## LECTURE 8

## MT-groups over a complete noetherian ring

## 18. MT-groups over infinitesimal thickenings

REMARK 18.0. Beware that assertion (1) of the proposition below cannot be derived from Th. 17.1 because in that theorem there is a smoothness assumption on the target group, whereas a MT-group is not necessarily smooth:  $\mu_n$  is not smooth over S is some residual characteristic of S divides n.

**PROPOSITION 18.1.** Let S be a scheme and  $S_0$  a closed subscheme having the same underlying topological space. Then:

- (1) The functor  $H \mapsto H_0 = H \times_S S_0$  from the category of MT-groups over S to the analogous one over  $S_0$  is fully faithful.
- (2) It induces an equivalence between the subcategory of quasi-isotrivial, resp. isotrivial, MT-groups over S and the analogous one over  $S_0$

**PROOF.** (1) Let H, G be MT-groups over S. Let us prove that the map

 $u : \operatorname{Hom}_{S\operatorname{-gr.}}(H, G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S_0\operatorname{-gr.}}(H_0, G_0)$ 

is bijective. As this question is local over S, we may assume S affine. Then there exists a faithfully flat morphism  $S' \to S$ , with S' affine, such that the pullbacks H' and G' are diagonalisable. Let H'' and G'' denote the pullbacks over  $S'' = S' \times_S S'$ . Let  $S'_0 = S \times_S S_0$  and define similarly  $H'_0, G'_0$ , etc. One has then a commutative diagram with exact rows:

hence to prove that u is bijective, it suffices to do so for u' and u''. We are therefore reduced to the case where H and G are diagonalisable, say  $G = D(M)_S$  and  $H = D(N)_S$ . Then  $G_0 = D(M)_{S_0}$  and  $H_0 = D(M)_{S_0}$ . By Cor. 6.3 and the proof of Prop. 7.5 in Lecture 3, we obtain a commutative diagram:

where  $\text{Loc}_{\text{grp}}(M \times S, N)$  is the set of maps  $M \times S \to N$  which are additive in the first variable and locally constant in the second, and where D(u) is the map induced by the inclusion  $S_0 \to S$ . Since  $S_0$  and S have the same underlying topological space, D(u) is bijective, hence so is u. This proves (1).

(2) Let  $H_0$  be a quasi-isotrivial (resp. isotrivial) MT-group over  $S_0$ . We have to prove that there exists a quasi-isotrivial (resp. isotrivial) MT-group H over S such that  $H \times_S S_0 \simeq H_0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>Version of Sep. 1, 2023. This is the original version prepared for the lecture. The shortcuts discussed during the lecture were not legitimate, see Remark 18.0, so we revert to the original text.

By hypothesis, there exists a surjective étale (resp. finite étale) morphism  $S'_0 \to S_0$  such that the pullback  $H'_0$  is a diagonalisable group  $D(M)_{S'_0}$ . Now, recall that the functor  $X \mapsto X_0 = X \times_S S_0$  is an equivalence between the category of schemes étale over S and that of schemes étale over  $S_0$ ; see [SGA1], Exp. I, 8.3 when S is locally noetherian, and EGA IV<sub>4</sub>, 18.1.2 in general. Thus, there exists a surjective (resp. finite étale) étale morphism  $S' \to S$  such that  $S'_0 = S' \times_S S_0$ .

Then  $H' = D(M)_{S'}$  is such that  $H' \times_{S'} S'_0 = H'_0$ . Define as usual S'', S''' and note  $S'' \times_S S_0 \simeq S'_0 \times_{S_0} S'_0$  and similarly for  $S'''_0$ . As  $H'_0 = H_0 \times_{S_0} S'_0$ , it is endowed by with a descent datum relative to  $S'_0 \to S_0$ . Applying the result of (1) to the pairs  $(S'', S''_0)$  and  $(S''', S'''_0)$ , one obtains that this descent datum comes from a descent datum on H' relative to  $S' \to S$ . Since H' is affine over S', this descent datum is effective, by Theorem 8.18 of Lecture 4. Thus there exists a S-group scheme H such that  $H \times_S S' = H' = D_{S'}(M)$ , and hence H is a quasi-isotrivial (resp. isotrivial) MT-group over S.

Further, the pullbacks of  $H \times_S S_0$  and  $H_0$  by  $S'_0 \to S_0$  are isomorphic. Since  $S'_0 \to S_0$  is surjective and étale, it is a morphism of descent (see e.g. Lemme 8.22), hence the previous isomorphism comes from an isomorphism  $H \times_S S_0 \simeq H_0$ . This completes the proof of (2).

REMARK 18.2. Suppose for simplicity that  $S = \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda$  is affine. Then a closed subscheme  $S_0 = \operatorname{Spec}(\Lambda/I)$  has the same underlying space topological space if and only if I is a *nilideal*, i.e. for every  $a \in I$  there exists an integer n such that  $a^n = 0$ . If  $\Lambda$  is not noetherian, I need not be nilpotent: for example, let k be a field, A the polynomial ring over k in infinitely many variables  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  and  $\Lambda$  the quotient of A by the relations  $X_i^{i+1} = 0$ . Then the maximal ideal of  $\Lambda$  is a nilideal which is not nilpotent.

For simplicity, assume now that  $S = \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda$  and  $S_0 = \operatorname{Spec}(\Lambda/I)$ . Under the additional assumption that I be nilpotent, one obtains the following stronger result.

THEOREM 18.3. Suppose that  $S = \text{Spec} \Lambda$  and  $S_0 = \text{Spec}(\Lambda/I)$ , with I nilpotent. Let H be a flat S-group scheme such that  $H_0$  is a quasi-isotrivial, resp. isotrivial, MT-group over  $S_0$ . Then H is a quasi-isotrivial, resp. isotrivial, MT-group over S.

PROOF. Suppose that  $H_0$  is a quasi-isotrivial (resp. isotrivial) MT-group over  $S_0$ . Proceeding as in the previous proof, we obtain a surjective étale (resp. finite étale) morphism  $S' \to S$  such that  $H'_0 \simeq D(M)_{S'_0}$ . We want to prove that  $H \simeq D(M)_{S'}$ . So, replacing S by S', we are reduced to the case where  $H_0 = D(M)_{S_0}$ .

Set  $G = D(M)_S$ . Then we have an isomorphism  $u_0 : H_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_0$ . Let us show<sup>1</sup> that  $u_0$  lifts uniquely to a morphism of S-group schemes  $u : H \to G$ . By Cor. 6.3 one has

(18.1)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S\operatorname{-gr.}}(H,G) = \operatorname{Hom}_{S\operatorname{-gr.}}(M_S, \operatorname{\underline{Hom}}_{S\operatorname{-gr.}}(H, \mathbb{G}_{m,S})) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{grp}}(M, \operatorname{Hom}_{S\operatorname{-gr.}}(H, \mathbb{G}_{m,S}))$ 

the second equality coming from  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S-\operatorname{gr.}}(M_S, Y) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{grp}}(M, Y(S))$  for any S-group scheme Y. Then, we have a commutative diagram:

where the vertical maps are induced by the base change  $S_0 \to S$ . Since H is flat over S and  $H_0$  of multiplicative type and  $G = \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$  is smooth and *commutative* (so that the inner automorphisms are trivial), Theorem 17.1 ensures that the map

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{S\operatorname{-gr.}}(H, \mathbb{G}_{m,S}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S_0\operatorname{-gr.}}(H_0, \mathbb{G}_{m,S_0})$ 

is bijective. Therefore  $u_0: H_0 \to G_0$  lifs to a unique morphism of S-group schemes  $u: H \to G$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Again, we cannot invoke directly Th. 17.1 because H is not necessarily smooth. This is why the duality functor D is used, in order to be in a situation where the target group is  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , which is smooth (and commutative).

Moreover, u is an isomorphism. Indeed, since  $u_0$  is an isomorphism, it suffices to see that for each  $h \in H$ , the ring homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{G,u(h)} \to \mathcal{O}_{H,h}$  is bijective. Let C and K denote its cokernel and kernel. By assumption,  $\phi_I = \phi \otimes (\Lambda/I)$  is bijective. It follows that C satisfies C = IC, hence C = 0 since I is nilpotent. Then, since  $\mathcal{O}_{H,h}$  is flat over  $\Lambda$ , the kernel of  $\phi_I$  is K/IK. It follows, as above, that K = IK and hence K = 0. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

## Notes for this Lecture

Prop. 18.1 and Th. 18.3 are respectively Prop. 2.1 and Cor. 2.3 of Exp. X.