### Chapter 9 – Unsupervised Learning

This notebook contains all the sample code and solutions to the exercises in chapter 9.

CO Open in Colab k Open in Kaggle

# Setup

This project requires Python 3.7 or above:

```
In [1]: import sys
assert sys.version_info >= (3, 7)
It also requires Scikit-Learn ≥ 1.0.1:
In [2]: from packaging import version
import sklearn
assert version.parse(sklearn._version_) >= version.parse("1.0.1")
```

As we did in previous chapters, let's define the default font sizes to make the figures prettier:

```
In [3]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

```
plt.rc('font', size=14)
plt.rc('axes', labelsize=14, titlesize=14)
plt.rc('legend', fontsize=14)
plt.rc('xtick', labelsize=10)
plt.rc('ytick', labelsize=10)
```

And let's create the images/unsupervised\_learning folder (if it doesn't already exist), and define the save\_fig() function which is used through this notebook to save the figures in high-res for the book:

```
In [4]: from pathlib import Path
```

```
IMAGES_PATH = Path() / "images" / "unsupervised_learning"
IMAGES_PATH.mkdir(parents=True, exist_ok=True)
def save_fig(fig_id, tight_layout=True, fig_extension="png", resolution=300):
    path = IMAGES_PATH / f"{fig_id}.{fig_extension}"
    if tight_layout:
        plt.tight_layout()
    plt.savefig(path, format=fig_extension, dpi=resolution)
```

First, let's import a few common modules, ensure MatplotLib plots figures inline and prepare a function to save the figures.



## K-Means

### Fit and predict

Let's train a K-Means clusterer on a dataset if blobs. It will try to find each blob's center and assign each instance to the closest blob:

Now let's plot them:

```
In [6]: # extra code - this cell generates and saves Figure 9-2
def plot_clusters(X, y=None):
    plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=y, s=1)
    plt.xlabel("$x_1$")
    plt.ylabel("$x_2$", rotation=0)

plt.figure(figsize=(8, 4))
plot_clusters(X)
plt.gca().set_axisbelow(True)
plt.grid()
save_fig("blobs_plot")
plt.show()

3.0
3.0
```



Each instance was assigned to one of the 5 clusters:

In [7]:	y_pred
Out[7]:	array([2, 2, 4,, 1, 4, 2], dtype=int32)
In [8]:	y_pred is kmeans.labels_
Out[8]:	True
	And the following 5 <i>centroids</i> (i.e., cluster centers) were estimated:
In [9]:	kmeans.cluster_centers_
Out[9]:	array([[-0.066884 , 2.10378803], [-2.79290307, 2.79641063], [-2.80214068, 1.55162671], [-1.47468607, 2.28399066], [ 0.47042841, 2.41380533]])
	Note that the <b>KMeans</b> instance preserves the labels of the instances it was trained on. Somewhat confusingly, in this context, the <i>label</i> of an instance is the index of the cluster that instance gets assigned to (they are not targets, they are predictions):
In [10]:	kmeans.labels_
Out[10]:	array([2, 2, 4,, 1, 4, 2], dtype=int32)
	Of course, we can predict the labels of new instances:
In [11]:	<pre>import numpy as np</pre>
	<pre>X_new = np.array([[0, 2], [3, 2], [-3, 3], [-3, 2.5]]) kmeans.predict(X_new)</pre>
Out[11]:	array([0, 4, 1, 1], dtype=int32)
	Decision Boundaries
	Let's plot the model's decision boundaries. This gives us a Voronoi diagram:
In [12]:	<pre># extra code - this cell generates and saves Figure 9-3</pre>
	<pre>def plot_data(X):     plt.plot(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], 'k.', markersize=2)</pre>
	<pre>def plot_centroids(centroids, weights=None, circle_color='w', cross_color='k'):     if weights is not None:         centroids = centroids[weights &gt; weights.max() / 10]     plt.scatter(centroids[:, 0], centroids[:, 1],             marker='o', s=35, linewidths=8,             color=circle_color, zorder=10, alpha=0.9)     plt.scatter(centroids[:, 0], centroids[:, 1],             marker='x', s=2, linewidths=12,             color=cross_color, zorder=11, alpha=1)</pre>
	<b>def</b> plot decision boundaries(clusterer, X, resolution=1000, show centroids= <b>True</b>

```
show_xlabels=True, show_ylabels=True):
    mins = X.min(axis=0) - 0.1
    maxs = X.max(axis=0) + 0.1
    xx, yy = np.meshgrid(np.linspace(mins[0], maxs[0], resolution),
                         np.linspace(mins[1], maxs[1], resolution))
    Z = clusterer.predict(np.c_[xx.ravel(), yy.ravel()])
    Z = Z.reshape(xx.shape)
    plt.contourf(Z, extent=(mins[0], maxs[0], mins[1], maxs[1]),
                cmap="Pastel2")
    plt.contour(Z, extent=(mins[0], maxs[0], mins[1], maxs[1]),
                linewidths=1, colors='k')
    plot_data(X)
    if show_centroids:
        plot_centroids(clusterer.cluster_centers_)
    if show xlabels:
        plt.xlabel("$x_1$")
    else:
        plt.tick_params(labelbottom=False)
    if show_ylabels:
        plt.ylabel("$x_2$", rotation=0)
    else:
        plt.tick_params(labelleft=False)
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 4))
plot_decision_boundaries(kmeans, X)
save fig("voronoi plot")
plt.show()
```



Not bad! Some of the instances near the edges were probably assigned to the wrong cluster, but overall it looks pretty good.

### Hard Clustering vs Soft Clustering

Rather than arbitrarily choosing the closest cluster for each instance, which is called *hard clustering*, it might be better to measure the distance of each instance to all 5 centroids. This is what the transform() method does:

Out[13]: array([[0.12, 2.9 , 2.84, 1.5 , 0.63], [3.07, 5.85, 5.82, 4.48, 2.56], [3.07, 0.29, 1.46, 1.69, 3.52], [2.96, 0.36, 0.97, 1.54, 3.47]])

You can verify that this is indeed the Euclidian distance between each instance and each centroid:

The K-Means Algorithm

The K-Means algorithm is one of the fastest clustering algorithms, and also one of the simplest:

- First initialize *k* centroids randomly: e.g., *k* distinct instances are chosen randomly from the dataset and the centroids are placed at their locations.
- Repeat until convergence (i.e., until the centroids stop moving):
  - Assign each instance to the closest centroid.
  - Update the centroids to be the mean of the instances that are assigned to them.

The KMeans class uses an optimized initialization technique by default. To get the original K-Means algorithm (for educational purposes only), you must set init="random" and n\_init=1. More on this later in this chapter.

Let's run the K-Means algorithm for 1, 2 and 3 iterations, to see how the centroids move around:

```
In [15]: # extra code – this cell generates and saves Figure 9–4
         kmeans_iter1 = KMeans(n_clusters=5, init="random", n_init=1, max_iter=1,
                                random_state=5)
         kmeans_iter2 = KMeans(n_clusters=5, init="random", n_init=1, max_iter=2,
                                random_state=5)
         kmeans_iter3 = KMeans(n_clusters=5, init="random", n_init=1, max_iter=3,
                                random state=5)
         kmeans_iter1.fit(X)
         kmeans iter2.fit(X)
         kmeans_iter3.fit(X)
         plt.figure(figsize=(10, 8))
         plt.subplot(321)
         plot data(X)
         plot_centroids(kmeans_iter1.cluster_centers_, circle_color='r', cross_color='w')
         plt.ylabel("$x_2$", rotation=0)
         plt.tick params(labelbottom=False)
         plt.title("Update the centroids (initially randomly)")
         plt.subplot(322)
         plot_decision_boundaries(kmeans_iter1, X, show_xlabels=False,
                                   show_ylabels=False)
         plt.title("Label the instances")
```



### **K-Means Variability**

In the original K-Means algorithm, the centroids are just initialized randomly, and the algorithm simply runs a single iteration to gradually improve the centroids, as we saw above.

However, one major problem with this approach is that if you run K-Means multiple times (or with different random seeds), it can converge to very different solutions, as you can see below:



In [17]: good\_init = np.array([[-3, 3], [-3, 2], [-3, 1], [-1, 2], [0, 2]])
 kmeans = KMeans(n\_clusters=5, init=good\_init, n\_init=1, random\_state=42)
 kmeans.fit(X)

```
Out[17]: KMeans CMeans CMeans KMeans (17]: KMeans(init=array([[-3, 3],
[-3, 2],
[-3, 1],
[-1, 2],
[0, 2]]),
n_clusters=5, n_init=1, random_state=42)
```

In [18]: # extra code
 plt.figure(figsize=(8, 4))
 plot decision boundaries(kmeans, X)



### Inertia

To select the best model, we will need a way to evaluate a K-Mean model's performance. Unfortunately, clustering is an unsupervised task, so we do not have the targets. But at least we can measure the distance between each instance and its centroid. This is the idea behind the *inertia* metric:

- In [19]: kmeans.inertia\_
- Out[19]: 211.59853725816836
- In [20]: kmeans\_rnd\_init1.inertia\_ # extra code
- Out[20]: 219.58201503602288
- In [21]: kmeans\_rnd\_init2.inertia\_ # extra code
- Out[21]: 211.5985372581684

As you can easily verify, inertia is the sum of the squared distances between each training instance and its closest centroid:

```
In [22]: # extra code
X_dist = kmeans.transform(X)
(X_dist[np.arange(len(X_dist)), kmeans.labels_] ** 2).sum()
```

Out[22]: 211.59853725816862

The score() method returns the negative inertia. Why negative? Well, it is because a predictor's score() method must always respect the "*greater is better*" rule.

In [23]: kmeans.score(X)

Out[23]: -211.59853725816834

### Multiple Initializations

So one approach to solve the variability issue is to simply run the K-Means algorithm multiple times with different random initializations, and select the solution that minimizes the inertia.

When you set the n\_init hyperparameter, Scikit-Learn runs the original algorithm n\_init times, and selects the solution that minimizes the inertia. By default, Scikit-Learn sets n\_init=10.

As you can see, we end up with the initial model, which is certainly the optimal K-Means solution (at least in terms of inertia, and assuming k = 5).

```
In [25]: # extra code
plt.figure(f
```

```
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 4))
plot_decision_boundaries(kmeans_rnd_10_inits, X)
plt.show()
```



```
In [26]: kmeans_rnd_10_inits.inertia_
```

Out[26]: 211.5985372581684

# Centroid initialization methods

Instead of initializing the centroids entirely randomly, it is preferable to initialize them using the following algorithm, proposed in a 2006 paper by David Arthur and Sergei Vassilvitskii:

- Take one centroid  $c_1$ , chosen uniformly at random from the dataset.
- Take a new center  $c_i$ , choosing an instance  $\mathbf{x}_i$  with probability:  $D(\mathbf{x}_i)^2 / \sum_{j=1}^m D(\mathbf{x}_j)^2$  where

 $D(\mathbf{x}_i)$  is the distance between the instance  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and the closest centroid that was already chosen. This probability distribution ensures that instances that are further away from already chosen centroids are much more likely be selected as centroids.

- Repeat the previous step until all  $\boldsymbol{k}$  centroids have been chosen.

The rest of the K-Means++ algorithm is just regular K-Means. With this initialization, the K-Means algorithm is much less likely to converge to a suboptimal solution, so it is possible to reduce **n\_init** considerably. Most of the time, this largely compensates for the additional complexity of the initialization process.

To set the initialization to K-Means++, simply set **init="k-means++**" (this is actually the default):

# Accelerated K-Means

The K-Means algorithm can sometimes be accelerated by avoiding many unnecessary distance calculations: this is achieved by exploiting the triangle inequality (given three points A, B and C, the distance AC is always such that  $AC \le AB + BC$ ) and by keeping track of lower and upper bounds for distances between instances and centroids (see this 2003 paper by Charles Elkan for more details).

For Elkan's variant of K-Means, use algorithm="elkan". For regular KMeans, use algorithm="full". The default is "auto", which uses the full algorithm since Scikit-Learn 1.1 (it used Elkan's algorithm before that).

## Finding the optimal number of clusters

What if the number of clusters was set to a lower or greater value than 5?

```
In [27]: # extra code - this cell generates and saves Figure 9-7
kmeans_k3 = KMeans(n_clusters=3, random_state=42)
kmeans_k8 = KMeans(n_clusters=8, random_state=42)
plot_clusterer_comparison(kmeans_k3, kmeans_k8, X, "$k=3$", "$k=8$")
save_fig("bad_n_clusters_plot")
plt.show()
```



Ouch, these two models don't look great. What about their inertias?

- In [28]: kmeans\_k3.inertia\_
- Out[28]: 653.2167190021552
- In [29]: kmeans k8.inertia

### Out[29]: 127.13141880461829

No, we cannot simply take the value of k that minimizes the inertia, since it keeps getting lower as we increase k. Indeed, the more clusters there are, the closer each instance will be to its closest centroid, and therefore the lower the inertia will be. However, we can plot the inertia as a function of k and analyze the resulting curve:

```
In [30]: # extra code – this cell generates and saves Figure 9–8
```



As you can see, there is an elbow at k = 4, which means that less clusters than that would be bad, and more clusters would not help much and might cut clusters in half. So k = 4 is a pretty good choice. Of course in this example it is not perfect since it means that the two blobs in the lower left will be considered as just a single cluster, but it's a pretty good clustering nonetheless.



```
plot_decision_boundaries(kmeans_per_k[4 - 1], X)
plt.show()
```



Another approach is to look at the *silhouette score*, which is the mean *silhouette coefficient* over all the instances. An instance's silhouette coefficient is equal to  $(b - a) / \max(a, b)$  where *a* is the mean distance to the other instances in the same cluster (it is the *mean intra-cluster distance*), and *b* is the *mean nearest-cluster distance*, that is the mean distance to the instances of the next closest cluster (defined as the one that minimizes *b*, excluding the instance's own cluster). The silhouette coefficient can vary between -1 and +1: a coefficient close to +1 means that the instance is well inside its own cluster and far from other clusters, while a coefficient close to 0 means that it is close to a cluster boundary, and finally a coefficient close to -1 means that the instance may have been assigned to the wrong cluster.

Let's plot the silhouette score as a function of k:

```
In [32]: from sklearn.metrics import silhouette_score
```

```
In [33]: silhouette_score(X, kmeans.labels_)
```

```
Out[33]: 0.655517642572828
```

```
In [34]: # extra code – this cell generates and saves Figure 9–9
```

```
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 3))
plt.plot(range(2, 10), silhouette_scores, "bo-")
plt.xlabel("$k$")
plt.ylabel("Silhouette score")
plt.axis([1.8, 8.5, 0.55, 0.7])
plt.grid()
save_fig("silhouette_score_vs_k_plot")
plt.show()
```



As you can see, this visualization is much richer than the previous one: in particular, although it confirms that k = 4 is a very good choice, but it also underlines the fact that k = 5 is quite good as well.

An even more informative visualization is given when you plot every instance's silhouette coefficient, sorted by the cluster they are assigned to and by the value of the coefficient. This is called a *silhouette diagram*:

```
In [35]: # extra code - this cell generates and saves Figure 9-10
from sklearn.metrics import silhouette_samples
from matplotlib.ticker import FixedLocator, FixedFormatter
plt.figure(figsize=(11, 9))
for k in (3, 4, 5, 6):
    plt.subplot(2, 2, k - 2)
```

```
y_pred = kmeans_per_k[k - 1].labels_
    silhouette_coefficients = silhouette_samples(X, y_pred)
    padding = len(X) // 30
    pos = padding
    ticks = []
    for i in range(k):
        coeffs = silhouette_coefficients[y_pred == i]
        coeffs.sort()
        color = plt.cm.Spectral(i / k)
        plt.fill_betweenx(np.arange(pos, pos + len(coeffs)), 0, coeffs,
                          facecolor=color, edgecolor=color, alpha=0.7)
        ticks.append(pos + len(coeffs) // 2)
        pos += len(coeffs) + padding
    plt.gca().yaxis.set_major_locator(FixedLocator(ticks))
    plt.gca().yaxis.set_major_formatter(FixedFormatter(range(k)))
    if k in (3, 5):
        plt.ylabel("Cluster")
    if k in (5, 6):
        plt.gca().set_xticks([-0.1, 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1])
        plt.xlabel("Silhouette Coefficient")
    else:
        plt.tick_params(labelbottom=False)
    plt.axvline(x=silhouette_scores[k - 2], color="red", linestyle="--")
    plt.title(f"$k={k}$")
save_fig("silhouette_analysis_plot")
plt.show()
```



As you can see, k = 5 looks like the best option here, as all clusters are roughly the same size, and they all cross the dashed line, which represents the mean silhouette score.

# Limits of K-Means

Let's generate a more difficult dataset, with elongated blobs and varying densities, and show that K-Means struggles to cluster it correctly:

