## The Murnaghan-Nakayama Rule

## Chandranandan Gangopadhyay

## April 21, 2014

The Murnaghan-Nakayama rule gives us a combinatorial way of computing the character table of any symmetric group  $S_n$ .

Before illustrating this rule, we need to define a new class of objects called Skew hook tableau.

First we define what a skew hook is. Skew hook(or rim hook) is a skew diagram obtained by taking all cells on a finite lattice path with steps one unit northward or east-ward. Equivalently, a skew hook is a skew diagram such that it is edgewise connected and contains no  $2 \ge 2$  subset of cells. A typical skew hook looks like:



If  $\zeta$  is a skew hook, then we define the leg length of  $\zeta$  (denoted by  $ll(\zeta)$ ) to be (the number of rows in  $\zeta$ ) -1. In the above case the leg length is 3.

**Skew hook tableau** is a generalized tableau T with positive integral entries such that i)rows and columns of T weakly increase

ii)all occurrences of i in T lie in a single rim hook.

Here is an example of a skew hook tableau.

1	2	2	3	3
2	2	3	3	
2	4	4	4	
2	4			
2				

Now we define the sign of a rim hook tableau with rim hooks  $\xi^{(i)}$  to be

$$(-1)^T = \prod_{\xi^{(i)} \in T} (-1)^{\mathrm{ll}(\xi^{(i)})}$$

The sign of the above rim hook tableau is 1.

Now we are in a position to state the result which will help us compute the character table of any symmetric group  $S_n$ .

**Theorem 1**: Let  $\lambda \vdash n$  and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k)$  be any composition of n. Then

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = \sum_{T} (-1)^{T}$$

where the sum is over all rim hook tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  and content  $\alpha$ .

**Remark:** From Theorem 1, we can see that all the irreducible characters of  $S_n$  are integer valued.

Let us consider an example:

Suppose  $\lambda = (3, 2)$  and v = (2, 2, 1). Then all the rim hook tableaux are:

1	1	3		1	2	3		1	2	2
2	2		,	1	2		,	1	3	

The signs of the rim hooks are 1, 1 and -1 respectively. So,

$$\chi_{(2,2,1)}^{(3,2)} = 1 + 1 - 1 = 1$$

Now, we will prove the following statement, from which theorem 1 will follow naturally.

**Theorem 2**: If  $\lambda \vdash n$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k)$  is a composition of n, then

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = \sum_{\xi} (-1)^{\mathrm{ll}(\xi)} \chi_{\alpha \backslash \alpha_1}^{\lambda \backslash \xi}$$

where the sum runs over all rim hooks  $\xi$  of  $\lambda$  having  $\alpha_1$  cells.

Note that there may exist some rim hook  $\xi$  such that  $\lambda \setminus \xi$  may not be a proper shape or all the cells may be deleted. for e.g.

	•					
•	•					
or,						
	•					

Here, the dotted cells represent the hook.

In the first case we set  $\chi_{\alpha\setminus\alpha_1}^{\lambda\setminus\xi}$  to be 0 and for the second case set  $\chi_{(0)}^{(0)} = 1$ .

**Example:**For large n, it might not be easy to find the rim hook tableaux. In that case, theorem 2 can be used.

Let  $\lambda = (7, 4, 3, 1)$  and  $\alpha = (5, 4, 3, 2, 1)$ . Then, to apply theorem 2, we need to find the rim hooks  $\xi$  with 5 cells in  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda \setminus \xi$  has proper shape. We can see that there is only one such hook:



Here, the rim hooks to be removed are denoted by dots. So, after removing we get:



$$\chi_{(5,4,3,2,1)}^{(0,1,1)} = -\chi_{(4,3,2,1)}^{(0,1,1)}$$

There are two rim hooks with 4 cells within the above figure:



So, after removing we get,



Now, there are no rim hooks with 3 cells within the second figure, and two rim hooks with 3 cells in the first figure:



So, after removing the rim hooks they become

$$\chi_{(5,4,3,2,1)}^{(7,4,3,1)} = -\chi_{(4,3,2,1)}^{(3,3,3,1)} = -(-\chi_{(3,2,1)}^{(3,3)} + \chi_{(3,2,1)}^{(2,2,1,1)}) = -(-(\chi_{(2,1)}^{(3)} - \chi_{(2,1)}^{(2,1)}) + 0)$$

There are no rim hooks with 2 cells in the first figure and in the second figure there is only one rim hook with 2 cells:

So, after removing we get,

So,

$$\chi_{(5,4,3,2,1)}^{(7,4,3,1)} = -(-(\chi_{(2,1)}^{(3)})) = -(-(\chi_{(1)}^{(1)})) = 1$$

**Proof of Theorem 1 from Theorem 2:** We will proceed by induction on k. The case m = 1 follows from Lemma 1, which will be proved later.

Suppose the statement is true for k-1. Then, by theorem 2 and induction hypothesis,

$$\chi^{\lambda}_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_k)} = \chi^{\lambda}_{(\alpha_k,\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_1)} = \sum_{\xi} (-1)^{\mathrm{ll}(\xi)} \chi^{\lambda|\xi}_{\alpha\setminus\alpha_k} = \sum_{\xi} (-1)^{\mathrm{ll}(\xi)} \sum_{T_{\xi}} (-1)^{T_{\xi}} (-1)^{T_{$$

where  $\xi$  is all rim hooks of  $\lambda$  with  $\alpha_k$  cells such that  $\lambda \setminus \xi$  has proper shape and  $T_{\xi}$  is a rim hook tableau of shape  $\lambda \setminus \xi$  and content  $\alpha \setminus \alpha_k$ .(to avoid too many new names, we, for now, denote  $(\alpha_k, ..., \alpha_1)$  by  $\alpha$ .)

Now it is easy to see that the sets  $\{(\xi, T_{\xi}) | \xi \text{ is all rim hooks of } \lambda \text{ with } \alpha_k \text{ cells such that } \lambda \setminus \xi \text{ has proper shape and } T_{\xi} \text{ is a rim hook tableau of shape } \lambda \setminus \xi \text{ and content } \alpha \setminus \alpha_k \} \text{ and } \{T \mid T \text{ is a rim hook tableau of shape } \lambda \text{ and content } \alpha \} \text{ are bijective.}$ 

(For a given rim hook tableau of shape  $\lambda$  and content  $\alpha$ , the hook corresponding to the maximum element which appear in T will be a hook with  $\alpha_k$  cells and removing the hook from T will give a rim hook tableau of shape  $\lambda \setminus \xi$  and  $\alpha \setminus \alpha_k$ . And for a pair  $(\xi, T_{\xi})$  construct a rim hook tableau of shape  $\lambda$  and content  $\alpha$  by placing the tableau  $T_{\xi}$  in the place  $\lambda \setminus \xi$  within  $\lambda$  and placing the rim hook  $\xi$  in the place  $\xi$  within  $\lambda$ .)

Also , if we denote this bijection by f , then

$$(-)^{f((\xi,T_{\xi}))} = (-1)^{\mathrm{ll}(\xi)}(-1)^{T_{\xi}}$$

So, it follows that

$$\chi^{\lambda}_{\alpha} = \sum_{T} (-1)^{T}$$

where T runs over all rim hook tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  and content  $\alpha$ .

Proof of Theorem 2: Let  $m = \alpha_1$ . Consider  $\pi \sigma \in S_{n-m} \times S_m \subseteq S_n$ , where  $\pi \in S_{n-m}$  has type  $(\alpha_2, ..., \alpha_k)$  and  $\sigma \in S_m$  is a m-cycle. So,  $\pi \sigma$  has type  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_k)$ .

Therefore, 
$$\chi^{\lambda}_{\alpha} = \chi^{\lambda}(\pi\sigma) = \chi^{\lambda} \downarrow_{S_{n-m} \times S_m} (\pi\sigma)$$

Now, since  $\{\chi^{\mu}|\mu \vdash n - m\}$  and  $\{\chi^{\upsilon}|\upsilon \vdash m\}$  are the sets of all irreducible characters of  $S_{n-m}$ and  $S_m$  respectively, so  $\{\chi^{\mu} \otimes \chi^{\upsilon}|\mu \vdash n - m, \upsilon \vdash m\}$  is the set of all irreducible characters of  $S_{n-m} \times S_m$ .

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = \chi^{\lambda}(\pi\sigma) = \chi^{\lambda} \downarrow_{S_{n-m} \times S_m} (\pi\sigma) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \vdash n-m \\ \nu \vdash m}} m_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \chi^{\mu} \otimes \chi^{\nu}(\pi\sigma) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \vdash n-m \\ \nu \vdash m}} m_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \chi^{\mu}(\pi) \chi^{\nu}(\sigma)$$
  
where  $m_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \langle \chi^{\lambda} \downarrow_{S_{n-m} \times S_m}, \chi^{\mu} \otimes \chi^{\nu} \rangle$ 

Then using Frobenius reciprocity, we get

$$m_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \langle \chi^{\lambda}, (\chi^{\mu} \otimes \chi^{\nu}) \uparrow^{S_n} \rangle$$

Now, we know that  $\langle \chi^{\lambda}, (\chi^{\mu} \otimes \chi^{\upsilon}) \uparrow^{S_n} \rangle$  is the Littlewood-Richardson coefficient, denoted by  $c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ 

So, the equation becomes

$$\chi^{\lambda}(\pi\sigma) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n-m} \chi^{\mu}(\pi) \sum_{\upsilon \vdash m} c^{\lambda}_{\mu\upsilon} \chi^{\upsilon}(\sigma)$$
(1)

Now we will evaluate  $\chi^{\upsilon}(\sigma)$ , where  $\sigma$  is a m-cycle.

**Lemma 1**:If  $v \vdash m$  then,

$$\chi_{(m)}^{\upsilon} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m-r} & \text{if } \upsilon = (r, 1^{m-r}) \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Proof: We know that

$$s_{\upsilon} = \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{z_{\mu}} \chi^{\upsilon}_{\mu} p_{\mu}$$

where  $s_v$  is the schur function associated with the partition  $\lambda$ ,  $z_{\mu}$  is the order of the centralizer of any element of type  $\mu$  and  $p_{\mu}$  is the power sum function associated with  $\mu$ . So, $\chi_{(m)}^{v}$  is  $z_{(m)}$  times the coefficient of  $p_{(m)}$ .

Now, by the the complete homogeneous Jacobi-Trudi determinant, we get

$$s_{\upsilon} = ||h_{\upsilon_i - i + j}||_{l \times l} = \sum_{\kappa} \pm h_{\kappa}$$

where  $h_{\kappa}$  is the complete homogeneous symmetric function associated with  $\kappa$ , and the sum is over all compositions  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, ..., \kappa_l)$  that occur as a term in the determinant. Now ,since  $\{p_{\alpha_i} | \alpha_i \vdash \kappa_i\}$  forms a basis of  $\Lambda^{\kappa_i}$ , so

$$h_{\kappa} = \prod_{i} (\sum_{\alpha_i \vdash \kappa_i} a_{\alpha_i} p_{\alpha_i})$$

If  $p_{(m)}$  occurs in this sum, then for some  $\alpha_1 \vdash \kappa_1, \alpha_2 \vdash \kappa_2, ..., \alpha_l \vdash \kappa_l$  we get

$$ap_{\alpha_1}p_{\alpha_2}...p_{\alpha_l} = p_{(m)}.$$

where a is a constant.

So, some  $\alpha_i$  must be m and so,  $\kappa_i = m$ .  $\therefore \chi^{\nu}_{(m)} \neq 0$  only when  $h_m$  appears in the preceding determinant.

The largest index to appear in this determinant is at the end of the first row, and  $v_1 - 1 + l = h_{1,1}$ , the hook length of (1, 1) in a tableau of shape v. So, we always have  $m = |v| \ge h_{1,1}$ . Thus  $\chi_{(m)}^{v} \ne 0$  only when  $h_{1,1} = m$  i.e., when v is a hook  $(r, 1^{m-r})$ . In this case, we have,

$$s_{\upsilon} = \begin{vmatrix} h_r & \dots & h_m \\ h_0 & h_1 & \dots & \\ 0 & h_0 & h_1 & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & h_0 & h_1 & \dots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ = (-1)^{m-r} h_m \end{vmatrix}$$

+ other terms not involving  $p_m$ 

Now, we know that  $h_m = s_{(m)}$  and the coefficient of  $p_m$  in  $s_m$  is given by  $\frac{1}{m}\chi_{(m)}^{(m)}$ , which is equal to 1/m. So,

$$\chi^{v}_{(m)} = (-1)^{m-r}$$

**Lemma 2**:Let  $\lambda \vdash n, \mu \vdash n - m$  and  $v = (r, 1^{m-r})$ . Then  $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = 0$  unless each edgewise connected component of  $\lambda \setminus \mu$  is a rim hook. In that case, if there are k component hooks spanning a total of c columns, then

$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} k-1\\ c-r \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof: By the Littlewood-Richardson rule,  $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} =$  number of semi-standard tableaux T of shape  $\lambda \setminus \mu$  with content  $\nu = (r, 1^{m-r})$  such that  $\pi_T$  is a reverse lattice permutation.i.e.if  $\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_l$  are the rows of T, then the sequence  $\pi_T^r = \pi_1^r \pi_2^r ..., \pi_l^r$  is a lattice permutation.Note that since the content of T is  $(r, 1^{m-r})$ , so there are exactly r 1's in T and the numbers 2,3,...,(m-r+1)appears exactly once in T.From this we can see that the numbers greater than 1 appears in increasing order in  $\pi_T^r$ .This condition together with semi-standardness puts the following constraints on T:

T1:Any cell of T having a cell to its right must contain a 1. (If it contains s > 1 then, cell to its right must contain a number  $q \ge s > 1$  because of semi-standardness. But in  $\pi_T^r$ , q appears before s, so q < s.Now we can see that this is not possible.)

T2:Any cell of T having a cell above must contain an element bigger than 1.(in a semistandard tableau columns are strictly increasing.)

From T1 and T2, it follows that if T contains a  $2 \times 2$  square then there is no way of filling the lower left cell, so  $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = 0$  if any one of the components is not a rim hook.

Now suppose that  $\lambda \setminus \mu = \biguplus_{i=1}^k \xi^{(i)}$ , where each  $\xi^{(i)}$  is a rim hook. T1, T2 and the fact that the numbers 2 through m - r + 1 increase in  $\pi_T^r$  show that  $\xi^{(i)}$  is of the form

		1	1	1	b
		d			
1	1	d+1			
d+2					
d+3					

Here we order the  $\xi^{(i)}$  such that the number of the first row of  $\xi^{(i)}$  is less than the number of the first row of  $\xi^{(i+1)}$ . Then the d > 1 is the smallest number that has not appeared in  $\pi^r_{\xi^{(1)}}\pi^r_{\xi^{(2)}}...\pi^r_{\xi^{(i-1)}}$  and b is either 1 or d-1. Also, in  $\xi^{(1)}$ , b=1(the first element  $\ln \pi^r_{\xi^{(1)}}$  is this b). Now, by T1 and T2 we get that the number of 1's fixed in  $\xi^{(1)}$  is the number of columns of  $\xi^{(1)}$  and for any i > 1, number of 1's fixed in  $\xi^{(i)}$  is number of columns of  $\xi^{(i)} - 1$ . So, number of 1's fixed in T is c - k + 1 (number of columns of T =  $\sum_i$  number of columns of  $\xi^{(i)}$  since any two distinct component hooks cannot have a common column). Hence there are r - c + k - 11's left to distribute among the (k - 1) cells marked with a b. The number of ways this can be done is

$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \binom{k-1}{r-c+k-1} = \binom{k-1}{r-c}.$$

Putting the values from lemma 1 and 2 in equation 1, we get

$$\chi^{\lambda}(\pi\sigma) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n-m} \chi^{\mu}(\pi) \sum_{\nu \vdash m} c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} \chi^{\nu}(\sigma) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n-m} \chi^{\mu}(\pi) \sum_{r=1}^{m} \binom{k-1}{c-r} (-1)^{m-r}$$
(2)

Now,  $k \leq c \leq m$ , since k is the number of skew hooks  $\xi^{(i)}$ , c is the number of columns in all the  $\xi^{(i)}$ , and m is the number of cells in all the  $\xi^{(i)}$ . So

$$\sum_{\mu \vdash n-m} \chi^{\mu}(\pi) \sum_{r=1}^{m} \binom{k-1}{c-r} (-1)^{m-r} = \sum_{\mu \vdash n-m} \chi^{\mu}(\pi) \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} (-1)^{m-r}$$
$$= (-1)^{m-c} \sum_{\mu \vdash n-m} \chi^{\mu}(\pi) \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} (-1)^{c-r} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m-c} & \text{if } k-1=0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

But if k = 1,  $\lambda \setminus \mu$  is a single rim hook  $\xi$  with m cells and c columns. Hence  $m - c = ll(\xi)$ , so equation 2 becomes

$$\chi^{\lambda}(\pi\sigma) = \sum_{|\xi|=m} (-1)^{\mathrm{ll}(\xi)} \chi^{\lambda \setminus \xi}(\pi)$$