Pushing the Boundaries of the Complexity of the Reachability Problem in Vector Addition Systems One Step at a Time

Christoph Haase

Laboratoire Spécification et Vérification (LSV), CNRS ENS de Cachan, France

> ACTS – Chennai Mathematical Institute February 9, 2015

Acknowledgements

This talk is partly based on collaborative work with:

- Michael Blondin (Montreal)
- Alain Finkel (Cachan)
- Stefan Göller (Cachan)
- Stephan Kreutzer (Berlin)
- Pierre McKenzie (Montreal)
- Joel Ouaknine (Oxford)
- James Worrell (Oxford)



Vector Addition Systems with States



Given configurations $q(\overline{u})$, $r(\overline{v})$, does $q(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow r(\overline{v})$?

Relevant Parameters:

- Operations along transitions (e.g. zero tests, update types)
- Number of counters
- Encoding of numbers
- Parametric values

The Reachability Problem



One-Counter Automata



One-Counter Automata

Reachability is NP-hard via a reduction from SubsetSum

Given $S = \{n_{1,}, \dots, n_{k}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}, T \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $S' \subseteq S$ such that $\sum S' = T$

$\inf q(0) \Rightarrow r(T)$







"If there is a run then there is one whose maximum counter value is polynomially bounded." [Lafourcade et al., '04]

One-Counter Automata



"Runs can be structured." [H. et al., '09]

Bounded One-Counter Automata



- State-space bounded by arbitrary but fixed constant
- Reachability PSpace-complete [Fearnley, Jurdzinski, '13]

Bounded One-Counter Automata



Bounded One-Counter Automata

Fearnley and Jurdzinski show that reachability is PSpace-hard via a reduction from Quantified-SubsetSum

Given $S = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $T \in \mathbb{N}$, does the following hold:

$$\exists x_1 \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall x_2 \in \{0,1\} \quad \dots \quad \forall x_n \in \{0,1\} : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot n_i = T$$







Leroux and Sutre, 2004:

$$p(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow q(\overline{v}) \qquad \text{iff} \qquad p(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow t_1(t_3)^* \Rightarrow q(\overline{v}) \qquad \text{scheme} \\ p(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow t_1(t_3)^* t_2(t_1t_2)^* t_1 \Rightarrow q(\overline{v}) \end{cases}$$



Blondin, Finkel, Göller, H., McKenzie, 2015:

$$p(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow q(\overline{v}) \quad \text{iff} \quad p(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow \alpha_0 \beta_1^* \cdots \alpha_{k-1} \beta_k^* \alpha_{k+1} \Rightarrow q(\overline{v})$$
$$|\alpha_i|, |\beta_i| \le (|Q| + ||T||)^{O(1)}, \quad k \le O(|Q|^2)$$



counter 1

Returning Back to One-Counter Automata



run

"Paths whose counter values grow sufficiently high have an easy description" [Valiant, Paterson, '75].



counter 1

 $p(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow q(\overline{v})$ iff

$$p(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow \alpha_0 \beta_1^* \alpha_1 \beta_2^* \alpha_2 \Rightarrow q(\overline{v})$$
$$|\alpha_i|, |\beta_i| \le (|Q| + ||T||)^{O(1)}$$



counter 1

Represent net effect of cyclic paths as semi-linear sets:



$$\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} : p(0,0) \Rightarrow p(u,v) \} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : \lambda \in \mathbb{N} \}$$
period vector

base vector

Decomposing Linear Sets in Dimension Two



Decomposing Linear Sets in Dimension Two



Decomposing Linear Sets in Dimension Two





counter 1

$$q(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow q(\overline{v}) \quad \text{iff} \quad q(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow \alpha_0 \beta_1^*$$
$$|\alpha_i|, |\beta_i| \le (|Q|)$$

$$q(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow \alpha_0 \beta_1^* \alpha_1 \beta_2^* \alpha_2 \Rightarrow q(\overline{v})$$

$$|\alpha_i|, |\beta_i| \le (|Q| + ||T||)^{O(1)}$$

net effects of β_1, β_2 point towards $\overline{v} - \overline{u}$



Given $p(\overline{u})$, $q(\overline{v})$ and $\alpha_0 \beta_1^* \cdots \alpha_{k-1} \beta_k^* \alpha_{k+1}$.

If
$$p(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow \alpha_0 \beta_1^* \cdots \alpha_{k-1} \beta_k^* \alpha_{k+1} \Rightarrow q(\overline{v})$$
 space
then $p(\overline{u}) \Rightarrow \alpha_0 \beta_1^{e_1} \cdots \beta_{k+1} \Rightarrow q(\overline{v})$ with $e_i \le 2^{|V| + \log ||\overline{u}|| + \log ||\overline{v}||^{O(1)}}$.

Approach yields NP upper bound under unary encoding. Can we do better?

 $\alpha_0\beta_1^*\cdots\alpha_{k-1}\beta_k^*\alpha_{k+1}$



An Open Problem



- Decidable for one unbounded counter [H. et al., '09]
- Undecidable for three counters
- Status unknown for parametric bounded one-counter automata and 2-VASS
- Inter-reducible with reachability in parametric two-clock timed automata [Bundala, Ouaknine, '14]