## LECTURE 1

# Diagonalisable groups and MT-groups.Representations of diagonalisable groups

# 1. Diagonalisable Groups and Groups of Multiplicative Type

In this section, M denotes a *finitely generated* abelian group.<sup>1</sup>

DEFINITION 1.1. The group algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[M]$  of M over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a Hopf algebra, with comultiplication, counit and antipode given, for all  $m \in M$ , by:<sup>2</sup>

$$\Delta(e_m) = e_m \otimes e_m, \qquad \quad \varepsilon(e_m) = 1 \qquad \quad \tau(e_m) = e_{-m}.$$

Hence the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme  $D(M) = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[M]$  is a commutative group scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}$ : for every scheme S, its set of S-points is:

(1.1)  $D(M)(S) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Sch}}(S, \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[M]) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}}(\mathbb{Z}[M], \mathcal{O}_S(S)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{grp}}(M, \mathcal{O}_S(S)^{\times}),$ endowed with the group structure  $(\phi \cdot \psi)(m) = \phi(m)\psi(m).$ 

The group scheme D(M) is affine, finitely presented and faithfully flat over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , since  $\mathbb{Z}[M]$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and a finitely presented  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra (see below).

Note first that  $D(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{G}_m$  and  $D(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = \mu_n$  for each  $n \geq 2$ . Note also that if  $M = N \oplus N'$ then  $\mathbb{Z}[M] = \mathbb{Z}[N] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[N']$  and  $D(M) = D(N) \times D(N')$ . Thus, writing  $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}^d \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \mathbb{Z}/n_i\mathbb{Z}$ , one has

$$D(M) \simeq (\mathbb{G}_m)^d \times \mu_{n_1} \times \cdots + \mu_{n_f}$$

and  $\mathbb{Z}[M] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[T_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, T_d^{\pm 1}][X_1, \ldots, X_f]/(X_1^{n_1} - 1, \ldots, X_f^{n_f} - 1)$ , which shows that it is a finitely presented  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra.

Next, for any base scheme S, one defines  $D(M)_S = D(M) \times S$ . For every scheme  $S' \to S$ , one still has: <sup>3</sup>

(1.2) 
$$D(M)_S(S') = \operatorname{Hom}_{S\operatorname{-Sch}}(S', D(M)_S) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Sch}}(S', D(M)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{grp}}(M, \mathcal{O}_{S'}(S')^{\times}).$$

By base change, the group scheme  $D(M)_S$  is affine, finitely presented and flat over S. It is smooth if and only if the order of the torsion part of M is invertible on S.

One says that a group scheme H over S is **diagonalisable** if it is isomorphic with  $D(M)_S$  for some M. If M is free of rank d then  $D(M)_S$  is isomorphic with  $\mathbb{G}^d_{m,S}$  and is called a *split torus* of dimension d.

REMARK 1.2. (added after the lecture) In view of (1.2) each  $m \in M$  defines, for every S-scheme S', a group homomorphism  $D(M)(S') \to \mathcal{O}_{S'}(S')^{\times}$ , which is functorial in S'. One says that m is a **character** of  $D(M)_S$  (see Def. 3.5 below). Thus, for every  $h \in D(M)(S')$  one has an element m(h) of  $\mathcal{O}_{S'}(S')^{\times}$ .

For the sake of simplicity, we adopt the definition below, more restrictive than SGA3 IX 1.1 as we fix the group M beforehand, but this entails no loss of generality (see remarks 7.7 and 7.9 later).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>version of August 16, 2023. Two minor typos corrected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In SGA3, arbitrary abelian groups are also considered, e.g.  $M = \mathbb{Q}$ , but the most interesting results are obtained when M is finitely generated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Here  $(e_m)_{m \in M}$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[M]$ . In the sequel we will often write simply *m* instead of  $e_m$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>That is, the functor  $D(M)_S$  is the restriction of D(M) to the category of S-schemes.

#### 2 1. DIAGONALISABLE GROUPS AND MT-GROUPS.REPRESENTATIONS OF DIAGONALISABLE GROUPS

DEFINITION 1.3. A group scheme H over S is said to be **of multiplicative type** of type M if for each  $s \in S$  there exists an affine open neighbourhood U of s and a surjective flat morphism  $U' \to U$ , with U' affine,<sup>4</sup> such that  $H \times_S U' \simeq D(M)_{U'}$ . Further, one says that H is :

- quasi-isotrivial if one may choose the maps  $U' \to U$  to be étale;
- isotrivial if there exists a surjective finite étale map  $S' \to S$  such that  $H \times_S S' \simeq D(M)_{S'}$ .
- locally isotrivial (resp. locally trivial) if each  $s \in S$  admits an affine open neighbourhood U such that  $H_U = H \times_S U$  is isotrivial (resp. diagonalisable).

If M is free of rank d, one says that H is a d-dimensional torus over S.

**PROPOSITION 1.4.** Let H be a S-group scheme of multiplicative type of type M. Then H is affine, finitely presented and flat over S.

PROOF. The assertion to prove is that the structural morphism  $f: H \to S$  is affine, finitely presented and flat. This assertion is local on the base so we may assume that S is affine and there exists a surjective flat morphism  $S' \to S$ , with S' affine, such that  $H_{S'} \simeq D(M)_{S'}$ . Then the morphism  $f_{S'}: H_{S'} \to S'$  is affine, finitely presented and flat. By [EGA] IV<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 2.7.1, these properties are already true for f, since the morphism  $S' \to S$  is flat, surjective and affine, hence faithfully flat and quasi-compact.

It is easy to give examples of isotrivial groups of multiplicative type which are not diagonalisable.

EXAMPLE 1.5. Let R be a ring,  $R \to R'$  an étale covering with Galois group  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}(M)$  a morphism of groups. Then  $\Gamma$  acts by semi-linear automorphisms of Hopf algebra on B = R'[M] via  $\gamma(bm) = \gamma(b)\gamma(m)$ ,<sup>5</sup> and the invariants form a Hopf algebra A over R.

By Galois descent (see e.g. [**BLR**], §6.2, Example B), we know that  $B \simeq A \otimes_R R'$  as Hopf algebras and as  $\Gamma$ -modules, where on the right-hand side  $\Gamma$  acts by  $\gamma(a \otimes r') = a \otimes \gamma(r')$ . Therefore,  $H = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is an isotrivial group of multiplicative type of type M over  $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$ , which becomes diagonalisable over  $S' = \operatorname{Spec} R'$ . In general it is not diagonalisable; in fact, we will see later that H is diagonalisable if and only if the action of  $\Gamma$  on M is trivial.

(Added after the lecture) For every S-scheme T, one has natural identifications:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(T,H) = \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(T \times_{S} S',H)^{\Gamma} = \operatorname{Hom}_{S'}((T \times_{S} S',H_{S'})^{\Gamma} = \operatorname{Hom}_{S'}((T \times_{S} S',D(M)_{S'})^{\Gamma} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{grp}}(M,\mathbb{G}_{m}(T \times_{S} S'))^{\Gamma}.$$

Thus, H represents the group functor  $T \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{grp}}(M, \mathbb{G}_m(T \times_S S'))^{\Gamma}$ .

A basic, and already instructive, example is:

EXAMPLE 1.6. Consider  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ , with Galois group  $\Gamma = \{\mathrm{id}, \tau\}$ , and the morphism  $\Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{\times}$  which sends  $\tau$  to -1. Then the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra A of  $\Gamma$ -invariants in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}] = \mathbb{C}[T, T^{-1}]$  is generated by  $X = (T + T^{-1})/2$  and  $Y = (T - T^{-1})/2i$  and one has  $A \simeq \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(X^2 + Y^2 - 1)$ . Note that  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathrm{Spec} A$  represents the group functor which associates to every  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra R the group of elements z = a + ib in  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = R \oplus iR$  such that  $z^{-1} = \overline{z}$ , that is, (a + ib)(a - ib) = 1.

A more elaborate example is:

EXAMPLE 1.7. Let k be a field of characteristic p, let x, y be indeterminates and consider the Artin-Schreier extension  $k[y] \to k[x]$ , given by  $y \mapsto x^p - x$ . It is a Galois covering with group  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\Gamma \to \operatorname{GL}(\mathbb{Z}^p)$  be the group morphism which sends the generator  $\overline{1}$  of  $\Gamma$  to the automorphism which permutes cyclically the generators:  $e_1 \mapsto e_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto e_p \mapsto e_1$ , and let  $A = (k[x][\mathbb{Z}^p])^{\Gamma}$ . Then  $H = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is an isotrivial torus of dimension p over

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>If U is only required to be quasi-compact, it is covered by finitely many affine open subsets  $V'_1, \ldots, V'_n$ , then their sum V' is affine and  $V' \to U$  is flat and surjective. So there is no loss in assuming that U' is affine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The semi-linearity means in fact that the action of  $\Gamma$  on B is compatible with its action on R'.

the affine line  $S = \operatorname{Spec} k[y]$ , which becomes trivial over  $S' = \operatorname{Spec} k[x]$ . On can see that it represents the group functor which associates to every k[y]-algebra R the group of invertible elements in  $R \otimes_{k[y]} k[x]$ , see Remark 4.9 below.

REMARK 1.8. Over a connected base, it is not easy to give examples of groups of multiplicative type of type M which are not isotrivial, or which are locally trivial but not diagonalisable. In fact, as we shall see later, no such examples exist over a connected, *normal*, locally noetherian base S.

Two such examples over a nodal curve (irreducible or not) appear in  $[SGA3_2]$ , Exp. X, 1.6 (see also [Oes14], end of §7.1)) and will be detailed in another lecture.

#### 2. Representations of diagonalisable groups

Again, M denotes a finitely generated abelian group.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let G be an affine group scheme over S, given by a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{A}$  (called the *comultiplication* map) and  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{O}_S$  (called the *augmentation* map) be the morphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebras corresponding to the multiplication  $G \times_S G \to G$  and to the unit section  $S \to G$ . They satisfy the following equalities:

(2.1) 
$$(\Delta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}) \circ \Delta = (\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$$
 and  $(\varepsilon \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}) \circ \Delta = \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{A}} = (\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta$ 

where in the second set of equalities we have used the identifications  $\mathcal{O}_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_S$ . The inversion map of G induces a morphism of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebras  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  (the *antipodal map*), satisfying the equalities corresponding to the identities  $gg^{-1} = e = g^{-1}g$  and  $(gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1}$ .

Then, a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a G-module if it is endowed with a structure of *right*  $\mathcal{A}$ -comodule, that is, a map of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules  $\mu_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{A}$  satisfying:

(2.2) 
$$(\mu_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{F}} = (\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \Delta) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{F}}$$
 and  $(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}.$ 

REMARK 2.2. (added after the lecture) Let  $U = \operatorname{Spec} R$  be an affine open subset of S. Then  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is a R-module,  $G_U = \operatorname{Spec} A$  for some R-Hopf algebra A and we have the R-linear map  $\mu_{\mathcal{F}(U)} : \mathcal{F}(U) \to \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_R A$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ . For every affine U-scheme  $U' = \operatorname{Spec} R'$  and  $g \in G(U') = \operatorname{Hom}_{R-\operatorname{Alg}}(A, R')$ , one has in  $\mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_R R'$  the equality

(2.3) 
$$g \cdot (x \otimes 1) = (\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F}(U)} \otimes g) \mu_{\mathcal{F}(U)}(x).$$

Now, suppose that  $G = D(M)_S$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent G- $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module. Thus, one has the comodule map  $\mu_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_S[M]$ . This means that for any affine open subset U of S, one has an  $\mathcal{O}_S(U)$ -linear map

$$\mu_{\mathcal{F}}(U): \mathcal{F}(U) \to \bigoplus_{m \in M} \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S(U)} \mathcal{O}_S(U) m,$$

sending each section  $x \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  to a *finite* sum  $\sum_{m \in M} p_m(x) \otimes m$ , where the  $p_m$ 's are  $\mathcal{O}_S(U)$ -linear endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ , all zero on  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  but a finite number of them. Then (2.2) gives that

$$\sum_{m',m\in M} p_{m'}(p_m(x)) \otimes m' \otimes m = \sum_{m\in M} p_m(x) \otimes m \otimes m \quad \text{and} \quad x = \sum_{m\in M} p_\mu(x).$$

In particular, the  $p_m$ 's are orthogonal projectors whose sum is the identity of  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ . Moreover, the image of  $p_m$  is the direct summand

$$\mathcal{F}_m(U) = \{ x \in \mathcal{F}(U) \mid \mu_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = x \otimes m \}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{F}(U) = \bigoplus_{m \in M} \mathcal{F}_m(U)$  and hence  $\mathcal{F}$  coincides with the sheaf  $\bigoplus_{m \in M} \mathcal{F}_m$ , since it does so on each affine open subset of S. This proves the following proposition:

#### 4 1. DIAGONALISABLE GROUPS AND MT-GROUPS.REPRESENTATIONS OF DIAGONALISABLE GROUPS

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module. Then giving a structure of  $D(M)_S$ module on  $\mathcal{F}$  is the same thing as giving to  $\mathcal{F}$  a M-grading.

REMARK 2.4. (added after the lecture) Note that  $\mathcal{F}_m$  is the "weight space corresponding to the character m", that is, for any affine open subset  $U = \operatorname{Spec} R$  of S,  $\mathcal{F}_m(U)$  is the R-submodule of those  $x \in \mathcal{F}_m(U)$  such that for every affine U-scheme  $U' = \operatorname{Spec} R'$  and  $h \in D(M)(U')$  one has in  $\mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_R R'$  the equality

(2.4)  $h \cdot (x \otimes 1) = (\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F}(U)} \otimes h)(x \otimes m) = m(h)(x \otimes 1).$ 

So the M-grading is in fact a decomposition into weight spaces.

REMARK 2.5. Beware that if the base S is not quasi-compact, the (separated) presheaf  $U \mapsto \bigoplus_{m \in M} \mathcal{F}_m(U)$ is not a sheaf, and needs to be sheafified to get the direct sum sheaf  $\bigoplus_{m \in M} \mathcal{F}_m$ . For example, take M infinite, for example  $M = \mathbb{Z}$ , let k be a field, say algebraically closed, and let S be the constant scheme  $M_{\text{Spec}(k)}$ . For each  $m \in M$ , denote by  $f_m$  the function equal to 1 on the m-th copy and to 0 on the other copies. Define a  $D(M)_S$ -action on  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_S$  by  $\mu(f_m) = f_m \otimes m$  for each m. Then any quasi-compact open subset U of Scorresponds to a finite subset E of M, and  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is the direct sum of the  $\mathcal{F}_m(U)$ , all zero except for those  $m \in E$ . However,  $\mathcal{F}(S) = \prod_{m \in M} k_m$  is not the direct sum of the  $\mathcal{F}_m(S) = k_m$ .

## Notes for this Lecture

Diagonalisable groups are introduced in Exp. I, §4.7 (where Proposition 2.3 is proved), and again in Exp. VIII, Def. 1.1.