot p} A(\bar{K}) \xrightarrow{\cdot p} A(\bar{K}) \xrightarrow{\cdot p} A(\bar{K}).$$

Denote by $\tilde{\Omega}$ the perfection of $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}$. Similar to the construction of F , we can form a map

$$\tilde{F}: H^0(\mathcal{A}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_K]}(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\Omega}).$$

We claim that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\mathcal{A}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K}) & \xrightarrow{F_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_K]}(T_p A, T_p \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \\ & \searrow \tilde{F}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & \uparrow \\ & & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_K]}(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\Omega}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \end{array}$$

Since $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}$ is p -torsion, the natural inclusion $T_p \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ induces an isomorphism $\tilde{\Omega}[1/p] \simeq T_p \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p = \mathbb{C}_p(1)$ by Theorem 2.3.1. We have a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_p A \rightarrow \tilde{A} \rightarrow A(\bar{K}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Apply $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_K]}(-, \tilde{\Omega}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. Observe that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_K]}(A(\bar{K}), \tilde{\Omega}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_K]}(A(\bar{K}), \mathbb{C}_p(1)) = 0$. For if $\phi: A(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p(1)$ is a Galois equivariant morphism, we have $\phi(A(L)) \subseteq H^0(G_L, \mathbb{C}_p(1)) = 0$ for every finite extension L/K . Let θ be a non-vanishing global differential on \mathcal{A} . We need to show that $F(\theta) \neq 0$. If $\theta \in \mathfrak{m}_K \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K}$, we can divide θ by some power of the uniformizer of K so that θ is non-vanishing modulo \mathfrak{m}_K . This is ok to do because $T_p \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\mathcal{O}_K}$ is torsion-free. It suffices to show that $\tilde{F}(\theta)$ is not torsion. Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the formal completion of \mathcal{A} along the unit section. By smoothness, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Spf}_{\mathcal{O}_K}[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$

where $d = \dim A$. Observe that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}})$ and that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}})$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{K}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{K}}$. Expand θ in formal coordinates:

$$\theta = \sum_i F_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_i, \quad F_i \in \mathcal{O}_K[[x_1, \dots, x_d]].$$

Since we have assumed that the the reduction modulo \mathfrak{m}_K of θ is nonzero, it follows that $F_i(0, \dots, 0)$ must be a unit for some i , say for $i = 1$. Then for any point $P = (x, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{K}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{K}}$ and any lift $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{A}$, we have $p_0(\tilde{F}(\theta)(\tilde{P})) = F_1(x, 0, \dots, 0) dx$ where $p_0: \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}}/\mathcal{O}_K}$ is the canonical projection. Since $F_1(x, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}^\times$ and x can be varied over p -power roots of p , we conclude. \square

References

- [Fon82] J. M. Fontaine, *Formes différentielles et modules de Tate des variétés Abéliennes sur les corps locaux*, Invent. Math. **65** (1982), 379-409
- [Mon] Gerard Freixas i Montplet, *An introduction to Hodge-Tate decompositions*, In An excursion into p -adic Hodge theory: from foundations to recent trends, pages 1–19, Panoramas et Synthèses bf 54 Société Mathématique de France, 2019.
- [Mum] D. Mumford, *Abelian Varieties*, Hindustan Book Agency (2012)
- [Tate] J. Tate, *p -divisible groups*, in Proceedings of a Conference on Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966), Springer, 1967, p. 158–183.