

## Lecture 6f: $FO^2(<)$ , $\Delta_2$ and DA

In the previous lecture we showed that the set of languages definable in  $\Sigma_1$  is precisely the set of languages that are upward closed (w.r.t. substrings). This immediately means that the set of languages that are definable in  $\Pi_1$  are precisely those that are downward closed. What about languages that are definable in  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Pi_1$ ? It is easy to check that a language is both and upwards and downwards closed if and only if it is  $\Sigma^*$  or  $\emptyset$  (if it contains any string, it contains  $\epsilon$  and so contains  $\Sigma^*$ ).

In general, we write  $\Delta_i$  for the set of languages in  $\Sigma_i \cap \Pi_i$ . So, what we have just shown is that  $\Delta_1$  is the uninteresting class of languages  $\{\emptyset, \Sigma^*\}$ . In general, the class  $\Delta_i$  is interesting as it is the largest complementation closed class within  $\Sigma_i$  (or  $\Pi_i$ ) and hence the largest class closed under all boolean operations ( $\cup, \cap$  and complement) within  $\Sigma_i$  (or  $\Pi_i$ ). The class  $\Delta_2$  has very many interesting characterizations and this is the topic of this lecture.

Recall that we characterized  $\Sigma_2$  using the class of ordered monoids satisfying  $ese \leq e$  for each idempotent  $e$  and  $s \in S_e$ . The following is a direct consequence of this result.

**Proposition 1** *A language  $L$  is in  $\Delta_2$  if and only if it is recognised by a monoid that satisfies the identity  $ese = e$  for each idempotent  $e$  and  $s \in S_e$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $L \in \Delta_2$ . Since it is in  $\Sigma_2$ , the ordered syntactic monoid of  $L$ ,  $\text{oSyn}(L)$  satisfies  $ese \leq_L e$  for all idempotents  $e$  and  $s \in S_e$ . Further the ordered syntactic monoid of  $\bar{L}$  is just the syntactic monoid of  $L$  with the order  $\leq_L^R$ . Since  $\bar{L}$  is also in  $\Sigma_2$  we have  $ese \leq_L^R e$  for all idempotents  $e$  and  $s \in S_e$ , i.e.  $e \leq_L ese$  for all idempotents  $e$  and  $s \in S_e$ . Therefore we have  $ese = e$  in the syntactic monoid of  $L$  for all idempotents  $e$  and  $s \in S_e$ .

For the converse, suppose  $L$  is recognized by a monoid  $(M, \cdot, 1)$  that satisfies  $ese = e$  for all idempotents  $e$  and  $s \in S_e$ . Then  $L$  is in  $\Sigma_2$  as the ordered monoid  $(M, \cdot, 1, =)$  recognizes  $L$  and satisfies  $ese = e$  for all idempotents  $e$  and  $s \in S_e$ . Since the same (ordered) monoid also recognizes  $\bar{L}$ ,  $L$  is also in  $\Pi_2$ . ■

We write  $E_M$  for the set of idempotents in  $M$ . Next we show that the requirement in the previous proposition can be weakened to  $ese = e$  for all idempotents  $e$  and  $s \in J_{\geq}(e)$ , where  $J_{\geq}(e) = \{s \mid e \leq_J s\}$ . One direction is trivial since  $J_{\geq}(e) \subseteq S_e$ , while the other direction requires some work. We now derive an important property of the regular  $\mathcal{D}$ -classes of the monoids that satisfy  $ese = e$  for all  $e \in E_M$ ,  $s \in J_{\geq}(e)$ .

**Lemma 2** *Let  $(M, \cdot, 1)$  be a monoid that satisfies  $ese = e$  for all  $e \in E_M$  and  $s \in J_{\geq}(e)$ . Then,*

1. *Every regular  $\mathcal{H}$ -class of  $M$  is trivial.*
2. *Every element of any regular  $\mathcal{D}$ -class is an idempotent.*

*Thus, every regular  $\mathcal{D}$ -class is an idempotent (and hence aperiodic) semigroup.*

**Proof:** Let  $H$  be a regular  $\mathcal{H}$ -class with an idempotent  $e$  and let  $s \in H$ . Then,  $ese = e$ , by the hypothesis. Moreover,  $ese = s$ , as  $H$  is a group with  $e$  as the identity. Thus  $s = e$  and hence  $H$  is trivial.

Let  $s$  be in some regular  $\mathcal{D}$ -class  $D$ . Then there is an idempotent  $e$  in the  $\mathcal{R}$  class of  $s$  and  $es = s$ . But, by the hypothesis,  $ese = e$  and thus  $s = es = eses = s^2$ . Thus  $s$  is an idempotent.

If every element is an idempotent then, by the location lemma,  $D$  must be a semigroup as well. ■

An immediate consequence of this Lemma is the following:

**Proposition 3** *A monoid  $M$  satisfies  $ese = e$  for all  $e \in E_M$  and  $s \in S_e$  if and only if it satisfies  $ese = e$  for all  $e \in E_M$  and  $s \in J_{\geq}(e)$ .*

**Proof:** In one direction, the implication follows from the fact that  $J_{\geq}(e) \subseteq S_e$ . For the other direction, we first establish the following claim:

**Claim:** Let  $M$  satisfy  $ese = e$  for all  $e \in E_M$  and all  $s \in J_{\geq}(e)$ . For any  $s_1, s_2$  such that  $es_1e = e$  and  $es_2e = e$  we have  $es_1s_2e = e$ .

Assuming the Claim, we can complete the proof of the proposition as follows. If  $s \in S_e$ , then by definition  $s = s_1s_2 \dots s_k$  where each  $s_i \in J_{\geq}(e)$  and then by repeated application of the Claim, we have  $es_1s_2 \dots s_ke = e$  as required.

We now complete the proof by establishing the Claim. Let  $D$  be the  $\mathcal{D}$ -class of  $e$ . Since  $es_1e = e$  we have  $es_1\mathcal{R}e$  and similarly  $s_2e\mathcal{L}e$ . Applying Lemma 2,  $(s_2e)(es_1) = s_2es_1$  is in the same  $\mathcal{D}$ -class as  $e$  and is an idempotent. But  $s_2es_1\mathcal{L}es_1$  and  $s_2es_1\mathcal{R}s_2e$  and thus, by the Location Lemma,  $es_1s_2e$  is in  $D$  and hence in the same  $\mathcal{H}$ -class as  $e$ . We then use Lemma 2 to conclude that  $es_1s_2e = e$ . The argument is summarized by the following egg-box diagram:

		$e = es_1s_2e$			$es_1$	
	...		...		...	
		$s_2e$			$s_2ees_1$	

This completes the proof of the Claim. ■

**Corollary 4** *A language is in  $\Delta_2$  if and only if it is recognized by a monoid satisfying  $ese = e$  for all  $e \in E_M$  and  $s \in J_{\geq}(e)$ .*

The class of monoids with the properties identified by Lemma 2 form a very important class:

**Definition 5** The class **DA** consists of all the monoids in which every regular  $\mathcal{D}$ -class is an aperiodic semigroup. We shall refer the class of languages recognized by monoids in **DA** by **DA** as well.

It turns out that this class also characterizes  $\Delta_2$ .

**Theorem 6** A language is in  $\Delta_2$  iff it is in **DA**.

**Proof:** As a consequence of Corollary 4 and Lemma 2 it follows that every language in  $\Delta_2$  is in **DA**. The converse requires some work:

Let  $D$  be the  $\mathcal{D}$ -class of an idempotent  $e$ . Since  $D$  is an aperiodic semigroup

1. Every  $\mathcal{H}$ -class in  $D$  is trivial. (Since  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is a group and  $D$  is aperiodic,  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is trivial. So all  $H$ -classes in  $D$  are trivial.)
2. Every element in  $D$  is an idempotent. (Since  $D$  is an aperiodic semigroup, this is implied by the Location Lemma.)

Let  $e \leq_J s$ . Then,  $e = xsy$  and let  $f = (syx)^N$  where  $N$  is the idempotent power of  $syx$ . Clearly  $e \leq_J f$  and further, since  $e = e^{N+1}$ ,  $f \leq_J e$ . Thus  $e\mathcal{J}f$  and thus  $e\mathcal{D}f$ . This means  $ef\mathcal{D}e$ . But  $ef \leq_R es$  and  $es \leq_L e$ .

■

## References

- [1] M. Bojanczyk: “Factorization Forests”, *Proceedings of DLT 2009*, Springer LNCS 5583 (2009) 1-17.
- [2] T. Colcombet: “Green’s Relations and their Use in Automata Theory”, *Proceedings of LATA 2011*, Springer LNCS 6638 (2011) 1-21.
- [3] V Diekert, P Gastin and M Kufleitner: “A Survey on Small Fragments of First-Order Logic over Finite Words”, *International Journal of the Foundations of Computer Science* **19(3)**, 2008.
- [4] J.E.Pin: *Mathematical Foundations of Automata Theory*, MPRI Lecture Notes.