Implications of GW observations for short GRBs

Resmi Lekshmi

Indian Institute of Space Science & Technology Trivandrum



✓ What are Gamma Ray Bursts? \sim What are short GRBs? ✓ Open Questions : ✓ Central engine of sGRBs ➤ Progenitors of sGRBs ∼ GW diagnosis can seal the debate

Gamma Ray Bursts

- $\begin{array}{ll} \thicksim & Short \ (a \ few \ seconds) \ flashes \\ & of \ \gamma\text{-rays} \ (\sim MeV) \end{array}$
- ✓ Typical energy release ~ $10^{48} \cdot 10^{52} \text{ ergs}$
- Non-repetitive, from random directions in the sky
- \sim 1 event/day (on an average)
- Extra-galactic, Cosmological
 (0.0085 z 9.4)
- Longer lasting low-frequency counterparts





Zooming into a GRB location



Relativistic jets in GRBs

Large optical depth to pair production





But non-thermal spectrum

Fig. 23. A typical Band-function spectrum of GRB 990123. From Briggs et al. (1999).



Relativistic bulk motion

Most conclusive : VLBI image of resolved GRB jet





Short GRBs

- Predominantly
 two classes of
 GRBs
- $\sim \text{Short Hard \&} \quad T < 2s$ $\text{Long soft} \quad T > 2s$



Progenitor Types

- In the torus : 0.01 0.1
 M ∘
- Accretion ends within a few seconds (disk ends & collapses into the BH)



DCO binaries

- 8 confirmed DNS
 systems in our
 Galaxy
- No NS-BH system
 known till now





Duration : The iceberg's Tip

Long GRBs

- Association with supernovae
- Origin in star forming galaxies
- Close to the
 bright UV regions
 of host

Short GRBs

- No confirmed SN association so far
- Occurs in both in late & early type
- Relatively larger
 <u>offsets</u>



Bloom + 1999, Behroozi + 2014, Also Arun, Ajith, Resmi, Misra (In preprn)

Offset & DCO model



Others

Redshift distribution



- Redshift distribution
- $E_{bol} \sim (1/100)$ of lGRBs
- Systematically lower
 AG flux compared
 to lGRBs

Background

- Distinct bimodality in GRB population ⇒ Two different progenitor classes.
- 2. Existence of DCO systems in our Galaxy.
- 3. Conjecture : DNS or NS-BH
 binary coalescence due to
 energy & angular momentum
 loss to GW.
- 4. A stellar mass BH + (short lived)Torus system \Rightarrow short GRBsGRB : GW source



Important Questions

- 1. What are the central engines of short GRBs?
- 2. Are all short GRBs from binary compact object mergers?

short GRB central engine

- ➡ Should launch an
 - energetic (10⁴⁸-10⁵¹ erg),
 - clean ($E/N_b >> m_p c^2$) jet
- ➡ Be active for the burst duration

sub second duration ⇒ formation of prompt BH

short GRB central engine

- ➡ Should launch an
 - energetic (10⁴⁸-10⁵¹ erg),
 - clean ($E/N_b >> m_p c^2$) jet
- ➡ Be active for the burst duration

Continued central engine activity

Extended emission
 Flares
 Plateau phase

1. Extended Emission

- 25 % has short EE ~
 100s (Fong + 2013)
- Energies equal to or larger (~30 times) than initial spike (Sakamoto+ 2011, Perley+ 2009)



Norris & Bonnell 2006

2. X-ray Flares

- Flares similar to γ-ray burst (spectral & temporal)
- SGRBs show weaker (2 orders of mag. dimmer) ones compared to LGRBs
- But similar Flare/Prompt intensity





Long GRB, swift XRT repository

3. Plateaus



Central engine : prompt-BH

- Accretion timescale too less for EE, flares, plateaus
- For BH-NS merger, tidal disruption of NS throws matter out to highly eccentric orbits [Rosswog 2007]
- ➤ This material falls back : EE?, Flares?

Central engine : magnetar

✦ Highly magnetized (10¹⁰-10¹¹ T)

neutron star

Proposed to explain SGRs and

AXPs in our galaxy

 \blacklozenge Like pulsars, relativistic wind of

charged particles



Central engine : magnetar

- ◆ A millisec proto-magnetar is formed [Metzger + 2007]
 - \Rightarrow AIC of WD
 - ➡ Merger : WD-NS
 - ➡ Merger : NS-NS
- Prompt spike : Accretion onto magnetar
- ✦ Flares : late magnetar activity (Metzger; Giannios 2006)
- ◆ EE : powered by relativistic wind from magnetar
- ✦ Plateau : powered by spin down of magnetar (Zhang &

Meszaros, 2001, Rowlinson+ 2013)

Magnetar : Difficult to produce jets

Feasibility of magnetar formation after merger

- DNS merger can result in an NS
 (Shibata+ 2006, Morrison + 2004)
 - Depends on EOS, total mass of binary, rotation
- ∼ Discovery of 1.97 M_{sun} NS (Demorest 2010) : high mass NS are possible

GW diagnosis



Bartos + 2012

GW diagnosis

- ➤ Detection of GW chirp signal
- Different between prompt-BH & magnetar
- "ring down" signal
- extended GW due to secular bar-mode instability
- **~** (Baiotti+ 2008)

Progenitor of sGRB

- ✓ Magnetar model ⇒ AIC of WD can also form a sGRB
- ✓ Merger time delay distribution from theory ⇒ fit to all sGRB data (Virgili+ 2011)



- Short duration GRBs were conventionally believed to be DCO mergers
- Model can explain (i) burst nature (ii) host population (iii) offset, but difficulty reproducing central engine longevity (plateau, Flares & EEs)
- Magnetar CE proposed to explain continuous powering of CE. But has difficulties producing collimated jets
- ✓ GW signal can conclude the debate
- sGRB population may have massive star candidates? Again GW signal can be conclusive
- ✓ Inclination angle measurement (Arun+ 2014) & orphan AGs

Additional slides

Magnetar-nova

- Interaction of e+/e- wind with the merger remnants
- ➤ Brighter than kilonova
- ➤ Metzger 2014, Zhang 2012



 Inclination angle measurement (Arun+ 2014) : angle btn angular mom. axis and l.o.s

Central engine

- Should launch an
- → Should be intermittent

Myper-accreting • energetic ($10^{49}-10^{55}$ erg), /stellar mass BH • clean ($E/N_b \gg m_p c^2$) jet / \mathbf{V} Rapidly spinning magnetar

 $L_{GRB} = \zeta \dot{m}c^2 = 1.8 \times 10^{51} \text{ erg/s} \quad \zeta_{-3} \quad [\dot{m}/(M \circ s^{-1})]$

 $E_{rot} = (1/2) I \Omega^2 = 2 x 10^{52} erg [M/1.4M_{\odot}] [R/10km]^2 [P/1ms]^2$