

Topics in Algorithms - Assignment 1

February 5, 2020

1. Construct an instance with 3 men and 3 women that has more than two stable matchings.
2. Find an example such that there exists a (obviously unstable) matching M , in which, some men get strictly better partners than that in the man-optimal stable matching M_o and some men get the same partners as in M_o . This shows that stable matchings are not *pareto optimal*. We have seen in class that they are *weak-pareto optimal*.
3. Construct an example in which the man-optimal stable matching *does not* match any man to his first choice. (Thus the weak-pareto optimality is not obvious.) Is it true that there is always a stable matching that matches some person (man/woman) to his/her first choice? Either prove this or give a counter-example.
4. Consider the following version of the Gale-Shapley algorithm for the stable marriage problem with strict and complete lists: When a woman y accepts a proposal from a man z , the men appearing after z in y 's list are deleted from her list and y is deleted from their list. Thus, at the end of the algorithm, we obtain reduced preference lists for all men and women.
 - (a) Show that the set of stable matchings is not affected by this i.e. no deleted edge forms a stable pair, nor can it block a stable matching.
 - (b) Are all the pairs appearing in the reduced lists stable? If not, give a counter-example.
 - (c) If the reduced lists at the end of the man-proposing algorithm are used for executing a woman-proposing algorithm, and are reduced further by applying a similar rule, are all pairs in the resulting lists stable?
5. In the Stable Roommates setting, consider three matchings R_1, R_2, R_3 . Let x have partners w, y, z in these matchings such that $w \leq_x y \leq_x z$. Then y is called the *median partner* of x with respect to R_1, R_2, R_3 .
 - (a) Show that assigning each person his median partner gives a stable matching.
 - (b) For a matching R , define $P(R) = R \cup \{(x, y) \mid y \geq_x R(x)\}$. Fix a matching R_0 , and consider the sets $P(R_0) \oplus P(R)$ for all matchings R . Use this to construct a semilattice structure that generalizes the dominance relation on Stable Marriages.
6. Consider a roommate matching R . The *regret* of a person x w.r.t. R is the position of $R(x)$ in the preference list of x . The *regret* of R is the maximum regret of any person x w.r.t. R . We shall work towards a polynomial time algorithm to find a *minimum regret stable matching*.
 - (a) We extend the concept of regret to a reduced preference table T . Let the least preferred partner of x in T be $l_T(x)$. Then the position of $l_T(x)$ in the original preference list of x is its regret w.r.t. T . As before, the regret of T is the maximum regret of any person x w.r.t. T . Consider an edge of the form $\{x, l_T(x)\}$ such that x has maximum regret w.r.t. T . We call such an edge a *maximum regret edge* w.r.t. T . Show that if a maximum regret edge is not eliminated in the further reduction of T , then we obtain a stable matching that has maximum regret among all stable matchings embedded in T .

- (b) In the original roommates algorithm, if multiple rotations are detected in T , they are eliminated in arbitrary order. Replace this arbitrary choice with a criterion that prioritizes the elimination of maximum regret edges from T . Show that your modification does not increase the complexity of the algorithm.
- (c) Show that the above modification suffices to find a minimum regret stable matching.