Notes on Quantum Mechanics

Module II of Refresher Course on Theoretical Physics

17-31 March, 2025, Sri S. Ramasamy Naidu Memorial College, Sattur, Tamil Nadu

Govind S. Krishnaswami, Chennai Mathematical Institute March 23, 2025

Please let me know at govind@cmi.ac.in of any comments or corrections

Contents

1	References	1
2	Formulation of classical mechanics	2
3	States, observables and measurement in quantum mechanics	3
	3.1 Hilbert space of states of a quantum system	3
	3.2 Linear operators, Adjoint, (anti-)Hermitian and Unitary operators	
	3.3 Outer products of vectors and completeness relation	6
	3.4 Projection matrix	
	3.5 Expectation values	
	3.6 From Poisson brackets to Commutators and the Schödinger representation	8
	3.7 Hermiticity of position and momentum operators	
	3.8 Eigenvalue problem for hermitian operators	10
	3.9 Measured value of observables in states and interpretation of expectation values	12
	3.10 Heisenberg uncertainty principle and inequality	14
	3.11 Relation between wave function in position and momentum space	15
	3.12 Density matrix: pure and mixed ensembles	16
4	Time evolution: Schrödinger equation	16
	4.1 Separation of variables, stationary states	17
	4.2 Time evolution operator and its unitarity	18
	4.3 Global probability conservation and conserved probability density and current	19
	4.4 Ehrenfest's theorem	20
5	Summary of postulates of quantum mechanics	21

1 References

Books on quantum mechanics.

- 1. E Wichmann, Quantum Physics, Berkeley Physics Course, Vol 4.
- 2. R P Feynman, R B Leighton and M Sands, Feynman Lectures on Physics, Vol 3.
- 3. H S Mani and G K Mehta, Introduction to Modern Physics.
- 4. B Dutta-Roy, Elements of Quantum Mechanics.
- 5. J J Sakurai and J J Napolitano, Modern Quantum Mechanics, 2nd Ed.
- 6. L I Schiff, Quantum Mechanics, 3rd Ed. McGraw-Hill (1968).
- 7. P J E Peebles, Quantum Mechanics, Princeton Univ Press (1992).
- 8. B H Bransden and C J Joachain, Quantum Mechanics, 2nd Ed., Pearson (2000).
- 9. D J Griffiths, Introduction to Quantum Mechanics.

- 10. N Zettili, Quantum Mechanics: Concepts And Applications.
- 11. R L Liboff, Introductory Quantum Mechancis.
- 12. R Shankar, Principles of Quantum Mechanics, 2nd Ed. Plenum Press (1994).
- 13. P M Mathews and K Venkatesan, A textbook of quantum mechanics, Tata McGraw-Hill (1977).
- 14. P A M Dirac, The principles of quantum mechanics, 4th Ed., Oxford (1958).
- 15. W Heitler, Elementary wave mechanics with applications to Quantum Chemistry.
- 16. L D Landau and E M Lifshitz, Quantum mechanics: Non-relativistic theory, 3rd Edition.
- 17. L E Ballentine, Quantum Mechanics: A Modern Development, 2nd Ed., World Scientific, 2014.
- G Esposito, G Marmo and E C G Sudarshan, From Classical to Quantum Mechanics: An Introduction to the Formalism, Foundations and Applications, Cambridge Univ Press (2010).

2 Formulation of classical mechanics

• The set of possible instantaneous locations of a classical particle is called its configuration space. This is usually three dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 . The number of coordinates needed to specify the instantaneous configuration of a system is the number of degrees of freedom. A system consisting of a pair of particles has 6 degrees of freedom $x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2$, its configuration space is $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^6$. A particle attached to a fixed support by a rod of fixed length has two degrees of freedom, its configuration space is a sphere. The configuration space and number of degrees of freedom are kinematical notions. They do not depend on the nature of forces between the particles.

• If the forces acting on/between the particles are known, then we may determine the dynamical time evolution of the system by solving Newton's equations for the trajectories. For one particle in an inertial frame, $m\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{F}$. Newton's equations are second order in time, they require two sets of initial conditions, the initial positions $\mathbf{r}(0)$ and initial velocities $\dot{\mathbf{r}}(0)$. In other words, the initial coordinates $\mathbf{r}(0)$ and initial momenta $\mathbf{p}(0) = m\dot{\mathbf{r}}(0)$ determine the future trajectory. We say that the instantaneous **state** of the system is specified by giving the coordinates and momenta of all the particles. The set of possible instantaneous states of a system is its **phase space**. For a particle moving along a line, its phase space is the x - p phase plane. Newton's equations may be formulated as Hamilton's 1st order equations for the time evolution of coordinates and momenta

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}$$
 and $\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$. (1)

For a particle in a potential $H(x,p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x)$ and Hamilton's equations are a pair of first order equations

$$\dot{x} = \frac{p}{m}$$
 and $\dot{p} = -\frac{dV}{dx}$, (2)

which may be written as a single second order equation expressing Newton's second law $m\ddot{x} = -V'(x)$. The curve in phase space (x(t), p(t)) is called the phase trajectory. Draw the phase portrait for a free particle as well as for a simple harmonic oscillator, indicating the direction of trajectories. A dynamical variable that is constant along trajectories is called a constant of motion. Its value may differ from trajectory to trajectory. The hamiltonian H = T + V is a

conserved quantity for conservative systems (i.e. where the force is the negative gradient of a scalar potential).

• Dynamical variables like angular momentum and the hamiltonian are functions of the basic dynamical variables position and momentum. In general, any real function of position and momentum is called an observable. Observables are simply real-valued functions on phase space. They must be real since observables are physical quantities that may be measured.

• For a Hamiltonian H(q, p), Hamilton's equations can be expressed in terms of Poisson brackets:

$$\dot{q} = \{q, H\} \text{ and } \dot{p} = \{p, H\}$$
 (3)

and more generally, for any observable f(q, p),

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H\}.\tag{4}$$

Here, the PB of any two observables is defined as

$$\{f(q,p),g(q,p)\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^{i}}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p_{i}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}}\frac{\partial g}{\partial q^{i}}\right).$$
(5)

The sum runs over the *n* degrees of freedom. It follows that *f* is conserved ($\dot{f} = 0$) if its Poisson bracket with the Hamiltonian is zero: $\{f, H\} = 0$. If $\{f, g\} = 0$, we say that *f* and *g* Poisson commute. Dynamical variables that Poisson commute with the Hamiltonian are conserved.

3 States, observables and measurement in quantum mechanics

3.1 Hilbert space of states of a quantum system

• States of a quantum system are vectors in a linear space ("vector space") called a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For a particle moving on a line, its configuration space is \mathbb{R}^1 , parametrized by one coordinate x. Its quantum state space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of square-integrable functions $\psi(x)$ on the classical configuration space. ψ is called the state function or state vector or wave function of the particle.

• By Born's probability postulate, $|\psi(x)|^2 dx$ is interpreted as the probability of finding the particle between x and x + dx. Since the total probability of the particle being somewhere should be one, we normalize the wave function $\int_0^\infty |\psi(x)|^2 dx = 1$. This is why we restrict to square-integrable wave functions. $\psi(x)$ itself is called a **probability amplitude**, its square is a probability density.

• Unlike the classical space of states (phase space) which can be a non-linear manifold (e.g. if a particle is constrained to move on a circle), the quantum Hilbert space is always a linear space. The sum of two states $\psi + \phi$ is a possible state and so is a complex multiple $c\psi$ of any state. This is the principle of linear superposition of states, used to explain the interference of matter waves in the double slit experiment.

• A complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a vector space over the complex numbers. It is a space of ket vectors $|\psi\rangle$ closed under linear superposition. If $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\chi\rangle$ are state vectors, then so is $\alpha |\psi\rangle + \beta |\chi\rangle$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. A simple example is the two dimensional complex vector space

of spin states of a spin half particle which are usually denoted as column vectors $|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$

in a suitable basis. Notably, the space of states of a quantum system is a complex, rather than a real vector space.

• The quantum state space is equipped with an inner or dot product. The inner product of a pair of vectors ψ , χ is denoted $\langle \psi | \chi \rangle$. For the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Hilbert space, the inner product is

$$\langle \psi | \chi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^* & \psi_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \psi^{\dagger} \chi = \psi_1^* \chi_1 + \psi_2^* \chi_2.$$
(6)

 ψ^{\dagger} is called the hermitian adjoint, it is the complex conjugate transpose, it is a row vector. So associated with a vector space of colummn/ket vectors there is a 'dual' space of row/bra vectors, the adjoints of the kets $|\psi\rangle^{\dagger} = \langle \psi| = (\psi_1^* \quad \psi_2^*)$. The inner product may also be regarded as producing a complex number from a ket vector $|\chi\rangle$ and the bra vector dual/adjoint to $|\psi\rangle$: $\langle \psi|\chi\rangle$. However, the inner product of a non-zero vector with itself is always a positive real number $\langle \psi|\psi\rangle > 0$, it is called the **squared-norm** $||\psi||^2 = \langle \psi|\psi\rangle$ or squared-length of the vector.

Another example is n-dimensional complex vector space Cⁿ with the inner product ⟨u|v⟩ = ∑_i u_i^{*}v_i. The Hilbert space of a particle moving on a line is L²(ℝ) with ⟨f|g⟩ = ∫_{-∞}[∞] f^{*}(x)g(x) dx.
From these examples (keep ⟨u|v⟩ = u_i^{*}v_i in mind) we abstract the basic properties of the inner product (these are its defining properties in an axiomatic approach)

$$\langle \alpha u | v \rangle = \alpha^* \langle u | v \rangle, \quad \langle u | \beta v \rangle = \beta \langle u | v \rangle, \quad \langle u + v | w \rangle = \langle u | w \rangle + \langle v | w \rangle, \quad \langle u | v \rangle^* = \langle v | u \rangle.$$

$$(7)$$

 $\langle u|v\rangle$ is linear in the second vector v and anti-linear in the first vector u on account of complex conjugation of the components of the first vector.

• The norm/length of a vector is $||v|| = \sqrt{\langle v|v \rangle}$. The norm of a vector is unchanged upon multiplying by a phase $e^{i\alpha}$. If $\langle u|v \rangle = 0$ then the vectors are orthogonal.

• Two state vectors that differ by multiplication by a non-zero complex number $\psi_2(x) = c\psi_1(x)$ represent the **same physical state**. We often work with unit norm states.

• A basis for the Hilbert space is a set of vectors $|e_i\rangle$ such that any vector $|v\rangle$ may be expressed as a linear combination of $|e_i\rangle$ in a unique way. The number of basis vectors is the dimension of the vector space. The standard basis vectors of the two dimensional spin Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^2 are

$$|e_1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, |e_2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } \begin{pmatrix} v_1\\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = v_1|e_1\rangle + v_2|e_2\rangle.$$
 (8)

The coefficients v_i in the expansion $|v\rangle = \sum_i v_i |e_i\rangle$ are called the components of $|v\rangle$. The components of the adjoint are the complex conjugates: $\langle v| = \sum_i \langle e_i | v_i^*$. [We will often drop the summation symbol and assume repeated indices are summed.] E.g. the adjoints of the basis vectors are row bra-vectors

$$\langle e_1 | = e_1^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle e_2 | = e_2^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (9)

 \mathbb{C}^n is an *n*-dimensional vector space. The state space of a particle moving on a line, $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is infinite dimensional, it is called a function space. It is intuitively clear that this is an infinite dimensional space since the values of the function $\psi(x)$ at each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ can be freely specified (subject to normalizability). x here plays the role of the index i = 1, 2 in the two dimensional spin-half vector space \mathbb{C}^2 . A possible basis for a function space is the set of monomials $\{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, \cdots\}$. Indeed, any function ψ that has a Taylor series around x = 0 admits an expression as a linear combination of these. The coefficients are the derivatives of ψ at x = 0:

$$\psi(x) = \psi(0) + \psi'(0)x + \frac{1}{2}\psi''(0)x^2 + \frac{1}{3!}\psi'''(0)x^3 + \cdots$$
(10)

However this basis of monomials is a bit inconvenient. The basis vectors are not orthogonal, in fact they are not even normalizable with respect to the above L^2 inner product. A more convenient basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ consists of the energy eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator $|n\rangle$.

• It is often convenient to work with an orthonormal (o.n.) basis, i.e., a basis of vectors $|e_i\rangle$ which are pairwise orthogonal and each normalized to have unit norm, $\langle e_i | e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. The standard basis $|e_i\rangle$ for \mathbb{C}^n with components $|e_i\rangle_j = \delta_{ij}$ is orthonormal with respect to the usual inner product $\langle u | v \rangle = \sum_i u_i^* v_j$.

3.2 Linear operators, Adjoint, (anti-)Hermitian and Unitary operators

An observable A in quantum mechanics (e.g. hamiltonian, position, momentum, angular momentum, spin, magnetic moment) is a hermitian (self-adjoint) linear operator on the Hilbert space of states \mathcal{H} . Hermiticity is the quantum analogue of classical observables being realvalued functions. We will see that a hermitian operator has real eigenvalues, which are possible results when A is measured. To define a hermitian operator, we first note that a linear operator on a vector space takes vectors to vectors in a linear way: $A(a|\psi\rangle + b|\chi\rangle) = aA|\psi\rangle + bA|\chi\rangle$. When A acts on a vector $|v\rangle$ it produces a new ket vector $A|v\rangle$ which is also denoted $|Av\rangle$.

• A linear operator is an abstract concept, whose concrete realisation is a matrix. A linear operator on \mathbb{C}^2 is simply a 2 × 2 matrix, once we choose a basis to represent it. For example, the Pauli matrices $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ are linear operators

represented as matrices in the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^2 .

• If $|e_j\rangle$ are a basis for \mathcal{H} , then a linear operator A is determined by how it acts on the basis vectors. Since A takes vectors to vectors, $A|e_j\rangle$ must be a linear combination of the basis vectors themselves

$$A|e_j\rangle = \sum_k |e_k\rangle A_{kj}.$$
(11)

 A_{kj} are the components of A in this basis, they may be written as entries in a matrix, with A_{kj} occupying the slot in the k^{th} row and j^{th} column. The vector that makes up the first column A_{k1} is the 'image' of e_1 (i.e. coefficients in the linear combination appearing in $A|e_1\rangle$), the second column A_{k2} is the image of e_2 and so on.

• If the basis e_i is orthonormal $\langle e_i | e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, then we have

$$\langle e_i | A | e_j \rangle = \sum_k \langle e_i | e_k \rangle A_{kj} = \sum_k \delta_{ik} A_{kj} = A_{ij}.$$
 (12)

We say that A_{ij} are the matrix elements of A between the o.n. basis states e_i and e_j .

• A matrix A is hermitian if it equals its own complex conjugate transpose. The latter is called its adjoint $A^{\dagger} = (A^*)^t$. So A is hermitian if $A = A^{\dagger}$, i.e., if it is self-adjoint. In terms of matrix entries, $A_{ij}^* = A_{ji}$. In particular, the diagonal entries of a hermitian matrix are real, while the off diagonal entries are complex conjugates of each other. The Pauli matrices are hermitian. Note that the adjoint of a product is the product of adjoints in the opposite order. $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$ and that $(A|\psi\rangle)^{\dagger} = \langle \psi|A^{\dagger}$. We also denote $A|\psi\rangle = |A\psi\rangle$, so that $|A\psi\rangle^{\dagger} = \langle A\psi|$.

• The concept of hermiticity makes sense for a linear operator, even if we have not represented it explicitly as a matrix by choosing a basis. To explain the concept, we need the idea of matrix elements between states. If u, v are a pair of states, then $\langle u|A|v \rangle$ is called the **matrix element** of A between the states u and v. To know an operator is to know its matrix elements.

• The **adjoint** of A is the operator A^{\dagger} defined via its matrix elements $\langle u|A^{\dagger}|v\rangle = \langle Au|v\rangle = \langle v|Au\rangle^*$. So if we know the matrix elements of A, then we may find the matrix elements of A^{\dagger} . A linear operator is **hermitian** if $\langle u|Av\rangle = \langle Au|v\rangle$ for all states $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$. A hermitian operator is also called symmetric since it does not matter whether A is written on the left or on the right.

• Now, let us see how this abstract definition of hermiticity reduces to the formula $A_{ij} = A_{ji}^*$ for hermitian matrices. We must equate the matrix elements of A and those of A^{\dagger} . Let e_i be an orthonormal basis, then the matrix element of A between the states e_i and e_j is just A_{ij} , as is seen by taking the inner product of the above equation with e_i

$$\langle e_i | A | e_j \rangle = \sum_k \langle e_i | e_k \rangle A_{kj} = \sum_k \delta_{ik} A_{kj} = A_{ij}.$$
 (13)

On the other hand, what are the matrix elements of A^{\dagger} ? By the definition of the adjoint,

$$\langle e_i | A^{\dagger} e_j \rangle = \langle A e_i | e_j \rangle = \langle e_j | A e_i \rangle^* = (A_{ji})^*$$
 (14)

So a linear operator is self-adjoint if its matrix elements in an o.n. basis satisfy $A_{ij} = (A_{ji})^*$. • An anti-hermitian operator is one that satisfies $A^{\dagger} = -A$. A unitary operator is one whose inverse is its adjoint, $UU^{\dagger} = U^{\dagger}U = I$. It is clear that the identity I is hermitian as well as

unitary. If A is anti-hermitian, then iA is hermitian since $(iA)^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}i^{\dagger} = -A(-i) = A$.

3.3 Outer products of vectors and completeness relation

• Outer products of vectors: Consider the vector space \mathbb{C}^n with standard basis $|e_i\rangle$. Just as we may multiply row and column *n*-vectors to get a scalar inner product, we may also form their 'outer' product (column times a row), to get an $n \times n$ matrix. For n = 2 show that

$$|e_{1}\rangle\langle e_{1}| = e_{1}e_{1}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |e_{2}\rangle\langle e_{2}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |e_{1}\rangle\langle e_{2}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |e_{2}\rangle\langle e_{1}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(15)

More generally, check that $|e_i\rangle\langle e_j|$ is a matrix with a 1 in the *ij*-entry and 0's elsewhere. From this we see that a matrix whose entries are A_{ij} in the *i*th row and *j*th column, can be expressed as

$$A = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| \tag{16}$$

Now let us use this expression to find how a matrix acts on a vector $v = v_k |e_k\rangle$. We get using the associativity of multiplication of operators (freedom to place brackets)

$$Av = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} |e_i\rangle \langle e_j |v_k|e_k\rangle = A_{ij}v_k |e_i\rangle \langle e_j |e_k\rangle = A_{ij}v_k \delta_{jk} |e_i\rangle = A_{ik}v_k |e_i\rangle.$$
(17)

So the i^{th} component of Av is $\sum_k A_{ik}v_k$.

• In particular, the identity operator I, may be expressed as

$$I = \sum_{i} |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| = \sum_{ij} \delta_{ij} |e_i\rangle\langle e_j|.$$
(18)

The identity operator has the components δ_{ij} in any basis since it takes every vector to itself. This 'resolution' of the identity operator as a sum of outer products of a set of orthonormal basis vectors is called the **completeness relation**. It is quite useful in many physical problems and calculations. E.g. the energy eigenstates of the Harmonic oscillator form a complete orthonormal set and satisfy the above completeness relation. Coherent states for the harmonic oscillator also satisfy a completeness relation even though they are not orthogonal and are in fact an over-complete set.

• In the Hilbert space of a spin half particle \mathbb{C}^2 , we have the basis vectors $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ which we will interpret as states where the z-component of spin S_z has the values $\pm\hbar/2$. Then $S_z = (\hbar/2)(|\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| - |\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow|)$. The raising and lowering operators are

$$S_{+} = \hbar |\uparrow\rangle\langle\downarrow|$$
 and $S_{-} = \hbar |\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow|.$ (19)

Justify the names of S_{\pm} by analysing how they act on $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$.

3.4 Projection matrix

• As before, let $|e_i\rangle$ be an orthonormal basis. Define the operator $P_{e_i} = |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$ via the outer product of e_i with itself. If $|v\rangle$ is any vector, notice that $P_{e_i}|v\rangle = |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|v\rangle = v_i|e_i\rangle$ (no sum on *i*) is the (orthogonal) projection of *v* along e_i . We say that P_{e_i} is the projection matrix or operator to the subspace spanned by e_i . In general, given any nonzero vector $|u\rangle$,

$$P_u = \frac{|u\rangle\langle u|}{\langle u|u\rangle} \tag{20}$$

is called the projection operator to the subspace spanned by u.

- Verify the following properties:
 - 1. $P_u^{\dagger} = P_u$ is hermitian.
 - 2. $P_u^2 = P_u$.
 - 3. tr $P_u = \frac{1}{||u||^2} \sum_i \langle e_i | u \rangle \langle u | e_i \rangle = \frac{1}{||u||^2} \sum |u_i|^2 = 1$. Alternatively, using tr AB =tr BA, tr $P_u =$ tr $\langle u | u \rangle / \langle u | u \rangle = 1$. The trace of a projection to a 1d subspace is equal to one.
 - 4. P_u is a positive semidefinite operator. This means $\langle v|P_u|v\rangle \ge 0$ for all $|v\rangle$. In fact, this diagonal matrix element vanishes iff v is orthogonal to u.

• More generally, given a subspace $W \subseteq V$ we have the (orthogonal) projection to the subspace W. If w_i for $1 \le i \le k$ is an orthonormal basis for W, then

$$P_W = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |w_i\rangle\langle w_i| \tag{21}$$

is the projection to W. We verify that $P_W^{\dagger} = P_W$, $P_W^2 = P_W$, tr $P_W = k$ and that P_W is positive semidefinite.

• In general, a projection matrix is a hermitian matrix P with $P^2 = P$. The trace of a projection is the dimension of the subspace to which it projects.

• In \mathbb{R}^3 , the projection of a vector onto the x-y plane may be viewed as the shadow of the vector if the sun is positioned infinitely far away on the z-axis ($z = \pm \infty$ depending on whether the vector is above or below the x-y plane.).

• The completeness relation (18) expresses the identity as a sum of projections to the 1d subspaces spanned by the vectors in an orthonormal basis.

3.5 Expectation values

• Of particular importance is the concept of **expectation value** of an observable A in a state ψ , which is defined as the normalized diagonal matrix element of A in the state ψ

$$\langle A \rangle_{\psi} = \frac{\langle \psi | A \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle} \tag{22}$$

The expectation value of a hermitian operator in any state is a real number. For, by hermiticity, and $\langle u|v \rangle = \langle v|u \rangle^*$, we have

$$\langle \psi | A\psi \rangle = \langle A\psi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | A\psi \rangle^* \tag{23}$$

In other words, the diagonal matrix element of A is equal to its own complex conjugate. We are familiar with this: the diagonal entries of a hermitian matrix in an orthonormal basis $\langle e_i | A | e_i \rangle = A_{ii}$ are real.

• It follows from the reality of expectation values of a hermitian operator that the eigenvalues (to be introduced shortly) of a hermitian operator are also real. In fact, the eigenvalues are simply the expectation values in the corresponding eigenstates.

3.6 From Poisson brackets to Commutators and the Schödinger representation

• Recall that the classical phase space variables, position and momentum satisfy canonical Poisson brackets. For 1 degree of freedom, they are

$$\{x, p\} = 1, \quad \{x, x\} = 0, \quad \{p, p\} = 0.$$
 (24)

x and p are said to be canonically conjugate observables. For a particle in 3d space, we have $\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$.

• The process of quantization involves replacing classical observable like position, momentum, angular momentum and the Hamiltonian with corresponding hermitian operators on the quantum Hilbert space. Let us call the quantum position and momentum operators \hat{x} and \hat{p} .

• In the process of quantization, the Poisson bracket of classical Hamiltonian mechanics is replaced with (a multiple of) the commutator of operators. Multiplication of matrices/operators is in general not commutative $AB \neq BA$ (in general). The amount by which they fail to commute is called the commutator [A, B] = AB - BA. Any operator commutes with itself or any power of itself $[A, A^n] = A^{n+1} - A^{n+1} = 0$.

• We will postulate the quantization rule

$$\{f,g\} = h \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{f},\hat{g}] = \hat{h}, \tag{25}$$

where $\hat{f}, \hat{g}, \hat{h}$ are the hermitian operators associated to the classical observables f, g, h.

• Let us explain the factor $i\hbar$. Now, due to the differentiation with respect to x and p, $\{f, g\}$ has dimensions of the product of f and g divided by action (or angular momentum). On the other hand, the commutator $[\hat{f}, \hat{g}]$ has dimensions of the product of f and g. So we will need to divide the commutator by a quantity with dimensions of angular momentum in passing to the quantum theory. This quantity is the 'reduced' Planck's constant \hbar . Furthermore, we check that the commutator of hermitian operators A and B is antihermitian:

$$([A,B])^{\dagger} = (AB - BA)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger} - A^{\dagger}B^{\dagger} = BA - AB = -[A,B].$$
(26)

Thus, to get a hermitian operator, we divide by i.

• An important property of the commutator is the product or Leibniz rule, check that

$$[A, BC] = [A, B]C + B[A, C].$$
(27)

• To quantize x and p we seek hermitian operators \hat{x} and \hat{p} on the quantum state space that satisfy the Heisenberg commutator relation $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar I$. Schrodinger found a solution to this problem. Working in the position basis, our states are wave functions of position $\psi(x)$. We will represent \hat{x} by multiplication by x and \hat{p} by $-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. In other words:

$$(\hat{x}\psi)(x) = x\psi(x)$$
 and $(\hat{p}\psi)(x) = -i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}$. (28)

• Let us check that $\hat{x}\hat{p} - \hat{p}\hat{x} = [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar I$ by acting on a state $\psi(x)$. We will mostly omit the hats on quantum operators henceforth:

$$xp\psi = -i\hbar x\psi'(x)$$
, while $px\psi = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x\psi) = -i\hbar x\psi'(x) - i\hbar\psi(x) \Rightarrow [x,p]\psi = i\hbar\psi$
(29)

• In three dimensions, we have three coordinate and momentum operators x, y, z and $p_x = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, p_y = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, p_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. It is easily seen that the momenta commute with each other and the coordinates commute among themselves, more over $[x, p_x] = i\hbar$ while $[x, p_y] = 0$ etc. These so-called **Heisenberg canonical commutation relations** may be summarised as $[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}$.

• We will soon show that the quantum mechanical position and momentum operators are hermitian.

3.7 Hermiticity of position and momentum operators

• Physically interesting examples of hermitian operators for a particle with one degree of freedom moving on a line include the position operator $(\hat{x}\psi)(x) = x\psi(x)$, and momentum operator $(\hat{p}\psi)(x) = -i\hbar\psi'(x)$. Here, we check that $\hat{x}^{\dagger} = \hat{x}$ and that $\hat{d} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ is anti-hermitian. It will follow that $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\hat{d}$ is hermitian. We must show $\langle f|\hat{x}g \rangle = \langle \hat{x}f|g \rangle$ for any two states f, g. This is seen as follows:

$$\langle f|\hat{x}g\rangle = \int f^*(x)xg(x)\,dx = \int (xf(x))^*g(x)\,dx = \langle \hat{x}f|g\rangle.$$
(30)

Showing hermiticity of $\hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ requires integration by parts. Let us show that $\hat{d} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ is anti-hermitian, from which it will follow that $\hat{p} = -i\hbar \hat{d}$ is hermitian. Let us denote complex conjugate of f by \bar{f} here for convenience

$$\langle f|\hat{d}g\rangle = \int \bar{f}(x)g'(x)dx = -\int \bar{f}'(x)g(x)\,dx + \left[\bar{f}g\right]_{-\infty}^{\infty} = -\langle \hat{d}f|g\rangle. \tag{31}$$

Here we assumed f, g vanish at $\pm \infty$, which is the case for square-integrable functions. Boundary conditions play an important role in determining the hermiticity of momentum. If we have a particle moving on a finite interval [a, b] (as in a square well), then

$$\langle f|\hat{d}g\rangle = \int_{a}^{b} \bar{f}(x)g'(x)dx = -\int_{a}^{b} \bar{f}'(x)g(x)\,dx + \left[\bar{f}g\right]_{a}^{b} = -\langle\hat{d}f|g\rangle + \left[\bar{f}g\right]_{a}^{b}.$$
 (32)

For \hat{d} to be anti-hermitian, the boundary term must vanish. This happens, for instance, if the functions vanish at the end points (f(a) = f(b) = 0, as in an infinite square well) or satisfy 'periodic boundary conditions' f(a) = f(b).

3.8 Eigenvalue problem for hermitian operators

• The eigenvalue problem for a linear operator (hermitian or not) is the equation $A|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$. A non-zero vector $|\psi\rangle \neq 0$ that satisfies this equation for some complex number λ is called an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ . Taking the adjoint of the eigenvalue equation we also have

$$(A|\psi\rangle)^{\dagger} = \langle \psi|A^{\dagger} = \lambda^* \langle \psi| \tag{33}$$

So if $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigen-ket of A with eigenvalue λ , then $\langle \psi |$ is an eigen-bra of A^{\dagger} with eigenvalue λ^* . In particular, if $A = A^{\dagger}$ is hermitian, then $\langle \psi | A^{\dagger} = \langle \psi | A = \lambda^* \langle \psi |$. In other words, if $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigen-ket of A, then $\langle \psi |$ is an eigen-bra of A with eigenvalue λ^* . We will soon show that λ is real if A is hermitian (see also §3.5).

• Exercise: Show that the eigenvalues of a projection matrix $P(P^2 = P)$ are 1 (with degeneracy tr P) and 0 (with degeneracy n - tr P).

• The eigenstate of the position operator \hat{x} with eigenvalue x' is denoted $|x'\rangle$, i.e., $\hat{x}|x'\rangle = x'|x'\rangle$. We will see that measurement of the position of a particle that is in state $|x'\rangle$ is guaranteed to give the value x'. The 'position-space' or 'coordinate-space' wave function of any state $|\psi\rangle$ is defined as the inner product $\langle x|\psi\rangle = \psi(x)$. It follows that $\psi^*(x) = \langle \psi|x\rangle$.

• Similarly, the eigenvalue problem for momentum is $\hat{p}|k\rangle = \hbar k|k\rangle$. It is conventional to write the momentum eigenvalue in terms of wave number as $\hbar k$. We will see that $|k\rangle$ is a state in which a measurement of the particle's momentum will give $\hbar k$. The momentum space wave function of a particle in state $|\psi\rangle$ is defined as $\tilde{\psi}(k) = \langle k|\psi\rangle$. $\tilde{\psi}$ is pronounced 'psi-tilde'.

• Here are some useful facts about hermitian matrices/operators:

 The eigenvalues of a hermitian operator are real. This is because the eigenvalues of a hermitian operator are simply the (necessarily real) expectation values in the corresponding eigenstates

$$A|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle\psi|A|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|\lambda\psi\rangle = \lambda\langle\psi|\psi\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda = \frac{\langle\psi|A|\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi|\psi\rangle}.$$
 (34)

2. Eigenvectors $|\chi\rangle, |\psi\rangle$ corresponding to distinct (necessarily real) eigenvalues $\mu \neq \lambda$ are orthogonal. To see this, we calculate $\langle \chi | A \psi \rangle$ in two ways using hermiticity and reality of eigenvalues and subtract.

$$\langle \chi | A\psi \rangle = \lambda \langle \chi | \psi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \chi | A\psi \rangle = \langle A\chi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | A\chi \rangle^* = \mu^* \langle \psi | \chi \rangle^* = \mu \langle \chi | \psi \rangle.$$
(35)

Thus $(\lambda - \mu)\langle \chi | \psi \rangle = 0$. Since $\lambda \neq \mu$ we must have $\langle \chi | \psi \rangle = 0$, i.e., eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

3. It can be shown that a hermitian operator can be diagonalised by a unitary transformation $U^{\dagger}HU = \Lambda$ where Λ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues along the diagonal. Moreover, the eigenvectors of a hermitian operator can be chosen to form a complete orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}

$$A|\psi_i\rangle = \lambda_i |\psi_i\rangle, \quad \langle \psi_i |\psi_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \sum_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| = I, \quad .$$
 (36)

Furthermore, two hermitian operators which commute can be simultaneously diagonalised. In other words, there is a basis of common eigenvectors in which both are diagonal. And if they do not commute, as in the case of $[x, p] = i\hbar I$, they cannot be simultaneously diagonalised. Operators that commute are said to be compatible, we will see that they can be simultaneously measured.

- 4. The eigenvalue problem for the momentum operator is $\hat{p}|k\rangle = \hbar k|k\rangle$. The position space eigenfunction $\langle x|k\rangle$ of the momentum operator is a plane wave. $\hat{p}\psi(x) = \hbar k\psi(x)$ becomes $-i\hbar\psi' = \hbar k\psi$ or $\psi = Ae^{ikx}$. We will choose A = 1. In other words $\langle x|k\rangle = e^{ikx}$ and so $\langle k|x\rangle = e^{-ikx}$. Note that $\psi_k(x) = e^{ikx}$ has an infinite norm.
- 5. The position-space or coordinate-space eigenfunctions of the position operator are deltafunctions. Let's see why. The eigenvalue problem is

$$\hat{x} \psi(x) = x\psi(x) = \lambda\psi(x)$$
 where λ is a constant. (37)

The only way this can be satisfied for all x is for $\psi(x)$ to vanish at all $x \neq \lambda$. Now if $\psi(x)$ were to vanish at $x = \lambda$ as well, then it would be the zero function and not qualify as a non-trivial eigenvector. The value of $\psi(x)$ at $x = \lambda$ can either be finite or $\psi(\lambda) = \pm \infty$. If $|\psi(\lambda)| < \infty$, then the state will have zero norm and cannot describe a particle that can be found somewhere. So ψ must be infinite at $x = \lambda$. In fact, $\psi(x)$ is proportional to the Dirac delta function. It is normalized so that $\psi(x) = \delta(x - \lambda)$. It is conventional to denote the position eigenvalue by x' rather than λ . So $\delta(x - x')$ is an eigenfunction of the position operator with eigenvalue x', it is a function of x that is zero every where except at x'. Think of it as a limit of functions that are sharply peaked at x = x'. Thus the coordinate space wave function of the eigenstate $|x'\rangle$ of \hat{x} is $\langle x|x'\rangle = \delta(x - x')$. Now if we have two position eigenstates $|x'\rangle = \delta(x - x'')$. Their inner product is

$$\langle x''|x'\rangle = \int \delta(x-x'')\delta(x-x') \, dx = \delta(x'-x''). \tag{38}$$

So position eigenstates are orthogonal and 'delta-normalized'. They form a complete set in the sense that they satisfy a completeness relation

$$\int dx \, |x\rangle \langle x| = I. \tag{39}$$

To see this, take the matrix elements of the LHS between coordinate basis states $|x'\rangle$ and $|x''\rangle$

$$\int dx \, \langle x'|x \rangle \langle x|x'' \rangle = \int dx \, \delta(x-x')\delta(x-x'') = \delta(x'-x''). \tag{40}$$

On the other hand, the matrix elements of the identity are also the same $\langle x'|I|x''\rangle = \langle x'|x''\rangle = \delta(x'-x'')$. Since $\int dx |x\rangle\langle x|$ and I have the same matrix elements, they are equal.

• Similarly, momentum eigenstates form a complete set

$$\int \frac{dk}{2\pi} |k\rangle \langle k| = I.$$
(41)

Check this by evaluating the matrix elements between position basis states $|x'\rangle$ and $|x''\rangle$. On the rhs we get $\langle x'|I|x''\rangle = \delta(x' - x'')$. On the lhs we get the same using the Fourier representation of the delta function

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \langle x'|k\rangle \langle k|x''\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{ikx'} e^{-ikx''} = \delta(x'-x'').$$
(42)

How do we get the last equality? If x' = x'' then we are integrating the function 1, and the answer should be infinite, and indeed $\delta(0) = \infty$. On the other hand, when $x' \neq x''$, then we have

$$\int \frac{dk}{2\pi} [\cos(k(x' - x'')) + i\sin(k(x' - x''))] = 0$$
(43)

Since the average value of both the sine and cosine functions is zero.

• Momentum eigenstates with distinct wave numbers are orthogonal (as we expect for the eigenstates of a hermitian operator)

$$\langle k'|k''\rangle = \int dx \,\langle k'|x\rangle\langle x|k''\rangle = \int dx \, e^{-ik'x} e^{ik''x} = 2\pi \,\delta(k'-k''). \tag{44}$$

6. Among hermitian operators, the positive (semi-) definite operators are particularly interesting physically. A hermitian operator is positive semi-definite if its diagonal matrix element in *every* state is non-negative $\langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle \geq 0$, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. Since eigenvalues are simply the expectation values in eigenstates, we see that positive semi-definite operators have non-negative eigenvalues. If A is any linear operator, then we check that $A^{\dagger}A$ and AA^{\dagger} are both hermitian and positive semi-definite operators.

E.g.
$$(AA^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger \dagger}A^{\dagger} = AA^{\dagger}.$$
 (45)

To check positivity, we work out the expectation value in any (unit norm) state:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle \psi | A^{\dagger} A | \psi \rangle &=& \langle A \psi | A \psi \rangle = ||A\psi||^2 \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \langle \psi | A A^{\dagger} | \psi \rangle &=& \langle A^{\dagger} \psi | A^{\dagger} \psi \rangle = ||A^{\dagger} \psi ||^2 \ge 0. \end{array}$$
 (46)

An example is kinetic energy $T = \frac{1}{2m}p^2 = \frac{1}{2m}p^{\dagger}p = \frac{1}{2m}pp^{\dagger}$, since $p = p^{\dagger}$ is hermitian. So we may conclude that the energy eigenvalues of a free particle must all be non-negative.

3.9 Measured value of observables in states and interpretation of expectation values

• Born's measurement postulate. Measurement of an observable A in state $|\psi\rangle$ of unit norm produces a real number that is one of the eigenvalues of A. For now, we assume that eigenvalues of A are nondegenerate and state Born's probability postulate. Suppose we have several identically prepared systems in the same unit norm state ψ and we measure the value of A in each system and record the values. Then the frequency of occurrence of the measured value λ is $p_{\lambda} = |\langle \psi_{\lambda} | \psi \rangle|^2$ where $|\psi_{\lambda}\rangle$ is the unit norm eigenstate corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . If the initial state and eigenvector had not been normalized, then

$$p_{\lambda} = \frac{|\langle \psi_{\lambda} | \psi \rangle|^2}{||\psi_{\lambda}||^2 ||\psi||^2}.$$
(47)

More generally, suppose λ is an *n*-fold degenerate eigenvalue of A (the λ -eigenspace V_{λ} of A has dimension n). Moreover, suppose $\psi_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ is an orthonormal basis for V_{λ} . If the system is initially in the unit norm sate $|\psi\rangle$, then the probability of getting eigenvalue λ upon measuring A is

$$p_{\lambda} = |\langle \psi_{\lambda}^{(1)} | \psi \rangle|^2 + |\langle \psi_{\lambda}^{(2)} | \psi \rangle|^2 + \dots + |\langle \psi_{\lambda}^{(n)} | \psi \rangle|^2.$$

$$\tag{48}$$

• Expectation value. The expectation value of an observable A in a state ψ is the mean value obtained when A is measured on many copies of the system prepared in the same state ψ . How

do we see this? Each measurement gives a (possibly different) eigenvalue λ with probability p_{λ} . So the mean measured value is a sum over the eigenvalues of A (counted with multiplicity)

$$\sum_{\lambda} p_{\lambda} \lambda = \sum_{\lambda} \lambda |\langle \psi | \psi_{\lambda} \rangle|^{2} = \sum_{\lambda} \lambda \langle \psi | \psi_{\lambda} \rangle \langle \psi | \psi_{\lambda} \rangle^{*} = \sum_{\lambda} \lambda \langle \psi | \psi_{\lambda} \rangle \langle \psi_{\lambda} | \psi \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda} \langle \psi A | \psi_{\lambda} \rangle \langle \psi_{\lambda} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle.$$
(49)

We used the eigenvalue equation and completeness of the normalized eigenvectors $\sum_{\lambda} |\psi_{\lambda}\rangle \langle \psi_{\lambda}| = I$.

• Physical interpretation of $\langle x|k'\rangle = e^{ik'x}$ and $\langle x|x'\rangle = \delta(x-x')$ in the context of probability of results of measurements. Suppose a particle is in a position eigenstate $|x'\rangle$. Then its coordinate space wave function is $\langle x|x'\rangle = \delta(x-x')$. Now suppose we make a measurement of its position. Then the probability of getting the value x is $\mathbf{P}\{\text{position} = x\} \propto |\langle x|x'\rangle|^2$. Notice that $\mathbf{P}\{\text{position} = x\} = 0$ for $x \neq x'$. So if we measure the position of a particle known to be in the position eigenstate $|x'\rangle$, then the only value of position that can result is x' itself.

• Suppose a particle is in a position eigenstate $|x'\rangle$. Then its momentum space wave function is $\langle k|x'\rangle = e^{-ikx'}$. Suppose we make a measurement of its momentum. Then the probability of getting the value $\hbar k$ is $p_k \propto |\langle k|x'\rangle|^2 = |e^{ikx}|^2 = 1$. In other words, all momenta are equally probable. This makes physical sense in light of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. If the particle is in a position eigenstate, then its position is known with perfect accuracy. So we would expect its momentum to be maximally uncertain. And indeed, what we find is that all possible momenta are equally likely, so we have no knowledge as to what the result of a momentum measurement may give.

• Collapse postulate and projective measurements. After measuring an observable A and getting the eigenvalue λ , the system 'collapses' from state $|\psi\rangle$ to eigenstate $|\psi_{\lambda}\rangle$, assumed nondegenerate, corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda (A|\psi_{\lambda}\rangle = \lambda|\psi_{\lambda}\rangle)$. Such a measurement is called a projective measurement. This is because the state after the measurement can be expressed as $P_{\lambda}|\psi\rangle$ where $P_{\lambda} = |\psi_{\lambda}\rangle\langle\psi_{\lambda}|/\langle\psi_{\lambda}|\psi_{\lambda}\rangle$ is the projection operator to the state $|\psi_{\lambda}\rangle$. Viewing a projective measurement this way also indicates the generalization to when the eigenvalue λ is degenerate. In this case too, we postulate that the system collapses to the state $P_{\lambda}|\psi\rangle$ where P_{λ} is the projection operator to the λ -eigenspace. For instance, if the λ -eigenspace is two-dimensional with two orthonormal eigenvectors u and v corresponding to the same eigenvalue λ , then $P_{\lambda} = |u\rangle\langle u| + |v\rangle\langle v|$. In general, if $|\psi_{\lambda}^{(j)}\rangle$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ furnishes an orthonormal basis for the λ -eigenspace, then $P_{\lambda} = \sum_{j} |\psi_{\lambda}^{(j)}\rangle\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{(j)}|$. The state after a projective measurement is

$$P_{\lambda}|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\psi_{\lambda}^{(j)}\rangle\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{(j)}|\psi\rangle.$$
(50)

Moreover, the probability p_{λ} of getting the eigenvalue λ upon measuring A in the unit norm state $|\psi\rangle$ can be expressed as the expectation value of P_{λ} :

$$p_{\lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\langle \psi_{\lambda}^{(j)} | \psi \rangle|^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle \psi | \psi_{\lambda}^{(j)} \rangle \langle \psi_{\lambda}^{(j)} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | P_{\lambda} | \psi \rangle.$$
(51)

• **Reproducibility of measurements:** If A is measured again, soon after a previous measurement of A, then the same value λ will be obtained and the system will remain in the same

eigenstate of A. If a system is in an eigenstate $|\psi_0\rangle$ of the Hamiltonian, then we know in advance that measurement of energy will result only in the eigenvalue E_0 and that the state will not change after the measurement.

• Simultaneous measurability of compatible observables. If two observables (hermitian operators A, B) commute, they have common eigenvectors and are simultaneously diagonalisable. We say they are simultaneously measurable or compatible. What this means is that if A has been measured, and a value a obtained, then a measurement of B will not affect the eigenstate $|\psi_a\rangle$ of A to which the system had collapsed. This is because $|\psi_a\rangle$ is an eigenstate of B as well. An immediate measurement of B will certainly result in the eigenvalue of B corresponding to the eigenvector ψ_a . A subsequent measurement of A will again result in the value a. It is in this sense that A and B can be simultaneously measured.

• Let us indicate why commuting observables have common eigenfunctions. Suppose A is hermitian and has eigenvalues λ_i (assumed non-degenerate) with corresponding eigenfunctions ψ_i , so $A\psi_i = \lambda_i\psi_i$. Non-degeneracy means that each eigenspace is one dimensional. Now suppose B commutes with A. Then consider $B(A\psi)$, we evaluate it in two ways. On the one hand, $B(A\psi_i) = \lambda_i B\psi_i$. On the other, $BA\psi_i = AB\psi_i$. Thus $A(B\psi_i) = \lambda_i(B\psi_i)$. In other words, both ψ_i and $B\psi_i$ are eigenfunctions of A with the same eigenvalue. Since the eigenspaces of A are assumed one dimensional $B\psi_i$ and ψ_i must be linearly dependent, i.e. multiples of eachother: $B\psi_i = \mu_i\psi_i$. In other words we have shown that an eigenfunction of A is also an eigenfunction of B! What happens if A has a degenerate eigenvalue?

• It is worth noting that measurement of an observable in a state ψ is a complicated process that is still not well-understood, and is certainly not the multiplication of the operator A with the state vector ψ (which would produce a vector rather than a real number).

3.10 Heisenberg uncertainty principle and inequality

• Given an observable A and a unit norm state $|\psi\rangle$, we have the variance of A in the state ψ (or the square of the standard deviation or simply the square of the uncertainty of A)

$$(\Delta A)^2 = \langle \psi | (A - \langle A \rangle)^2 | \psi \rangle = \langle A^2 \rangle - \langle A \rangle^2$$
(52)

The uncertainty in A measures the spread/width of the distribution of possible measured values of A in the state $|\psi\rangle$. It depends *both* on A and $|\psi\rangle$. If ψ is an eigenstate of A with eigenvalue a, then the uncertainty of A is zero. We say that A takes a definite value a in an eigenstate. We say that A has quantum fluctuations in the state ψ if $\langle A^2 \rangle \neq \langle A \rangle^2$.

• Suppose ψ is a unit norm state, then the Heisenberg uncertainty inequality is $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{1}{2}\hbar$. It says that if you prepare a large number of copies of a system in the same state ψ , and make measurements of position on half of them and momentum on the other half, the product of standard deviations in the measurements of position and momentum is bounded below by $\hbar/2$.

• An extreme case: if ψ is a position eigenstate $|x_0\rangle$. In such a state, the uncertainty in x is zero, a measurement of position always results in the value x_0 . However, the uncertainty in momentum is infinite in a position eigenstate, all values of momentum are equally likely.

• The ground state ψ_0 of the linear harmonic oscillator is a minimum uncertainty state. $\Delta x \Delta p = \hbar/2$ in this state. Check this statement. The so-called coherent states furnish other examples of minimum uncertainty states. These states show features that are quite close to those seen in classical mechanics.

• To show this we define an uncertainty functional U in a unit norm state ψ for a pair of observables A, B with [A, B] = iC. Later we will specialize to $A = x, B = p, C = \hbar I$.

$$U(\psi) = (\Delta A)^2 (\Delta B)^2 = \langle \psi | (A - \bar{A})^2 | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | (B - \bar{B})^2 | \psi \rangle = \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \beta \rangle$$
(53)

where $|\alpha\rangle = (A - \bar{A})|\psi\rangle \equiv \delta A |\psi\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle = (B - \bar{B})|\psi\rangle = \delta B |\psi\rangle$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$U \ge \left| \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle \right|^2 = \left| \langle \psi | \ \delta A \ \delta B \ | \psi \rangle \right|^2 \tag{54}$$

We bring in the commutator and the anticommutator via

$$\delta A \delta B = \frac{1}{2} [\delta A, \delta B] + \frac{1}{2} \{ \delta A, \delta B \} = \frac{1}{2} i C + \frac{1}{2} \{ \delta A, \delta B \}.$$
 (55)

Now C is hermitian as is $\{ \delta A, \delta B \}$. It follows that $\frac{1}{2} \langle iC \rangle$ is purely imaginary and $\frac{1}{2} \langle \{ \delta A, \delta B \} \rangle$ is real. So the absolute square of the sum is just the sum of the squares of the imaginary and real parts:

$$U \ge \left|\frac{i}{2}\langle C \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle \{ \delta A, \delta B \} \rangle \right|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\langle C \rangle_{\psi}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\langle \psi | \{ \delta A, \delta B \} | \psi \rangle^2.$$
(56)

The second term is ≥ 0 . So we get

$$(\Delta A)^2 (\Delta B)^2 = U \ge \frac{1}{4} \langle C \rangle_{\psi}^2.$$
(57)

Specializing to $A = x, B = p, C = \hbar I$ we get the Heisenberg uncertainty inequality $\Delta x \Delta p \ge \hbar/2$.

3.11 Relation between wave function in position and momentum space

• We have seen that the position space wave function of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is defined as $\psi(x) = \langle x|\psi\rangle$. Let us denote a momentum eigenstate with momentum eigenvalue $p = \hbar k$ by $|k\rangle$, where k is the wave number. Then the momentum space wave function of the same state $|\psi\rangle$ is $\tilde{\psi}(k) = \langle k|\psi\rangle$. The point is that $|\psi\rangle$ is an abstract state vector. We can study it ('represent it') via its components in any basis. In particular, we may look at its components $\langle x|\psi\rangle = \psi(x)$ in the basis of position eigenstates or its components $\langle k|\psi\rangle = \tilde{\psi}(k)$ in the basis of momentum eigenstates. Let us see how $\psi(x)$ is related to $\tilde{\psi}(k)$.

• Now inserting a complete set of momentum eigenstates and using $\langle x|k\rangle = e^{ikx}$,

$$\psi(x) = \langle x|\psi\rangle = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \langle x|k\rangle \langle k|\psi\rangle = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{ikx} \tilde{\psi}(k)$$
(58)

So the position space wave function is the inverse-Fourier transform of the momentum space wave function. Similarly, we have the Fourier transform

$$\tilde{\psi}(k) = \int dx e^{-ikx} \psi(x).$$
(59)

• $\psi(x)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(k)$ are to be compared with the state of a classical mechanical system, which is given by a *simultaneous* specification of coordinates **and** momenta. In the quantum theory, ψ cannot depend on both the coordinates and momenta (in an arbitrary manner). This is related to the uncertainty principle.

• The absolute square of the wave function $|\psi(x,t)|^2 = \psi^*(x,t)\psi(x,t)$ gives the probability density for finding the particle at location x at time t. Similarly, $|\tilde{\psi}(k,t)|^2 \frac{dk}{2\pi}$ is the probability of finding the particle in momentum interval [k, k + dk] at time t.

3.12 Density matrix: pure and mixed ensembles

• Suppose a system is in the state represented by the nonzero vector $|\psi\rangle$. Associated to $|\psi\rangle$, we have the projection operator $P_{\psi} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|/\langle\psi|\psi\rangle$ that projects to the 1d subspace spanned by $|\psi\rangle$. Notice that P_{ψ} is unchanged if we multiply $|\psi\rangle$ by a nonzero complex number. Since such a scaling does not alter the physical state, P_{ψ} is an efficient way of representing the state. In this case, $\rho = P_{\psi}$ is called the (pure) density matrix specifying the state of the system. The expectation value of any observable A is then expressible in terms of the density matrix. Using tr AB = tr BA,

$$\langle A \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle} = \operatorname{tr}(\rho A).$$
(60)

• We may think about a pure state via an ensemble consisting of several identical copies of the system, all in the same state $|\psi\rangle$. Such an ensemble is called a pure ensemble. Then according to Born, the expectation value $\langle A \rangle_{\psi}$ is the mean value obtained when A is measured in each element of the ensemble.

• A pure ensemble density matrix has the following properties. (a) It is Hermitian $\rho^{\dagger} = \rho$, (b) It is positive semidefinite $\rho \ge 0$, (c) It has trace one tr $\rho = 1$ since this holds for a projection to a 1d subspace and (d) it is a projection $\rho^2 = \rho$.

• The viewpoint in terms of an ensemble allows us to generalize the concept of a pure state. Consider an ensemble consisting of many copies of the system, each in a possibly different (pure) state. Suppose the state ψ_i occurs with frequency $p_i \ge 0$ in the ensemble, with $\sum_i p_i = 1$. We may represent this so-called mixed ensemble via a density matrix:

$$\rho = \sum_{i} p_{i} P_{\psi_{i}} = \sum_{i} p_{i} \frac{|\psi_{i}\rangle\langle\psi_{i}|}{\langle\psi_{i}|\psi_{i}\rangle}.$$
(61)

• Notice that ρ is hermitian, positive semidefinite and has tr $\rho = \sum_i p_i = 1$. However, it is in general not a projection. The expectation value of an observable in such a statistical mixture is given by $\langle A \rangle = \text{tr } \rho A$.

• Inspired by this, we define a (mixed) density matrix ρ as a hermitian, positive semidefinite operator on the quantum Hilbert space that has unit trace. If, in addition, it is a projection, then it is a pure density matrix.

• An example of a mixed density matrix is the thermal density matrix. Suppose a system with hermitian Hamiltonian has energy eigenvalues $-\infty < E_0 \leq E_1 \leq E_2 \leq \cdots$ with corresponding normalized energy eigenvectors ψ_i and is in equilibrium at temperature T. If k_b denotes Boltzmann's constant, then the thermal density matrix is defined as

$$\rho = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{i} e^{-E_i/k_b T} |\psi_i\rangle \langle\psi_i| \quad \text{where} \quad Z = \sum_{i} e^{-\beta E_i} \quad \text{where} \quad \beta = 1/k_b T.$$
(62)

In the thermal ensemble of Boltzmann and Gibbs, the probabilities p_i decrease exponentially with energy.

4 Time evolution: Schrödinger equation

• When left to itself, the state of the system evolves according to the Schrödinger equation $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle = H |\psi(t)\rangle$. *H* is the hermitian hamiltonian. Given the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle$, the SE determines the state at subsequent times, just as Hamilton's equations $\dot{x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}$, $\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$ do in classical mechanics.

• In the position basis, the SE is

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle x|\psi(t)\rangle = \langle x|H\psi(t)\rangle \quad \text{or} \quad i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(x,t)}{\partial t} = (H\psi)(x,t)$$
(63)

For a particle in a potential $(H\psi)(x,t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\psi(x,t)$, and we get

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\psi(x).$$
(64)

The SE is a linear PDE, first order in time and second order in space derivatives of the unknown ψ . Contrast this with Newton's equation which in general is a system of non-linear ODEs for $x_i(t)$.

• We often need to work with the adjoint of the Schrodinger equation, which is obtained using $H = H^{\dagger}$

$$-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle\psi(t)| = \langle\psi(t)|H.$$
(65)

In the coordinate basis, the adjoint of the SE reads

$$-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle\psi(t)|x\rangle = \langle\psi(t)|H|x\rangle = \langle H\psi|x\rangle = \langle x|H\psi\rangle^{*}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^{*}(x,t) = ((H\psi)(x))^{*}$$
(66)

or $-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^*(x,t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\psi^*(x)}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\psi^*(x)$ for a particle in a real potential V(x). So in the coordinate basis, the adjoint of the SE is just its complex conjugate.

4.1 Separation of variables, stationary states

• The problem of time-evolution is to solve the Schrödinger equation $i\hbar \frac{\partial |\Psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} = \hat{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ given the initial state $|\Psi(t=0)\rangle$. For a particle in a potential V(x), the SE is a linear partial differential equation for the unknown function $\Psi(x,t) = \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle$.

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi(x,t)}{\partial t} = (H\Psi)(x,t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\Psi(x,t)}{\partial t} + V(x)\Psi(x,t).$$
(67)

To solve it we use the method of separation of variables, which is applicable when the Hamiltonian is not explicitly dependent on time (time independent potential). We look for separable solutions in the form of a product $\Psi(x,t) = \psi(x)T(t)$. Now, not every solution of the SE is such a product. But due to the linearity of the equation, linear combinations of solutions are again solutions. The idea is to find sufficiently many separable solutions so that every solution can be written as a linear combination of separable solutions. Putting the 'ansatz' (guess) $\Psi(x,t) = \psi(x)T(t)$ into the equation, we get

$$i\hbar \dot{T}(t)\psi(x) = T(t)(H\psi)(x).$$
(68)

Dividing by $T\psi$ we get

$$i\hbar \frac{\dot{T}(t)}{T(t)} = \frac{(H\psi)(x)}{\psi(x)} = E.$$
(69)

LHS depends only on time while the RHS depends only on position, provided H is not explicitly time-dependent. The only way these can be equal is for both to equal the same constant, say E, so-named, since it turns out to have the physical meaning of energy eigenvalue. Now we have

two separate equations. The one for T(t) has the solution $T(t) = c \exp(-iEt/\hbar)$. The other equation

$$(H\psi)(x) = E\psi(x) \quad \text{or} \quad \langle x|H|\psi\rangle = E\langle x|\psi\rangle \quad \text{or} \quad H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$$
(70)

is simply the eigenvalue equation for the hamiltonian operator. It is also called the timeindependent Schrodinger eigenvalue equation. It typically has lots of solutions, namely all the eigenstates $|\psi_n\rangle$ of the hamiltonian, with their corresponding energy eigenvalues E_n . As for any hermitian operator, we can take these $|\psi_n\rangle$ to be orthonormal. Thus the separable solutions of the Schrodinger equation are

$$\Psi_n(x,t) = c_n \psi_n(x) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}.$$
(71)

where ψ_n are eigenstates of the hamiltonian. These separable solutions are called stationary states since the probability density in these states $P(x,t) = |\Psi(x,t)|^2 = |c_n|^2 |\psi_n(x)|^2$ are independent of time. Stationary states have the simplest possible time dependence of all solutions of the Schrödinger equation, i.e., sinusoidal or harmonic time dependence.

• Now the general solution of the SE is got by taking a linear combination of stationary states

$$\Psi(x,t) = \sum_{n} c_n \psi_n(x) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}.$$
(72)

To find the solution of the initial value problem, we must choose the c_n so that the initial state is $|\Psi(0)\rangle$. In other words, we must have

$$\sum_{n} c_n |\psi_n\rangle = |\Psi(0)\rangle \tag{73}$$

To find the c_n we take the inner product with $|\psi_m\rangle$, and use orthogonality of energy eigenstates

$$\sum_{n} c_n \langle \psi_m | \psi_n \rangle = \sum_{n} \delta_{mn} c_n = c_m = \langle \psi_m | \Psi(0) \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_m = \int \psi_m^*(x) \Psi(x,0) \, dx.$$
(74)

Thus we have solved the initial value problem for the Schrödinger equation.

i

4.2 Time evolution operator and its unitarity

• The time evolution operator U(t) is defined as the solution of the Schrödinger equation with initial condition U(0) = I:

$$\hbar \partial_t U(t) = H U(t). \tag{75}$$

We check that the solution is given by the matrix exponential

$$U(t) = e^{-iHt/\hbar}.$$
(76)

The matrix exponential is defined via the exponential series $e^A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^n}{n!}$. The time evolution operator is unitary:

$$U^{\dagger}(t)U(t) = e^{iHt/\hbar}E^{-iHt/\hbar} = e^{i(H-H)t/\hbar} = I.$$
(77)

It is called the time evolution operator since it evolves any state forward by time t:

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle| = U(t)|\Psi(0)\rangle. \tag{78}$$

We may check this using our solution of the Schrödinger equation by separation of variables. In fact, using $H|\psi_n\rangle = E_n|\psi_n\rangle$, we find

$$U(t)|\Psi(0)\rangle = e^{-iHt/\hbar} \sum_{n} c_n |\psi_n\rangle = \sum_{n} c_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |\psi_n\rangle = |\Psi(t)\rangle.$$
(79)

4.3 Global probability conservation and conserved probability density and current

• The absolute square of the wave function $|\psi(x,t)|^2 = \psi^*(x,t)\psi(x,t)$ gives the probability density for finding the particle at location x at time t. Suppose n copies of a system are prepared in the same quantum mechanical state $\psi(x)$. (For example, we could have a hydrogen atom in its ground state in each of 100 different boxes) Then a measurement of the position of each particle (at the same time) gives a (possibly) different result (this is an experimental fact). Born's statistical interpretation of the wave function is that, as $n \to \infty$, the distribution of position measurements approaches the probability density $|\psi(x,t)^2|$.

• To qualify as a probability density, the total probability of finding the particle anywhere must be one. In other words, we need $||\psi||^2 = \int dx \ |\psi(x,t)|^2 = 1$. However, there could be a problem. For consistency, the total probability of finding the particle *somewhere* must remain equal to one at all times, total probability must be conserved. This is indeed the case, as is checked using the Schrödinger equation and its adjoint

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|H\psi\rangle - \langle\psi H|\psi\rangle = 0.$$
(80)

In other words, if the wave function is normalized to one initially (t = 0), then it continues to have norm one in the future. This is called global conservation of probability.

• We may relate this probability conservation to nature of the time evolution operator. In fact, using $|\Psi(t)\rangle = U(t)|\Psi(0)$ and its adjoint $\langle \Psi(t)| = \langle \Psi(0)|U^{\dagger}$ we get

$$\langle \Psi(t)|\Psi(t)\rangle = \langle \Psi(0)|U(t)^{\dagger}U(t)|\Psi(0)\rangle = \langle \Psi(0)|\Psi(0)\rangle = 1 \quad \text{since} \quad U^{\dagger}U = I.$$
(81)

Thus, the unitarity of the time evolution operator implies the conservation of probability.

• Interestingly, it is not merely the total probability that is conserved. Probability cannot jump from one place to another, it flows continuously like a fluid. There is a local conservation of probability just like for mass in a fluid. The rate of increase of mass of fluid in a box is equal to the inward flux of fluid across the walls of the box (provided there isn't a source/sink of fluid inside the box). The probability density $|\psi(x,t)|^2$ satisfies a continuity equation with an associated probability current. Consider a particle in a potential

$$i\hbar \,\partial_t(\psi^*\psi) = i\hbar \left(\psi_t^*\psi + \psi^*\psi_t\right) = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\psi^{*\prime\prime} - V\psi^*\right)\psi + \psi^*\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\psi^{\prime\prime} + V\psi\right) \\ = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\psi^{*\prime\prime}\psi - \psi^*\psi^{\prime\prime}\right] = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_x \left(\psi^{*\prime}\psi - \psi^*\psi^{\prime}\right).$$
(82)

Let $P(x,t) = |\psi(x,t)|^2$ and define the probability current density

$$j(x,t) = \frac{\hbar}{2mi} \left(\psi^* \psi' - \psi^{*\prime} \psi \right), \quad \text{then} \quad \partial_t P(x,t) + \partial_x j(x,t) = 0.$$
(83)

The last equation is called the law of local conservation of probability (in differential form) or a continuity equation. To interpret this formula we consider how the probability for the particle to be in an interval $[x_0, x_1]$ changes with time. So integrate $\partial_t P + \partial_x j = 0$ over this interval at a fixed time t to get the law of local conservation of probability in integral form:

$$\partial_t \int_{x_0}^{x_1} P(x) \, dx + \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \frac{\partial j(x)}{\partial x} \, dx = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_t \int_{x_0}^{x_1} P(x) \, dx = j(x_0) - j(x_1) \tag{84}$$

by the fundamental theorem of calculus. This equation says the rate of increase of probability in $[x_0, x_1]$ equals the probability current flowing in at x_0 minus that flowing out at x_1 .

• All of this also works in three dimensions. The rate of increase of probability in a region (volume) Ω must equal the inward flux of probability across the surface $\partial\Omega$ that borders Ω :

$$P(\vec{r},t) = \psi^*(\vec{r},t)\psi(\vec{r},t), \qquad \vec{j} = \frac{\hbar}{2mi}\left[\psi^*\left(\nabla\psi\right) - \left(\nabla\psi^*\right)\psi\right] = \frac{\hbar}{m}\Im\psi^*\nabla\psi$$
$$\partial_t P(\vec{r},t) + \nabla\cdot\vec{j}(x,t) = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial z} = 0.$$
$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} P(\vec{r},t)d^3r + \int_{\Omega} d^3r\nabla\cdot\vec{j} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_t \int P(\vec{r},t)d^3r = -\int_{\partial\Omega}\vec{j}\cdot d\vec{S}. \tag{85}$$

 $d\vec{S}$ is the outward pointing area element on the bounding surface $\partial\Omega$. It says that the rate of increase of probability in a region must equal the inward flux of probability current across the surface of the region. We used the divergence theorem to write the volume integral of a divergence as a surface integral.

4.4 Ehrenfest's theorem

• The expectation values $\langle x \rangle$, $\langle p \rangle$, $\langle E \rangle$ etc are functions of time (space has been integrated over). The average position and momentum of an electron will depend on time in a way governed by the Schrödinger equation. According to Ehrenfest's theorem, these expectation values evolve as do the corresponding classical variables, whose evolution is given by Newton's/Hamilton's equations! E.g. $\frac{d(x)}{dt} = \frac{\langle p \rangle}{m}$, so the average position evolves in the same way as given by the first of Hamilton's equations. To see this and related results, we first derive a general equation for the time evolution of the expectation value of an observable A in a unit-norm state that evolves via the SE

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle\psi|A|\psi\rangle = -\langle\psi|HA|\psi\rangle + \langle\psi|AH|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|[A,H]|\psi\rangle.$$
(86)

• Putting A = H and using [H, H] = 0 shows that the average energy (expectation value of hamiltonian) is constant $\frac{\partial \langle \hat{H} \rangle}{\partial t} = 0$. This is the analogue of the classical constancy of energy along a trajectory.

• Taking A = p we find the time evolution of mean momentum for a particle subject to the hamiltonian $H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V$. Show that

$$[p,H] = [p,V] = -i\hbar V' \tag{87}$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{\partial \langle p \rangle}{\partial t} = \langle -V' \rangle. \tag{88}$$

Thus Newton's second law (or the second of Hamilton's equations) $\dot{p} = -V'(x)$ continues to hold in quantum mechanics, but in the sense of expectation values. The average momentum evolves as though it is a classical variable subject to an 'average force'!

• If A = x, then $[x, H] = [x, \frac{p^2}{2m}] = \frac{i\hbar p}{m}$. So

$$\frac{\partial \langle x \rangle}{\partial t} = \left\langle \frac{p}{m} \right\rangle. \tag{89}$$

This is the first of Hamilton's equations $\dot{x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = \frac{p}{m}$, but now in the sense of expectation values.

• So if the electron is in the initial state $\psi(x, t = 0)$, Schrödinger's equation tells us how the state evolves in time. We have used this to determine the motion of the average position of the electron and found that it is related to the average momentum in the same way as the actual position and momentum of a particle are related by Hamilton's equation of classical mechanics. To the extent that the expectation value of x provides an approximate position for a localized electron wave packet, we see that the quantum mechanical motion of the wave-packet mimics the classical motion of a particle. However, the wave packet typically spreads out in time, and ceases to be well-described by merely its mean position. This reduces the utility of the Ehrenfest result in determining where a quantum particle may be found at later times, based purely on its classical motion.

5 Summary of postulates of quantum mechanics

• The states of a quantum system are vectors in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For a system of particles, \mathcal{H} is the space of square-integrable functions on the classical configuration space. Two state vectors that differ by a multiplicative complex constant represent the same physical state. So more precisely, the space of states of a quantum system are rays in a Hilbert space.

 \bullet Observables (such as the hamiltonian) are hermitian (more precisely self-adjoint) operators on $\mathcal{H}.$

• Time evolution of a state is given by Schrödinger's equation.

• Measurement of an observable A in a state $|\psi\rangle$ (of norm one) produces a real number that is one of the eigenvalues λ of A. After the measurement, the system collapses to the state $P_{\lambda}|\psi\rangle$, where P_{λ} is the projection operator to the λ -eigenspace. Moreover, the probability of getting eigenvalue λ is equal to the expectation value $p_{\lambda} = \langle \psi | P_{\lambda} | \psi \rangle$. If the λ -eigenspace is 1-dimensional and spanned by the unit-norm eigenvector $|\psi_{\lambda}\rangle$, then $p_{\lambda} = |\langle \psi_{\lambda} | \psi \rangle|^2$.